



Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board

In the matter of the Gas Distribution Act
- and -

In the matter of Franchise Applications
for the Distribution of Natural Gas
in the Province of Nova Scotia

DECISION

November 1999


DECISION

NSUARB-NG-98

NOVA SCOTIA UTILITY AND REVIEW BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE GAS DISTRIBUTION ACT

- and -

N.S. LEGISLATIVE
LIBRARY

**IN THE MATTER OF Franchise Applications for the Distribution of Natural Gas in the
Province of Nova Scotia**

BEFORE:

John A. Morash, C.A., Chair
Margaret A. M. Shears, Vice-chair
John L. Harris, Q.C., Member
Charles J. McManus, P.Eng., Member

APPLICANTS:**PROVINCE-WIDE**

Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited
Stephen Bart, General Manager
Mark E. MacDonald, Q.C., Counsel
Robert G. Grant, Q.C., Counsel
Nancy G. Rubin, Counsel

Sempra Atlantic Gas Incorporated
Andrew Rea, President
James L. Connors, Q.C., Counsel
John A. Young, Q.C., Counsel
Stephen T. McGrath, Counsel
Dana F. MacKenzie, Counsel

MUNICIPALITIES AND CO-OPERATIVES

Antigonish Community Gas Co-operative Limited
John E. Parker, Representative

Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative
Byron F. McDonald, Representative

Town of Annapolis Royal
Philip D. Roberts, Mayor

Town of Berwick
John P. Prall, Mayor

INTERVENORS: see Schedule 'B'

BOARD COUNSEL: S. Bruce Outhouse, Q.C.

BOARD CONSULTANT: Richard G. C. DeWolf, P. Eng.

BOARD STAFF: Nancy E. McNeil, Board Clerk
Anne E. Bonang, Hearing Clerk
Nancy S. Boyce, Hearing Clerk
Dona E. Di Quinzio, Hearing Clerk
Kelly-Lynn Fletcher, Hearing Clerk
Cynthia J. Matthews, Hearing Coordinator
Paul G. Allen, C.A., Board Administrator

HEARING DATES: Heard at Halifax, Nova Scotia, between
April 12, 1999 and July 29, 1999

DECISION DATE: November 16, 1999

DECISION: Pursuant to Section 8 of the Gas Distribution Act, the Board grants a full regulation class franchise for a period of 25 years to Semptra Atlantic Gas Incorporated, subject to the approval of the Governor in Council, and subject to the conditions set forth in Schedule 'A' attached; all other applications denied.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	5
 PART ONE - Province-wide Franchise Applications	
2.0 Availability of Adequate Supply	8
2.1 Maritimes NRG	8
2.2 Sempra Atlantic	8
2.3 Findings	9
3.0 Existence of Markets	9
3.1 Overview	9
3.2 Maritimes NRG	10
3.3 Sempra Atlantic	10
3.4 Findings - Market Penetration Estimates	11
3.5 Findings - Consumption Estimates	12
4.0 Economic Feasibility	15
4.1 Reliability of Market Penetration and Consumption Estimates	16
4.2 Reliability of Price Forecasts for Oil and Gas	16
4.2.1 Maritimes NRG	16
4.2.2 Sempra Atlantic	17
4.2.3 Findings	18
5.0 Access Targets and Plans for Service	19
5.1 Overview	19
5.2 Maritimes NRG	21
5.3 Sempra Atlantic	24
5.4 Findings - Access Targets	25
5.5 Findings - Plans for Service	26
6.0 Rate Design	28
6.1 Overview - Performance-Based Rates	29
6.2 Maritimes NRG	30
6.3 Sempra Atlantic	31
6.4 Findings - Performance-Based Rates	32

6.5 Pricing (rate plan)	33
6.5.1 Overview	33
6.5.2 Maritimes NRG	34
6.5.3 Semptra Atlantic	34
6.5.4 Findings	34
6.6 Term of Rate Plan	35
6.7 Profit Sharing and Return on Equity	35
6.8 Discounts and Rate Cap	36
7.0 M&NP Lateral Policy - Overview	37
7.1 Maritimes NRG	38
7.2 Semptra Atlantic	39
7.3 Findings	39
8.0 Socio-Economic Impact Statement and Benefits Plan	42
8.1 Maritimes NRG	43
8.2 Semptra Atlantic	44
8.3 Findings	45
9.0 Financial Capability and Related Experience	46
9.1 Maritimes NRG	47
9.2 Semptra Atlantic	47
9.3 Findings - Financial Capability	49
9.4 Findings - Expertise and Experience	50
10.0 Separation of Delivery and Sales Functions	50
11.0 Conclusions Concerning Province-wide Franchise Applications	53
 PART TWO - Municipal and Co-operative Applications	
12.0 Introduction	57
12.1 Town of Berwick	58
12.1.1 Findings	60
12.2 Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative	62
12.2.1 Findings	63
12.3 Town of Annapolis Royal	65
12.3.1 Findings	65
12.4 Antigonish Community Gas Co-operative Limited	67
12.4.1 Findings	68
12.5 Conclusions - Municipal and Co-operative Applicants	70
12.6 Supplemental Franchises	72

PART THREE - Other Issues

13.0 Regulatory Environment	74
14.0 Single End User Class Franchise	75
15.0 Municipal Fees/Taxes	77

PART FOUR - Summary of Board Findings and Conclusions	78
--	-----------

ADDENDUM**TAB**

Schedule 'A' - Terms and Conditions of Franchise Award	A
Schedule 'B' - Intervenors	B
Schedule 'C' - Abbreviations	C
Schedule 'D' - Gas Distribution Act, GIC Gas Distribution Regulations and Policy Statement	D
Schedule 'E' - Board Gas Distribution Regulations and Directions on Procedure	E
Schedule 'F' - Pipeline Act and Related Regulations	F

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Board has determined that Sempra Atlantic Gas Incorporated (Sempra Atlantic) be granted a full regulation class franchise for the Province of Nova Scotia, and that all other franchise applications be denied. While each applicant's proposal is reviewed in this decision, and findings are made in respect thereto, the Board believes it is useful to begin this decision with a summary of the factors leading to its conclusion.

Sempra Atlantic's application was chosen over its principal competitor, Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited (Maritimes NRG), because:

1. Sempra Atlantic has provided an unequivocal commitment to build-out its planned distribution system for at least four full years. Maritimes NRG has refused to provide such a commitment, indicating that, each year, the following year's build-out plan is subject to an economic feasibility review. While Sempra Atlantic has requested that there be a regulatory adjustment mechanism which could be invoked to delay further construction if the price spread between fuel oil and gas falls below \$3.50/GJ, it would only become effective after four full years of system build-out during which time a significant portion of the transmission facilities will have been constructed.
2. Sempra Atlantic has committed to build a gas distribution system that will meet or exceed the provincial access targets in each of the 18 counties within seven years. Maritimes NRG, on the other hand, has indicated it will meet or exceed the provincial access targets in only five of the 18 counties (assuming growth in the number of households) within the seven year period. Consequently, Maritimes NRG has requested an exemption from complying with the required access targets, stating that it is not financially feasible to do so. Further, Maritimes NRG has not even committed to build its base system.

The Board is satisfied that Sempra Atlantic's proposal meets the access targets. Clearly, the intent of the **GIC Gas Distribution Regulations** is that access to natural gas is to be

provided in all counties. Maritimes NRG's plan does not provide any access in four of the counties, and does not meet the required access targets in another nine counties. The Board is not convinced that it would be in the public interest to grant Maritimes NRG's request to be exempted from the application of the access targets.

3. Maritimes NRG's application relies heavily on the Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline (M&NP) lateral policy for purposes of constructing transmission facilities. Maritimes NRG argues that since the cost of these facilities will be rolled into the M&NP toll, pursuant to the lateral policy, Nova Scotia gas users will save approximately \$200 million, which costs will be absorbed by all shippers on the system, including M&NP's customers in New Brunswick and the United States.

The lateral policy was debated at great length during the hearing. However, the Board finds that insufficient evidence was presented on this issue by Maritimes NRG and the Board is not persuaded that the lateral policy can be relied upon to ensure the timely construction of transmission facilities in Nova Scotia.

4. Sempra Atlantic and Maritimes NRG arrived at different consumption estimates for purposes of calculating their revenue projections. While both parties have used reasonable methods to develop their estimates, the Board recognizes that there are likely to be inaccuracies in any estimates based on market analysis. Since these estimates directly affect revenue projections, the Board must be cognizant of its responsibility to protect customers from unnecessary risk.

In the case of Sempra Atlantic, the proposal to develop a gas distribution system is financed in total from corporate resources or corporate borrowing. No subsidy or other indirect financial assistance to build the system is sought or suggested. In the case of Maritimes NRG, while a subsidy is not sought as part of the application, losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are proposed to be accumulated in what is called a

revenue deficiency account. Maritime NRG's plan is subject to the condition that the company will be permitted to eventually recover, from future ratepayers, all accumulated losses tracked through this account so as to ensure a cumulative 11% rate of return on equity. The ratepayers, therefore, ultimately bear the risk of Maritimes NRG's losses, including those losses which occur as a result of lower than expected penetration rates or consumption levels.

Sempra Atlantic, on the other hand, does not assume a guaranteed recovery of revenue deficiencies, or losses. Losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are at the risk of Sempra Atlantic, which seeks only the opportunity to recover such losses through the potential for higher returns in later years, which are by no means assured. The company has committed to construct its system as planned, regardless of losses, for the first four full years.

5. Sempra Atlantic has guaranteed that the delivered cost of natural gas to customers will be at least 5% less than the price of fuel oil. While Maritimes NRG has indicated that the delivered cost of natural gas to customers will likely be 10% less than the price of fuel oil, it is not prepared to guarantee any particular level of savings. The Board finds that since Sempra Atlantic provides a guaranteed saving, customers face less exposure to risk under its plan.
6. The Board has also considered the issue of financial capability to undertake the project. The Board finds that, based on the evidence submitted, Sempra Atlantic has satisfied the requirements of the Act relating to financial capability. While Maritimes NRG did submit certain financial information, on a confidential basis, the Board finds that the evidence, while somewhat helpful, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Act, and does not enable the Board to reach a conclusion as to Maritimes NRG's financial capability.

In view of the above factors, and after considering all the issues set out in this

decision, the Board finds that the public interest is best served by choosing Sempra Atlantic to be the Province's distributor of natural gas.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 8 of the **Gas Distribution Act**, the Board grants a full regulation class franchise to Sempra Atlantic for a period of 25 years subject to the terms and conditions attached in Schedule 'A'. By virtue of Section 8(3) of the **Act**, this grant of franchise is subject to the approval of the Governor in Council.

The Board also considered all the evidence in connection with the applications received from the two municipalities and the two co-operatives. The Board denies these applications because in the Board's view the financial risks associated with them are too great.

The Board has conducted an extensive public hearing process leading to its selection of a natural gas distributor for Nova Scotia. Considerable time, effort and monies have been expended by the various municipal and co-operative applicants, active intervenors, and finally, the two applicants for province-wide full regulation class franchises, Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic. The Board appreciates the professional manner in which the applicants and intervenors acquitted themselves during the hearing, and the helpful participation of all the parties, their representatives, counsel, and witnesses. All parties have assisted in ensuring that the potential benefits and challenges of introducing natural gas to Nova Scotia were thoroughly reviewed and discussed at the hearing.

It is important to recognize that Nova Scotia is only at the beginning of the development of a major alternative energy source. Considerable work lies ahead for those who will be active in this endeavour. The public process is not over. Further review and discussion will continue regarding the introduction of marketers of natural gas in the Province. There will be an ongoing requirement by Sempra Atlantic and the licensed marketers to convince Nova Scotians of the benefits of natural gas. The activities of Sempra Atlantic and licensed marketers will be monitored by the Board to ensure the public interest is observed in the distribution and development of natural gas in this Province.

The Board wishes to gratefully acknowledge the valuable contribution brought to the hearing and this decision by panel member, friend and colleague Charles J. McManus, P. Eng., who passed away on November 4, 1999. His insight, experience, and humour will be very much missed.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This decision follows a public hearing conducted by the Board over 49 hearing days between April 12, 1999 and July 29, 1999, in the matter of applications for franchises to construct and operate natural gas delivery systems in the Province of Nova Scotia. The applications were heard under the authority of the **Gas Distribution Act** S.N.S., 1997, c. 4 (the **Act**) and the **Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)** made under that **Act** by the Governor in Council (**GIC Regulations**). The Board also made regulations, **Board Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)**, which established the procedures, filing requirements and fees payable by the applicants (**Board Regulations**). As well, the Government issued a Policy Statement dated November 3, 1998, entitled "Policy Statement on Maximizing Benefits from Natural Gas Delivery" which, pursuant to **GIC Regulation 23**, forms part of the **GIC Regulations**. All relevant statutes, regulations and policy statements are found in the Addendum to this decision.

The **GIC Regulations** provide for three classes of franchise: full regulation class, producer class and single end user class. The franchise applications before the Board in this proceeding are for full regulation class franchises. Two applicants, Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited (Maritimes NRG) and Sempra Atlantic Gas Incorporated (Sempra Atlantic) have applied for a province-wide full regulation class franchise for a term of 25 years.

Maritimes NRG, a Nova Scotia company, is sponsored by Westcoast Energy Limited (Westcoast) and Irving Oil Limited (Irving Oil).

Sempra Atlantic is also a Nova Scotia company. The parent company of Sempra Atlantic is Sempra Energy Utility Ventures, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy, both being incorporated companies in the state of California.

Four other applicants, two municipal units and two co-operatives, filed applications for distribution franchises which were limited to specific geographic areas of the Province. Two other municipal applicants originally filed applications but later withdrew them.

It is useful to review the mandate of the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Board) in this process. The Board's role is set out in the **Act** and **GIC Regulations**. Section 2 of

the **Act** states that the purpose of the **Act** is to:

- (a) *provide a framework for the orderly development and operation of a gas delivery system in the Province; and*
- (b) *allow for fair competition in the sale of gas for consumption in the Province.*

The **Act** requires that the process for granting gas distribution franchises in Nova Scotia be public, with full opportunity for input from all interested parties. Accordingly, the Board issued Directions on Procedure for a public hearing on gas distribution franchise applications. The hearing was advertised throughout Nova Scotia. While the **Act** gives the Board the power to grant a franchise application after a hearing, it also stipulates that the Board's decision is subject to approval by the Governor in Council. Section 8 of the **Act** sets out the factors which the Board must consider when evaluating franchise applications.

The **Act** also gives the Board the power to set the rates and tolls to be charged by a franchise holder, and provides criteria for the Board to consider when determining what those rates and tolls should be.

While the **Act** confers additional responsibilities on the Board relating to gas, the granting of a franchise and approval of a rate plan are the relevant issues for purposes of this decision. Other duties of the Board include a licencing function under the **Pipeline Act**, R.S.N.S. 1989, c.345, which authorizes the construction and operation of pipelines by a franchise holder, and the licencing of gas sellers (frequently referred to as agents, brokers and marketers) under the **Gas Distribution Act**. The licencing of gas sellers and other related marketing issues will be the subject of a second phase of these proceedings. During the course of the hearing it became evident that these matters would be more productively dealt with after the Board made its decision with respect to the franchise applications. Accordingly, the Board will, in due course, convene a public hearing (known as Phase II) to deal with these matters.

It is against this backdrop of legislation, regulations and policy that the Board has carefully considered the mountain of evidence which has been presented in the course of this proceeding. The Board must consider the evidence within the scope of its mandate as set out in the

Act and the GIC Regulations. It is neither feasible, nor particularly useful, for the Board to attempt to recreate the hearing in this decision. Due to the volume of evidence, the Board will deal with the pertinent issues and evidence relating thereto in summary form.

For ease of reference, the Board has set out the relevant provisions of the **Act**, the **GIC Regulations** and the Policy Statement under each section in this decision. The Board has organized the decision into four distinct parts.

Part One consists of the Board's findings on the province-wide franchise applications. Each application is evaluated in the context of the statutory, regulatory and policy criteria established by the **Act** and **GIC Regulations**. The Board has drawn from these criteria the key factors upon which the decision has been based. A brief summary of the evidence provided by each applicant in respect of each key factor is presented, followed by the Board's findings in respect of that factor.

Part Two deals with the applications by the municipalities and co-operatives. A brief summary of each proposal is set out along with the Board's findings.

Part Three consists of comments by the Board in respect of other issues, including the regulatory environment, single end user class franchises and municipal fees.

Part Four contains a summary of the Board's findings and conclusions.

An Addendum is attached consisting of six schedules. Schedule 'A' sets out the terms and conditions of franchise award. Schedule 'B' lists the intervenors. Schedule 'C' is a list of abbreviations which appear in the decision. Schedule 'D' contains the **Act**, **GIC Regulations**, and the Province's Policy Statement. Schedule 'E' contains the **Board Regulations** and Directions on Procedure. Schedule 'F' contains the **Pipeline Act** and related **Regulations**.

PART ONE

PROVINCE-WIDE FRANCHISE APPLICATIONS

2.0 AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE SUPPLY

The relevant Section of the **Act** governing the Board's responsibility in making a determination on the issue of adequate gas supply is as follows:

8 (2) *Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration*

(b) the availability of adequate gas supplies;

2.1 MARITIMES NRG

Maritimes NRG expressed its confidence in the actual and potential gas reserves offshore Nova Scotia. Maritimes NRG also advised the Board that it had made arrangements to ensure an adequate supply of gas is available to Nova Scotia consumers. Irving Oil has signed a letter of intent (Ex. A5-14) which outlines its ability, based on firm long-term commitments with Sable gas producers, to serve the baseload requirements of Nova Scotia markets in the first three to five years of operation. Maritimes NRG intends to work with gas sellers, but does not intend to act as a gas seller itself. Rather, the company will act as an agent to arrange default gas supplies, as well as seasonal and peaking supplies.

2.2 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic also indicated its confidence in Nova Scotia's offshore gas reserves, and referred to the gas supply which will be available to and from Nova Scotia as part of the North American gas distribution grid. Sempra Atlantic filed with the Board a letter of intent (Ex. A1-46) which provides a commitment by Sempra Energy Trading, an affiliate of Sempra Atlantic, to act as a supplier of natural gas in an amount adequate to serve the Nova Scotia market, on terms to be specified. The company also asked the Board, in its application, for a gas seller's licence to ensure

that the core residential market is served. Sempra Atlantic explained that, at the time of filing its application, there was some uncertainty as to whether marketers would participate in the industry and, if so, whether their interest would be limited to large volume users. Sempra Atlantic's witnesses later modified the company's position. They indicated that Sempra Atlantic would be willing to defer commodity sales to an affiliated direct seller or to marketers through a bid process. However, in its closing argument, Sempra Atlantic submitted that it should be allowed to engage in direct sales during the transition period.

2.3 FINDINGS

In view of the evidence filed with the Board by the various parties in this proceeding, the Board has a reasonable assurance that there will be an adequate natural gas supply to meet both the immediate and long term needs of Nova Scotia natural gas customers. Further, the Board finds that both applicants have met the criteria outlined in the **Act** in respect of availability of adequate supply. The Board will address the issue of gas commodity sales by the franchisee later in this decision.

3.0 EXISTENCE OF MARKETS

The relevant Section of the **Act** governing the Board's responsibility in making a determination on the issue of the existence of markets is as follows:

8 (2) *Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration . . .*

(a) the existence of markets, actual or potential;

3.1 OVERVIEW

The Board considers that an assessment of the actual and potential markets for natural gas is best accomplished by focusing on two critical components -- penetration rates and consumption estimates.

3.2 MARITIMES NRG

The evidence presented by Maritimes NRG with respect to the existence of markets came from a number of sources. These included personal interviews, telephone surveys, focus groups, expert opinions, Irving Oil customer information, and a review of housing and business locations and their respective energy needs. Maritimes NRG divided the Province into 12 regions, and customers were divided into residential and commercial/industrial categories. Usage was then estimated for these categories based on all the information sources available to the applicant. The commercial/industrial sector was further subdivided based on annual usage.

Maritimes NRG indicated that persuading Nova Scotians to convert to natural gas will be challenging in this greenfield environment because natural gas is not a necessary or known commodity; the market is dominated by other heating sources, primarily fuel oil; and, depending on the circumstances, it may take considerable time for natural gas customers to recoup the cost of conversion. Consumption rates for all categories of consumers were estimated, with average residential consumption estimated to be 85 Gigajoules (GJ) per year eventually increasing to 105 GJ per year. The consumption and market penetration estimates serve as the foundation for Maritimes NRG's rate plan and revenue projections.

Maritimes NRG's approach to developing markets involves education of consumers, as well as positioning business development representatives throughout the regions to act as resource and liaison personnel for customers, recognized dealers and community organizations. Dealer alliances are also proposed.

3.3 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic also presented extensive market information to the Board. This was drawn from telephone surveys, focus groups, interviews, and surveys of high-use businesses such as laundries and restaurants. Sempra Atlantic outlined the extent of market penetration by the forms of energy used currently in the Province, and discussed the challenges of convincing residents and businesses to convert to natural gas. The company's market estimates conform to the regions of the Province designated in the Sempra Atlantic proposal to be provided with access to natural gas. It

divided potential customers into three general segments: residential, commercial/industrial and major accounts. The segments were further subdivided depending on the nature of the residential units and, in the case of commercial facilities, depending on estimated usage. Sempra Atlantic plans an aggressive approach to promoting the use of natural gas in Nova Scotia, and consumption estimates for each of its categories of users were presented to the Board. In the residential market, gas usage is estimated to be approximately 144 GJ for single family units per year with the overall average residential usage estimated to be approximately 130 GJ per year. The penetration and consumption estimates for the various categories of users are the basis for the rate plan and revenue projections.

3.4 FINDINGS - MARKET PENETRATION ESTIMATES

The Board believes that both province-wide applicants provided a satisfactory overview of the methodology and results of their respective market surveys. The primary differences between the applications centred on the estimates of the potential number of customers per category of user and the survey methodology concerning conversion costs. Notwithstanding the differences in approach, the Board finds that there are a number of similarities in the evidence presented by both parties in relation to penetration rates. This is illustrated by the following comparative table:

Market Penetration Rate Comparisons for Residences (beginning when each service region receives access)											
Semptra Atlantic											
Cumulative Market (%)	Years with access to gas (in any given service region)										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	0	17.8	35.7	37.1	38.6	40.1	41.5	43	44.5	46	47.5
Maritimes NRG											
Cumulative Market (%)	Years with access to gas (in any given service region)										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	0	13.0	23.4	32.6	36.7	40.5	42.9	45.1	47.3	49.4	51.5

Source: Ex. A1-137, page 15

The Board finds that the projected penetration rates of both applicants are justifiable and reasonable. The Board is well aware that the actual market penetration results will undoubtedly be different than those estimated by either Maritimes NRG or Semptra Atlantic.

3.5 FINDINGS - CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Maritimes NRG and Semptra Atlantic have taken different approaches to estimating average annual consumption of natural gas by residential customers. Maritimes NRG has relied upon specific customer usage data provided by Irving Oil. Semptra Atlantic's estimates were based upon a model designed to measure average residential customer use. The model was developed by Semptra Atlantic's affiliates in California, and takes into account a number of factors including variations in heating degree days in Nova Scotia. Semptra Atlantic compared the results of the model with various published data in order to corroborate its estimates.

In examining the extensive data, studies, and background information filed by the two parties, it appears that Maritimes NRG's reliance on the confidential Irving Oil customer data which was made public in summary form (Ex. A5-149), results in lower usage estimates than Sempra Atlantic because the data includes low energy users who may not convert to natural gas. Sempra Atlantic's estimates fall in the upper range of consumption as its calculation is weighted toward higher energy users. The Board has concluded that, given the respective penetration rates used by Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic, and the varying estimates of customer savings to be achieved by converting to natural gas, it is a reasonable assumption that higher usage customers are more likely to convert since these customers have the greatest incentive to do so. Accordingly, the Board finds that Sempra Atlantic's consumption estimates are reasonable for those customers likely to use natural gas in Nova Scotia.

While both parties have employed reasonable methods to develop their estimates, the Board recognizes that there are likely to be inaccuracies in any estimates based on market analysis. Since these estimates directly affect revenue projections, the Board must be cognizant of its responsibility to protect potential customers from unnecessary risk. It is necessary therefore to review the evidence of each party from the perspective of risk, in the event that consumption or market penetration rates turn out to be less than projected.

In the case of Sempra Atlantic, its proposal to develop a gas distribution system is financed in total from corporate resources or corporate borrowing. No subsidy or other indirect financial assistance to build the system is sought or suggested. In the case of Maritimes NRG, while a subsidy is not sought as part of the application, losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are proposed to be accumulated in what is called a revenue deficiency account. Maritimes NRG's plan is subject to the condition that the company will be permitted to eventually recover from future ratepayers all accumulated losses tracked through this account so as to ensure a cumulative 11% rate of return on equity. The ratepayers, therefore, ultimately bear the risk for Maritimes NRG's losses, including those losses which occur as a result of lower than expected penetration rates or consumption levels.

Sempra Atlantic does not assume a guaranteed recovery of revenue deficiencies or

losses. Losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are at the risk of Sempra Atlantic, which seeks only the opportunity to recover such losses through the potential for higher returns in later years. The company has committed to construct its system as planned, regardless of losses, for four full years.

While Maritimes NRG's market estimates appear to be less aggressive than those of Sempra Atlantic, it remains clear that the ratepayers, under the Sempra Atlantic proposal, do not bear as great a risk as they would under the Maritimes NRG proposal. If Sempra Atlantic's estimates are incorrect, resulting in revenue shortfalls greater than anticipated, Sempra Atlantic bears the risk. Furthermore, due to the firm commitment of Sempra Atlantic to undertake the construction of its system, the Board is of the view that Sempra Atlantic has a greater incentive to promote the use of natural gas among Nova Scotians than does Maritimes NRG.

There is also an issue concerning Maritimes NRG's categorization of "consumer". "Consumer" is defined in the **Act** and **Board Regulations** as a user who consumes less than 500 GJ of natural gas annually. The **GIC Regulations** call for a uniform province-wide "postage stamp" gas transportation rate for all consumers.

Section 23 *In Sections 24 to 30, "consumer" means a person who consumes gas on an annual basis in an amount less than the amount prescribed.*

GIC Regulation 16

- 1) *The Board shall create a single provincial rate, toll or charge for gas transportation services to consumers.*
- 2) *In Section 16(1), "consumers" shall have the meaning used in Section 23(1) of the Act.*

Board Regulation 3

The amount prescribed for purposes of Section 23 of the Gas Distribution Act is 500 gigajoules per year.

No distinction is drawn in the **Act** or **Regulations** between small commercial and residential users if consumption is less than 500 GJ annually. Maritimes NRG's plan would put small commercials under 500 GJ in a separate class. According to Maritimes NRG's response to Board Undertaking U-61 (Ex. A5-166), this results in a different transportation rate for small commercial customers under 500 GJ than is being proposed for residential customers. Such a result, in the Board's view, is not in compliance with the **Regulations**. However, the Board notes that Maritimes NRG indicated that this issue is not material from a financial standpoint and presumably, therefore, it would be willing to amend its rate plan in this respect if directed to do so.

The Board concludes that both Sempra Atlantic and Maritimes NRG have presented sufficient information and analysis with respect to the existence of actual and potential markets for natural gas in the Province.

4.0 ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY

The relevant Section of the **Act** governing the Board's responsibility in making a determination on the issue of economic feasibility is set out below:

8 (2) Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration. . . .

(c) the economic feasibility of the proposed gas delivery system;

The Board considers that economic feasibility is determined primarily by three basic elements. They are:

- A. The relative reliability of market penetration and consumption estimates;
- B. The relative reliability of the forecast price of fuel oil (since both applicants propose to charge rates based on the current and future price of fuel oil); and
- C. The extent and cost of the construction plans which will ultimately determine the number of potential customers.

The sections which follow deal with the first two of these elements. The third, which relates to the plans for service, is discussed in Section 5. The request by Maritimes NRG for an exemption from the access targets is closely related to its plans for service and they are dealt with together in that Section.

4.1 RELIABILITY OF MARKET PENETRATION AND CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

In Section 3, the Board found that both applicants provided adequate and reasonable evidence of an actual and potential market for natural gas, thereby satisfying the first element of the economic feasibility test.

4.2 RELIABILITY OF PRICE FORECASTS FOR OIL AND GAS

4.2.1 Maritimes NRG

Maritimes NRG says that its pricing methodology is expected to result in a delivered cost for natural gas to the customer that is 10% lower than the price of fuel oil over the term of the rate plan. While Maritimes NRG expects the price to be 10% lower, the company is not prepared to guarantee a 10% savings to the customer. Oil prices are an important factor here because they have a direct impact on the price to be charged for natural gas.

Maritimes NRG's rate plan involves a price calculation that starts with a total cost of gas to the customer based upon a forecast of oil prices for the year 2000 (the first year of service) expressed as an energy equivalent to natural gas, less 10%. Subsequent prices for natural gas are adjusted annually based on a three-year calculation of the annual change in the price of oil less a productivity factor of 0.5%. Since the 10% reduction is only applied to the first year (2000) price forecast, and subsequent years are based upon a three-year calculation of the annual changes in the price of oil, there is no guarantee that the first year or subsequent years' prices for gas will in fact be 10% less than the actual equivalent price of fuel oil.

In order to estimate the future price of fuel oil, Maritimes NRG commissioned a study by a consulting firm to forecast the price of oil over the next twenty years. This forecast, which was the subject of considerable discussion at the hearing, sets out what was described as a conservative future price for fuel oil. Maritimes NRG submitted that the study was only one of a number of factors which it took into account when determining the price of fuel oil for the purpose of preparing its application. Its witnesses indicated that institutional experience, as well as aggressive business judgement, were also brought to bear and that the company, prior to filing the application, adopted a higher estimated price for fuel oil than was evident from the consultant's report. Maritimes NRG's application reflects calculations of distribution charges, and corresponding future revenue projections, based on this higher forecast of fuel oil prices.

4.2.2 Sempra Atlantic

Sempra Atlantic proposes to link its transportation rate to the price of fuel oil using a methodology which will result in a guaranteed saving to the customer of 5%, except where the proposed regulatory adjustment mechanism is invoked (see Section 5.3). The future price of oil is very important to Sempra Atlantic since it forms the basis of the delivered cost of gas.

Sempra Atlantic's rate plan commences with a total cost of gas based upon the actual fuel oil price, expressed as an energy equivalent to natural gas, less 5%. Beginning in the first year of service (2000), Sempra Atlantic then makes monthly price adjustments to ensure that the total delivered cost of gas to the customer is, at a minimum, 5% less than the equivalent actual fuel oil price for each month.

Sempra Atlantic's forecast of fuel oil price for the first year of service is one of the determining factors in establishing Sempra Atlantic's initial rate cap. Sempra Atlantic's revenue forecasts were based on historical differences between the price of fuel oil and natural gas. During the hearing, under cross-examination by the Canadian Oil Heat Association (COHA), it became evident that one of Sempra Atlantic's assumptions with respect to the price of oil was incorrect. Information concerning the historic price of fuel oil, which had been relied on by Sempra Atlantic as being exclusive of applicable taxes, actually included the taxes. Sempra Atlantic acknowledged

the error, and adjusted other aspects of the application so as to mitigate the negative consequences of the error on estimated revenues. The adjustments included increasing its growth projections, based on anticipated growth in the Halifax Metropolitan area, as well as an increase from 10% to 15% in the proposed headroom factor in setting the rate cap.

4.2.3 Findings

At the hearing, much evidence was tendered regarding the process followed by the parties in forecasting the price of fuel oil. Maritimes NRG spent a considerable amount of time reviewing the error by Sempra Atlantic, and the subsequent adjustments made to its application. In the Board's view, errors or omissions in filings have relevance only if the error or omission is of such magnitude that it is fatal to the application; the error or omission reasonably diminishes confidence in the applicant; or if the reaction of the applicant to an error or omission is reflective of a less than forthright corporate attitude which also could diminish confidence on the Board's part regarding its ability to deal transparently with the applicant in the future.

In the Board's view, Sempra Atlantic's error does not fall into any of these categories. The Board considers that, once the error was discovered, Sempra Atlantic acknowledged its mistake in a forthright manner and addressed the issue appropriately.

The Board finds that both applicants provided reasonable estimates of the likely outlook for oil and natural gas prices. Forecasting future oil prices is similar to estimating potential markets. There is inherent risk that the actual prices of oil and natural gas in the year 2000 and beyond will differ from the projected prices. Again, since the Board finds that both forecasts are reasonable, the issue becomes which franchise proposal involves the least risk to the ratepayer in the event the forecasts are less than accurate. The Board has concluded that, since Sempra Atlantic guarantees a minimum saving of 5% (except in circumstances where the regulatory adjustment mechanism is triggered, which cannot occur until after the first four full years of operation) and Maritimes NRG does not guarantee any level of savings, consumers face less exposure to risk under the Sempra Atlantic proposal.

5.0 ACCESS TARGETS AND PLANS FOR SERVICE

The relevant sections of the **Act** and **GIC Regulations** governing the Board's responsibility in making a determination on the issue of access targets and plans for service is set out below:

8 (2) *Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration. . . .*

(f) the plans of the applicant to provide service in the franchise area; and

Regulation 5

Subject to Section 6, the Board shall not grant a franchise over an area unless

(b) the applicant, or any combination of applicants gives a written undertaking to provide, at a minimum, the required access in all counties of the Province;

Regulation 10

With the approval of the Governor in Council, the Board may exempt any class or classes of franchise from Sections 5-9 of these regulations.

5.1 OVERVIEW

The provincial applicants have proposed very different distribution systems in scope, cost and process. As there is no common denominator on which to base a straight comparison of the systems, it is necessary to begin this section by reviewing the parameters of the distribution system as evidenced in the Policy Statement attached to the **GIC Regulations** concerning gas development and distribution access targets, and the response of the applicants as outlined in their respective proposals. The table setting out the Province's access targets is found in Schedule "D" of the Addendum to this decision. The regulatory requirement for franchisees to meet these access

targets in all 18 counties of the Province within seven years is set out below:

Regulation 2(2)(v)

"required access" means that the gas delivery system is constructed adjacent to property boundaries to meet the distribution targets within 7 years starting 6 months after the grant of franchise, and for those franchise areas that require service from the Halifax Lateral, within 7 years of the first measurable unit of natural gas being transported on that lateral;

Maritimes NRG argues that the access targets should be viewed as goals that are desirable but not mandatory. The company says that the **GIC Regulations** stress financial feasibility of the project as being a determining factor, and argues that mandatory compliance with the access targets would render its whole project uneconomic. Sempra Atlantic, on the other hand, undertakes to meet or exceed the access targets, and further argues that compliance with the access targets is an essential prerequisite for a successful application. The following table provides a numerical comparison between the two applications and the provincial access targets.

Comparison of Household Access Targets

County	Access Targets	Sempra Atlantic	Maritimes NRG
Annapolis	4,400	5,737	4,447
Antigonish	3,100	3,849	2,091
Cape Breton	27,400	32,982	33,275
Colchester	11,700	13,514	10,031
Cumberland	8,600	9,190	6,946
Digby	4,000	6,642	1,471
Guysborough	1,400	1,407	348
Halifax	81,400	140,301	119,059
Hants	8,700	9,424	6,222
Inverness	3,600	3,712	1,370
Kings	13,500	24,388	14,484
Lunenburg	11,900	12,344	9,089
Pictou	11,700	16,929	11,480
Queens	3,100	3,327	0
Richmond	1,300	2,033	559
Shelburne	2,000	2,637	0
Victoria	1,000	1,015	0
Yarmouth	6,700	7,803	0
Total	205,500	297,234	220,872

Source: Excerpt from Ex. A5-38, Response to Board IR-4

5.2 MARITIMES NRG

Maritimes NRG stressed repeatedly throughout its application that the access targets should not be considered mandatory, and its application is premised on being exempted from meeting the targets. The company states that it is not financially feasible to build a system which

will meet the provincial access targets, and that it will not undertake to build an uneconomic system. Maritimes NRG has presented a very detailed and comprehensive engineering study for the construction of its less extensive distribution system, which would have an estimated cost of approximately \$560 million (Ex. A5-2, Vol. 2, Section 9, pg. 21, Table 9.3). This system would provide access to natural gas in 14 of the 18 counties over seven years and would only meet the provincial access targets in four counties. Even when Maritimes NRG's household access numbers are adjusted for growth, four counties remain entirely unserved and access targets are met in only 5 counties. The base system would be constructed only if it would be economically feasible for the company to proceed. The extension of service as contemplated in its proposed base system would be subject to an annual review by the Board.

The construction plan is predicated on using the "lateral policy" which is discussed in detail in Section 7 of this decision. Under this policy, M&NP would construct and own the transmission facilities needed to serve various communities. Jurisdiction over the portions of the system built under the lateral policy would rest with the National Energy Board (NEB). The Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board would annually review the construction schedule proposed by Maritimes NRG, and Maritimes NRG would make representations to the Board as to the economics of system expansion at that time. If system expansion were found to be economic, the portions of the distribution system to be built under the lateral policy would have to be approved by the NEB pursuant to an application by M&NP.

With respect to its commitment to build its base system, Maritimes NRG stated in its application that the application is a "non-binding indicative proposal". It is also the evidence of Maritimes NRG that there is no guaranteed commitment to build the base system. Relevant extracts from the record are set out below.

Application of Maritimes NRG (Executive Summary):

17. *Even with all of this information we cannot make, nor should Nova Scotia expect or desire their distributor to make, a predetermined commitment to build infrastructure irrespective of market, economic and financial realities. The public interest constraints recognized in our Plan - providing value to the customers in the form of a lower price fuel option, maximizing benefits to Nova Scotians in the construction of the system and maintaining the*

economic viability of the system - were taken into account. Despite the challenges and the uncertainties, we are optimistic that a broad distribution service can be developed in Nova Scotia.

Source: Ex. A5-2, Vol. 1, Section 1, Page 7, paragraph 17

24. *Our Plan is not a financial commitment to build the Base System. To ensure Nova Scotians have access to a distribution system that is economically and financially viable and, consequently, in a position to deliver gas at a price lower than alternate fuels, it is clear that Maritimes NRG must apply sound business practices in determining the speed and extent to which the system is expanded. For Maritimes NRG to do otherwise, would be neither in the public interest nor ours.*

Source: Ex. A5-2, Vol. 1, Section 1, Page 9, paragraph 24

Maritimes NRG's Projected Financial Statements, Note 2:

The company [Maritimes NRG] has not made a commitment to build the Base System. Its plan is to develop a gas delivery system in Nova Scotia over time with the timing and extent of development subject to current economic conditions. There is no provision in the projected financial statements for adjustments to the base system roll out.

Source: Ex. A5-2, Vol. 2, Section 12, Page 35

Hearing transcript:

- Q. Yes. So Mr. Bart, Nova Scotians should come away from this understanding that while your company is committing to a process, it is certainly not committing to build the system described as your Base System?*
- A. (Bart) Nova Scotians already understand that. We've been very clear with how we've communicated our approach to customers before we established -- before we filed our application and in the meetings that we had following our application, going around to all the municipalities and explaining to them what was in our application and what we saw as key features. Yes.*
- Q. So that when you gave your opening statement this morning and you concluded with the words that "deliver natural gas at the lowest price to the most people in the best possible time," you're not going to commit at all to getting gas to any specific number of people to any specific number of*

counties by any specific time. Is that correct? You will not make a specific commitment as to those numbers of people or the time?

A. (Bart) Yes. That's correct.

Source: Transcript - Cross-Examination of Maritimes NRG panel by Sempra Atlantic Counsel - June 23, 1999, Pages 5374-5375

5.3 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic's engineering plans do not contain the same degree of detail as those of Maritimes NRG. Sempra Atlantic's position is that the plans submitted are adequate for this stage of the process. The company argues that its preliminary design work and plans are reasonable, and that more detailed plans clearly would have to be filed with the Board upon the granting of a franchise.

Sempra Atlantic appears to have taken a different approach to the access targets and, correspondingly, to system design. The evidence suggests that Sempra Atlantic used the access targets as a starting point, and then developed and costed the system with the objective of meeting or exceeding the targets. Sempra Atlantic argues that it has proposed the only distribution system which complies with the Province's requirements. It will reach all counties of Nova Scotia within seven years, will exceed the required household access targets, and will cost approximately \$1.1 billion to construct. The company proposes to undertake construction of the distribution system on its own, without recourse to the lateral policy. It intends to construct a significant portion of the high-pressure transmission lines by the end of the fourth year of the franchise. It has provided a written undertaking in its application to build the system as described subject only to *force majeure* events or if, after the fourth year of operations, the differential between the price of fuel oil and gas has fallen below the historic levels as outlined in the application. In such circumstances, Sempra Atlantic reserves the right to invoke its regulatory adjustment mechanism and appear before the Board to review the implementation of the balance of the construction schedule remaining at that time.

This regulatory adjustment mechanism can only be invoked after the fourth year of

operation. If, at that time, the differential between the price of natural gas and fuel oil is less than \$3.50/GJ (in constant 1999 dollars), Sempra Atlantic reserves the right to come before the Board with a view to setting the transportation rate at a minimum of \$3.50/GJ and possibly slowing the expansion of the distribution system until the oil and gas price differential reaches \$4.00/GJ. Sempra Atlantic asserts that the likelihood of the regulatory adjustment mechanism being invoked is remote.

5.4 FINDINGS - ACCESS TARGETS

Maritimes NRG has applied for a province-wide franchise on the basis that the Board exempt it, subject to the approval of the Governor in Council, from the requirement to comply with the provincial access targets prescribed in the **GIC Regulations**.

The Board has carefully considered the submissions of both parties as to whether an exemption can or should be granted in respect of the access targets pursuant to **GIC Regulation 10**. While the Board appreciates the helpful arguments of Counsel on this point, the Board finds that it is not necessary to make a determination on this issue. This question only becomes paramount in the event that neither applicant is prepared to meet the stated targets, or the Board is not satisfied that it is possible or feasible to meet the targets. In this proceeding, one applicant has undertaken to meet or exceed the access targets.

Clearly, the intent of the **GIC Regulations** is that access to natural gas is to be provided to all counties. It is equally clear to the Board that the Province did not intend to permit a franchise holder to unilaterally determine the extent and timing of access to natural gas. Presumably, the Province established the access targets to ensure that Nova Scotians living in all 18 counties would benefit to the greatest extent possible from the distribution of natural gas. It is reasonable to conclude that the Province felt the need to establish the access targets in recognition of the indisputable fact that the public interest and corporate interests do not always coincide. The access targets protect the public's legitimate interest in having gas distributed to all counties of the Province within the specified time frame of seven years.

In this case, the Board is satisfied that Sempra Atlantic's proposal meets the access targets. After a careful and objective assessment of Sempra Atlantic's application and after a

detailed and lengthy cross-examination of Sempra Atlantic's witnesses by Maritimes NRG, the Board has not been persuaded by Maritimes NRG's arguments that Sempra Atlantic's proposal is overly optimistic and uneconomic. No serious challenge was made to the competency of the Sempra Atlantic team. Maritimes NRG's own witness, Bruce Ellsworth, acknowledged the competence and reputation of Sempra Atlantic's parent company. Further, no plausible motive has been advanced for Sempra Atlantic to base its franchise application on overstated customer projections, overstated revenues, overstated fuel oil prices and understated construction costs. This scenario is especially doubtful since the result of such misstatement on Sempra Atlantic's part would be to put \$1.1 billion dollars of the company's money at significant risk.

Accordingly, the Board is not prepared to grant Maritimes NRG's request to be exempted from the application of the access targets. The Board finds that Maritimes NRG's proposed base system does not comply with the access targets established by the **GIC Regulations**. The Board finds that Sempra Atlantic's proposed distribution system, on the other hand, does meet the prescribed access targets.

5.5 FINDINGS - PLANS FOR SERVICE

The Board is satisfied that both applicants provided engineering feasibility studies in adequate detail to permit a sufficient understanding of their respective plans. The Board believes that the degree of detail provided by Sempra Atlantic through its company witnesses, as well as its local consultants, was adequate. The Board is also satisfied that variations in the ultimate cost of the proposed facilities from those forecast should not unduly impede Sempra from meeting its expected financial objectives over the life of its rate plan.

Maritimes NRG raised considerable concerns about the adequacy of the engineering plans of Sempra Atlantic. Without question, Maritimes NRG filed a more detailed construction plan than did Sempra Atlantic. However, in the Board's view, the degree of engineering, mapping and construction detail filed by Maritimes NRG, while commendable, exceeded the requirements for the granting of a franchise.

There was considerable discussion regarding the Sempra Atlantic build-out plan and

engineering estimates for the length and cost of pipe to meet the access targets. The Board agrees with the views expressed by the witnesses from the engineering firm of Porter Dillon Limited, a consultant to Sempra Atlantic, to the effect that engineers frequently approach the estimation of required facilities and their associated costs from different perspectives. While discrepancies in estimates did occur with respect to specific sections of Sempra Atlantic's build-out plan when compared to the estimates calculated independently by Porter Dillon, they appeared to be minor from an overall standpoint.

Further, while there was a good deal of argument about Sempra Atlantic's service regions, the Board believes that, in light of the fact that Sempra Atlantic has requested a franchise for the entire Province of Nova Scotia (as has Maritimes NRG), it is reasonable to treat the service regions as illustrative of the particular areas Sempra Atlantic is planning to serve to meet the province-wide access targets. The Board is not persuaded by Maritimes NRG's argument that Sempra Atlantic's estimated system build-out costs are understated. The Board expects that, as the service regions are refined in the future, changes in Sempra Atlantic's preliminary plans can be expected to occur. In the Board's view, the important point is the commitment by Sempra Atlantic to meet or exceed the access targets in all 18 counties and the risk which Sempra Atlantic bears that the ultimate cost may exceed its estimates.

While Sempra Atlantic's plans were more preliminary than those of Maritimes NRG, the Board finds that, based on the evidence of Sempra Atlantic's witnesses and consultants, Sempra Atlantic has provided adequate estimates of its required facilities, and the costing of those facilities, in order to go forward with its plans for natural gas service throughout the Province. Clearly, the Board expects Sempra Atlantic to comply with the requirements of the **Pipeline Act**, and to file more detailed engineering studies at the appropriate time.

The Board will require, as a condition of franchise award, that Sempra Atlantic undertake and fulfil its build-out plan subject only to *force majeure* and the regulatory adjustment mechanism. In terms of the proposed regulatory adjustment mechanism, the Board believes that, through the required reporting procedures as outlined in Schedule 'A', the Board, other interested parties, and the general public will be able to measure Sempra Atlantic's performance to ensure

compliance with the company's undertakings, and monitor the indicators which have the potential to trigger the regulatory adjustment mechanism.

6.0 RATE DESIGN

The relevant provisions of the Act governing the Board's responsibility to make a determination on rate design are set out below:

22(3) *In approving or fixing rates, tolls or charges, the Board shall give due regard to the following criteria and may give appropriate weight to each of them relative to the others:*

- (a) the related practical attributes of simplicity, understandability, public acceptability and feasibility of application;*
- (b) freedom from controversies as to proper interpretation;*
- (c) effectiveness in yielding total revenue requirements under the just and reasonable return standard;*
- (d) revenue stability from year to year;*
- (e) stability of the rates, tolls or charges themselves, with a minimum of unexpected changes seriously adverse to existing customers;*
- (f) competition;*
- (g) fairness of the specific rates, tolls or charges in the apportionment of total costs of service among the different consumers;*
- (h) avoidance of undue discrimination in rate relationships;*
- (i) efficiency of the rates, tolls or charges in discouraging wasteful use of service while promoting*

all justified types and amounts of use; and

(j) such other matters as the Board deems appropriate.

6.1 OVERVIEW - PERFORMANCE-BASED RATES

One of the cornerstones of applications for gas distribution franchises in Nova Scotia is the rate design proposal. In recognition of the requirement to meet specific household access targets, the **GIC Regulations** direct the Board to provide franchise holders with performance-based rates. The relevant regulation states:

15(1) In awarding a franchise, the Board shall provide the franchise holder with performance-based rates, tolls or charges as determined by the Board.

(2) Performance indicators on which the rates, tolls or charges in Section 15(1) are based shall be measured against criteria specified by the Board in the terms and conditions of the franchise, which criteria shall include but shall not be limited to the provision of required access and the implementation of the benefits plan.

Performance-based rate plans differ from the traditional cost of service approach. The cost of service approach consists of establishing rates which recover the cost of providing the service, plus a reasonable return on investment. In recent years, performance-based rates have been introduced in various jurisdictions. The move away from cost of service rates has been motivated by a need to address some of the inherent deficiencies in this type of rate making. Both applicants have indicated that traditional cost of service rate design is simply not appropriate for this project. This is a greenfield market which involves a costly delivery system. Gas cannot be distributed at a competitive price if start up rates reflect the actual cost of service. Performance-based rates are said to result in a number of improvements over the traditional method. The advantages of performance-based rates are often said to include the following:

- Streamline the regulatory process
- Reduce the size and complexity of rate cases
- Provide economic incentives to operate efficiently and promote productivity

- Provide the opportunity for fair and reasonable return
- Provide flexibility to design and price services

Some of the issues suggested by Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic to be considered when assessing their rate plans are:

- | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| • Pricing flexibility | • Direct cost reduction |
| • Incentives | • Sharing |
| • Innovation | • Simplicity of administration |
| • Cost minimization | • Equity |
| • Efficiency | • Understandable tariffs |

It is a considerable understatement to describe the rate proposals filed by the applicants as "complex". The Board sets out the general elements of each plan in point form below.

6.2 MARITIMES NRG

The following highlights represent the key elements of Maritimes NRG's rate plan:

- Transportation rates that are unbundled from the commodity cost of gas.
- Establishment of maximum delivery rates for consumers in the first year of service based upon achieving a 10% reduction from the estimated fuel oil price.
- Annual indexing of the delivery rate in accordance with a New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) based index based on a three year calculation of changes in the price of oil less a productivity factor of 0.5%. The NYMEX index applies to the transportation rate only.
- Creation of an Ownership Expansion Fund for use in expanding the base system. This Fund would receive its funding through member investments in a consumers co-op and from a sharing mechanism if actual performance exceeds projections.
- A profit sharing mechanism, whereby customers share on a pro-rated basis in revenues above a benchmark return after Maritimes NRG achieves a cumulative return on equity of 11%.

- A cumulative revenue deficiency account that captures all annual revenue deficiencies (below the benchmark 11% return on equity), which are intended to be recouped from the ratepayers.
- A term of ten years for the initial rate plan.
- Ability to discount transportation rates by customer class.
- Major natural gas customers rates to be negotiated within a predetermined range of rates.
- Annual Board review of rate changes.

6.3 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

The following highlights represent the key elements of Sempra Atlantic's rate plan:

- Transportation rates unbundled from the commodity cost of gas.
- Establishment of the delivered cost of gas for consumers (customers below 500 GJ annual usage) in the initial service year based on guaranteed minimum savings of 5% below the fuel oil price.
- Uniform average provincial transportation rates for all consumers using less than 500 GJ of gas per year.
- Establishment of a rate cap equal to 115% of the forecast initial transportation rates.
- The rate cap is indexed to the annual change in the Gross Domestic Product - Price Index (GDP-PI).
- Actual consumer transportation rates are reset monthly based on the then current spread between heating oil prices and gas prices in Nova Scotia to ensure a minimum 5% savings.
- Ability to discount transportation rates by customer class.
- Major natural gas customers' rates are negotiable subject to a minimum transportation rate.

- Monthly and annual reviews of rate changes by the Board to ensure conformity with the rate plan.
- Minimum consumer transportation rates of \$3.50/GJ, after the fourth year of system operation if the differential in price between natural gas and fuel oil falls below \$3.50/GJ.
- Annual sharing mechanism of excess earnings with customers when the annual return on equity exceeds 20%.
- A term of 20 years for the rate plan.

6.4 FINDINGS - PERFORMANCE-BASED RATES

Considerable time was spent during the hearing examining the merits of each of the rate plans of Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic. The Board is not satisfied that Maritimes NRG's rate plan, during its initial 10-year term, achieves the benefits normally associated with those of performance-based rates. For example, Maritimes NRG's principal method of earnings-sharing only commences after the full recovery of all shortfalls recorded in the revenue deficiency account and the cumulative return on equity of 11% has been achieved. Under its proposal, Maritimes NRG does not anticipate that there will be any sharing of excess revenues until well past the initial rate plan period of 10 years, and possibly not until approximately the 30-year point (Ex. A5-152). Further, due to the uncertainty of the rate design in subsequent periods (i.e., past the initial 10 years), there is no guarantee that Maritimes NRG would continue with its existing rate plan. Also, Maritimes NRG has made it clear, through the testimony of its witnesses that, while it expects its rates will result in a 10% saving over the price of fuel oil, it is not prepared to guarantee this saving. In contrast, Sempra Atlantic does guarantee a minimum 5% saving to the consumer over the prevailing cost of alternate fuel. Its revenue-sharing proposal is quite straightforward in its application and is not subject to any recovery of accumulated revenue deficiencies, as is the case with Maritimes NRG.

The Board has two other concerns respecting the revenue deficiency account. First, the generally accepted practice with respect to deferral accounts is that they should be amortized as soon as practical after the deferral period to avoid any conflict with the principle of intergenerational equity. This principle holds that all costs should be written off against revenues in the period to

which they pertain. The longer a deferral continues, the greater the potential to charge expenses against revenues for a period to which they do not relate. Thus, ratepayers in future years end up paying for expenses incurred in prior years. In the case of the revenue deficiency account, it is possible that the deferral period will continue for 30 years and beyond.

Secondly, if Maritimes NRG is able to effectively defer significant costs for many years, year after year, this could result in a lack of incentive to ensure that costs are controlled, since any losses caused by excessive costs, or inefficiencies in operations, can be charged to the revenue deficiency account and amortized over a number of years to be recovered against future revenues. This runs contrary to the underlying rationale for performance-based rates, which is to reward efficiency with increased profit. Further, the utilization of a revenue deficiency account is likely to require a high degree of regulatory oversight in order to determine the appropriateness of charges to the account. The Board believes that the concept of a revenue deficiency account as proposed by Maritimes NRG is indicative of a cost of service approach to rate making, rather than performance-based rate making.

After considering the criteria set out in Section 22(3) of the **Act**, and in **GIC Regulation 15**, the Board finds that the Sempra Atlantic rate plan has more of the elements of a performance-based rate plan than that of Maritimes NRG, and accordingly, the Board accepts the rate plan of Sempra Atlantic.

6.5 PRICING (RATE PLAN)

6.5.1 Overview

Both applicants told the Board that, from the perspective of a customer, the full delivered cost of natural gas is one of the most important factors in considering whether to convert (the other being the capital cost to convert). Given the greenfield nature of natural gas development in Nova Scotia, potential customers will want a guarantee from the gas distribution company that it will maintain the delivered price of natural gas below the cost of fuel oil for a significant period of time. While both applicants proposed rates that were responsive to the price of fuel oil, there are fundamental differences between their approaches.

6.5.2 Maritimes NRG

Maritimes NRG proposes establishing rate classes for customers with similar consumption patterns and setting initial rates within these classes based on the forecast price of fuel oil for the year 2000. This price will be used as a benchmark to determine the price for the distribution service. The objective, according to Maritimes NRG, is to achieve a rate for the delivered cost of natural gas that is 10% below the cost of fuel oil. This rate is divided into a distribution charge and a fixed monthly charge, and is to be adjusted annually, having regard to indexed changes in the price of fuel oil.

6.5.3 Sempra Atlantic

Sempra Atlantic proposes that the delivered cost of gas will be at least 5% less than the price of fuel oil. Sempra Atlantic acknowledges that, in order to attract customers, savings will have to be greater than 5%, and the company stressed that its ability to ensure this saving is passed on to customers is fundamental to the success of the project. It states that this challenge, and the uncertainty surrounding the willingness of marketers to serve residential consumers, is the reason for its initial request to be permitted to sell gas.

6.5.4 Findings

The Board finds that the rate plan put forward by Sempra Atlantic best serves the public interest. This plan offers a guarantee of savings, places all the risk on the company and contains more of the features of performance-based rates than the rate plan of Maritimes NRG. In view of this finding, it is not necessary to address in depth other issues relative to Maritimes NRG's plan.

Although the Board approves Sempra Atlantic's rate plan for the most part, it does have several concerns which are addressed in Sections 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8. Specifically, the Board wishes to comment on the following aspects of Sempra Atlantic's rate plan:

- Term of the Rate Plan;

- Profit Sharing and Return on Equity;
- Discounts and Rate Cap.

6.6 TERM OF RATE PLAN

The Board finds that it is in the public interest to approve the 20-year term for the rate plan as requested by Sempra Atlantic. While the term is lengthy, the unique nature and challenges of greenfield development in Nova Scotia render a 20-year term acceptable in these special circumstances.

6.7 PROFIT SHARING AND RETURN ON EQUITY

The annual sharing feature proposed by Sempra Atlantic is one which the Board accepts as an important aspect of performance-based rate plans. Sempra Atlantic has proposed that all annual returns on equity in excess of 20% are to be shared equally between the company and ratepayer. The Board is to determine whether the ratepayers' share should be used to reduce the delivered cost of gas, or to fund extensions to the distribution system. Since it is not expected that annual returns will exceed the 20% level until well into the term of the rate plan, the actual method of disposing of the ratepayers' share need not be decided at this time.

Under the Sempra Atlantic plan, as currently proposed, there is no upper limit on the amount of the excess annual return or cumulative average return which the company can earn. The Board does not believe it is in the public interest to approve this aspect of the rate plan. While it is reasonable for the company to receive a return in proportion to the degree of risk it bears, the Board believes that a ceiling on any excess return is necessary. As a condition of franchise award, Sempra Atlantic must accept the following modification to its plan:

- 1) For earnings in excess of an annual 20% return on equity but less than 30%, sharing will be on a 50/50 basis. Annual returns in excess of 30% will be shared on the basis of 75% to the credit of the ratepayer and 25% to the credit of Sempra Atlantic.
- 2) If at any time during the initial 20 year rate plan Sempra Atlantic's cumulative average return on equity reaches 14%, Sempra shall file, within six months of its year end, a five year financial outlook.

- 3) The Board accepts Semptra Atlantic's projected cumulative average rate of return of 15.2% as a reasonable rate of return on equity. However, the cumulative average return on equity cannot exceed 20% at any time during the 20 year term of the rate plan. If, prior to the end of the 20 year term, it appears that Semptra Atlantic may reach this limit, the Board reserves the right to call the company before the Board to review the issue of excess revenues and to make such amendments to the rate plan as the Board deems necessary to ensure that the cumulative average return on equity will not exceed 20% for the remainder of the term of the rate plan.

6.8 DISCOUNTS AND RATE CAP

The Province's Policy Statement dated November 3, 1998 dealing with maximizing benefits from natural gas delivery states, in part, as follows:

Nova Scotia could enjoy up to a 20% discount on transmission tolls relative to New Brunswick due to a discount in tolls and the Nova Scotia Gas Market Development Initiative. This discount will ensure Sable gas is delivered from the Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline at the lowest available delivery charge. This cost advantage should encourage demand for natural gas and, as a result, improve the business case for build out by the franchise holder.

These discounts are described in the text of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Province, Sable Offshore Energy Project Producers (SOEP), Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NSPI), and M&NP dated December, 1997 and in the Joint Position document of July, 1997. Based upon the evidence in these proceedings, there are two distinct discounts which apply to Nova Scotia. The first discount is the M&NP toll reduction. This discount, as the Board understands it, is for a period of ten years (10% per year for the first 8 years and 4% per year for the last 2 years) and will reduce the cost to Nova Scotia shippers on the M&NP system. Since the definition of "shipper" is unclear at this time, there is corresponding uncertainty as to how this discount will flow to consumers in Nova Scotia.

The second discount for Nova Scotia customers relates to a market development fund negotiated by the Province and SOEP. However, since the details of this fund have not been made public, there is some uncertainty as to the initial magnitude of the fund and how it will be distributed to gas customers in Nova Scotia. From the Policy Statement issued by the Province, it appears that

the total annual discount, including the M&NP discount, equals 20% of the annual M&NP toll.

The Petroleum Directorate stated in its final brief that the intent of the discounts is to reduce the delivered cost of gas to Nova Scotia users. Due to the time limits on the availability of these discounts, while they could be incorporated in setting delivery rates, the Petroleum Directorate recommends that the initial rate cap be established without factoring in the discounts.

The Board appreciates the position of the Petroleum Directorate regarding the use of the various discounts. As previously discussed, the Board has established an overall cap on Sempra Atlantic's cumulative rate of return on equity. Given the level of committed investment in infrastructure by Sempra Atlantic and the virtual certainty of considerable losses in the early years, the Board believes that Sempra Atlantic should be provided the opportunity to achieve a reasonable return on its investment over the life of the rate plan. However, given the apparent uncertainty about specific application of the discounts, the Board is not prepared to make a determination, at this time, as to whether Sempra Atlantic's initial rate cap should take these discounts into account. The Board directs Sempra Atlantic to undertake a review of the application of these discounts with the appropriate parties and file with the Board, prior to the commencement of delivery of natural gas to customers in Nova Scotia, its recommendations as to the appropriateness of including discounts in the determination of its rate cap, as well as its recommendations concerning the use of the discounts in relation to its rate plan to ensure, at a minimum, a 5% discount off the current price of fuel oil. The Board accepts the change in headroom from 10% to 15% in the calculation of Sempra Atlantic's rate cap.

7.0 M&NP LATERAL POLICY - OVERVIEW

A recurring topic at the hearing concerned the creation and application of the M&NP lateral policy. The applicants held widely divergent views on the merits of using the policy.

Essentially, according to the limited evidence before the Board, the policy was developed by M&NP and endorsed by the NEB. Theoretically, the lateral policy provides for the addition of pipeline facilities (i.e. branch lines off the main pipeline) to markets within the Maritime Provinces to be built by M&NP, with the cost of such extensions to be paid for by all customers on

the mainline, including shippers to the United States. This policy was developed in conjunction with the decision to establish a postage stamp toll methodology for the M&NP pipeline. The NEB agreed with M&NP that the Maritime Provinces should be considered as one market region and that the introduction of the lateral policy, coupled with the postage stamp toll, would assist the development of natural gas markets in the Maritimes. Provided certain economic tests are met, (and the application of these tests appears to be evolving in view of the evidence before the NEB in the Halifax lateral hearing), the cost of constructing laterals would be offset by the revenue generated from the additional volumes of gas flowing through the system. The cost of these lateral facilities would be "rolled in" to the existing M&NP tariff.

The NEB is the body which approves any such lateral extension through the use of the M&NP lateral policy, and the Maritime Provinces would essentially be considered as one indivisible region for purposes of assessment by the NEB. It also appears that there are various interpretations of the economic tests being advanced by parties before the NEB and that the NEB has decided to review the applicability of the lateral policy on a case by case basis rather than deal with its application on a generic basis.

Both New Brunswick and Nova Scotia clearly have an interest in expansions to the M&NP system under the lateral policy, as would all users of the system including US shippers, and these parties could intervene in the NEB process. Indeed, this was the case in the recent NEB hearing on the Halifax lateral as reflected in the evidence filed as part of the record before the Board. In that proceeding, the Province of New Brunswick objected to the approval of the Halifax lateral in the absence of a condition being placed on the approval to ensure that the full risk of the \$31 million customer contribution in aid of construction would not fall on mainline shippers.

7.1 MARITIMES NRG

Maritimes NRG based its application on extensive use of the M&NP lateral policy. Significant components of the distribution system's transmission facilities proposed by Maritimes NRG (in the order of \$200 million) would be constructed, owned and operated by M&NP. Provided these facilities meet the M&NP toll test, their cost would be rolled in to the overall capital costs of

M&NP, and recouped from all M&NP system users, including those shippers moving natural gas to New Brunswick and the United States. Maritimes NRG asserts that it will contract for sufficient long term capacity, if necessary, in order to meet the toll test. Maritimes NRG argues that, provided it contracts for capacity for a term of sufficient length on M&NP (25 years or greater), these lateral facilities to Nova Scotia communities can meet the M&NP toll test. Maritimes NRG further argues that since the facilities will be rolled into the costs of the M&NP system, its proposal will in fact save Nova Scotia gas users approximately \$200 million in facility costs, which costs will be shared among all users on the M&NP system.

7.2 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic argues that there is great uncertainty surrounding the application of the lateral policy. Sempra Atlantic points to the intervention at the Halifax lateral hearing by the Province of New Brunswick, and the lack of consensus on the application of the lateral policy by parties at the Halifax hearing, as clear indications of this uncertainty. Further, Sempra Atlantic stated that its plan does not rely on subsidies by others and, accordingly, it does not require the use of the M&NP lateral policy to undertake the construction of its system. The company submitted that if economic tests, such as the enduring market concept, have any validity at all, they should apply to a large metropolitan area such as Halifax. If the position taken by the Province of New Brunswick with respect to contributions in aid of construction should prevail with the NEB in future applications, then it is unlikely that the M&NP lateral policy would ever be of any assistance to rural Nova Scotia.

7.3 FINDINGS

The Board finds little substantive evidence before it as to the potential use of the lateral policy, beyond the existing laterals to Point Tupper, Halifax, and Saint John. Since M&NP withdrew from participation in this hearing, and no evidence was presented on its behalf, the Board is left with no definitive information from M&NP concerning the lateral policy. The letter dated July 14, 1999 (Ex. A5-114) from the President of M&NP to Maritimes NRG is not particularly helpful

in this regard.

The Board has been told by witnesses at the hearing that relevant information concerning the M&NP lateral policy, particularly in respect of its effect on available capacity and tolls, may be available to parties participating in the M&NP tolls and tariffs task force. However, in testimony and in response to Board Undertaking 18 (Ex. A5-144), Maritimes NRG indicates that discussions at these meetings are confidential to the members of the task force, and cannot be shared with the Board. While the Board can understand the need for some confidentiality respecting the negotiating position of various parties, it is difficult to review an issue as important as the lateral policy in a public forum when pertinent information concerning it is withheld from the Board and other parties who are not part of the M&NP tolls and tariffs task force.

Accordingly, the Board is left to draw inferences based on an incomplete record with respect to the lateral policy. The evidence raises grave doubts as to the usefulness of the lateral policy as a vehicle for expansion of the Nova Scotia distribution system to areas outside of Metro Halifax and Point Tupper. The Board is not persuaded that the NEB will accept the proposition that a shipper or group of shippers on M&NP in a particular region will be subsidized by other shippers to the extent that has been suggested by Maritimes NRG.

The Board believes that there is also some question as to whether the existing contracted pipeline capacity can support the additional volumes contemplated by Maritimes NRG. While witnesses for Maritimes NRG attempted to clarify this issue, the only real insight into the impact of additional contracted gas volumes on the main pipeline was provided by Irving Oil witnesses who appeared in the last days of the hearing to give evidence about marketing and supply issues. They were cross-examined by Board Counsel, and, after hearing their evidence, the Board has an unanswered concern that an increase in the current contracted capacity of M&NP, in the magnitude suggested by Maritimes NRG, to serve the Nova Scotia market, would necessitate a mainline expansion. This expansion could take the form of the addition of compressor stations, or looping of sections of the existing pipeline. The Board understands that, should this type of expansion be undertaken, the existing lateral policy would be subject to renegotiation and change.

In the Board's judgment, the potential for review of the policy itself, the distinct

possibility that arguments such as those put forward by the Province of New Brunswick at the Halifax lateral hearing might be found to be persuasive by the NEB in future applications, and the approach by the NEB in determining applicability on a case by case basis, are all reflective of the uncertainty surrounding the lateral policy issue. The Board finds that insufficient evidence on this issue was presented by Maritimes NRG, the only province-wide applicant at the hearing advocating the use of the lateral policy, to persuade the Board that the lateral policy is a reliable and effective means of constructing transmission lines in Nova Scotia at this time.

The Petroleum Directorate's final submission, which suggests that the lateral policy could be beneficial to a gas distributor, has not provided any concrete information or guidance on the application of the lateral policy. The Board is aware that staff of the Petroleum Directorate participate on the M&NP tolls and tariffs task force and have specialized knowledge of these issues. However, the Board notes that references to the lateral policy in the Directorate's brief are couched in the language of possibilities rather than certainty. They do not provide the Board with definitive answers to fundamental questions concerning application of the lateral policy.

Even if the Board were to assume that the lateral policy will work exactly as Maritimes NRG predicts, it believes there are significant public interest considerations which could negate the value of the policy in Nova Scotia. Due to the requirement for NEB hearings, with the likely intervention of other parties and uncertainty of result, there could be significant delays or even cancellation of the construction of transmission facilities required to meet the provincial access targets in a timely manner. Nova Scotia would cede any claim to jurisdiction it might have with respect to the laterals to the federal government.

Sempra Atlantic proposes to build a distribution system that does not rely on M&NP shippers to subsidize its construction or require approval by federal regulatory authorities. This independence offers considerable long term benefit to the residents of Nova Scotia and, in the Board's view, has to be carefully balanced against unsubstantiated savings which might be available under a lateral policy which is administered by a federal regulator and which may not be capable of application to many parts of Nova Scotia.

The Board remains unconvinced that the M&NP lateral policy will ensure the

maximum penetration of natural gas throughout Nova Scotia in a timely manner or that tolls will be lower than they otherwise would be. That said, and notwithstanding the many uncertainties associated with the application of the lateral policy to the roll-out of a gas delivery system in Nova Scotia, if, in the future, the concerns outlined by the Board have been adequately dealt with, the Board would not preclude Sempra Atlantic from taking advantage of the policy should it determine that it makes good business sense to do so.

8.0 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND BENEFITS PLAN

The relevant sections of the **GIC Regulations** governing the Board's responsibility with respect to the socio-economic impact statements and benefits plans are set out below.

Franchise evaluation

5 the Board shall not grant a franchise over an area unless

- (c) *the applicant has submitted to the Board a Socio-Economic Impact Statement that shall include*
 - (i) *a benefits plan, together with a written undertaking that if the applicant is granted a franchise, the applicant will take all reasonable measures to implement the benefits plan,*
 - (ii) *evidence that the applicant is fully aware of any significant socio-economic effects of the proposed franchise, has measures in place to mitigate adverse socio-economic impacts and promote positive outcomes, and is committed to carrying out those measures in order to ensure that the franchise benefits the people directly affected by it with minimal disturbance to desirable aspects of their way of life,*
 - (iii) *the probable benefits of the construction and operation of the delivery system, and*
 - (iv) *the nature and extent of the impact of the sale and consumption of natural gas within the proposed franchise area;*
- (d) *the benefits plan has been approved by the Board;*

- 7(1) *The Board shall not approve a benefits plan unless the plan provides that*
- (a) *the applicant will establish in the Province an office where decisions are made at a level of authority that the Board considers appropriate;*
 - (b) *the applicant and its contractor shall train and employ persons residing in the Province unless the applicant can demonstrate that all reasonable efforts to employ and train persons residing in Nova Scotia have been explored and exhausted requiring the recruitment and hiring of persons residing outside the Province;*
 - (c) *where the Board considers appropriate, the applicant will carry out a program and make expenditures for the promotion of education and training in the Province;*
 - (d) *the applicant and its contractors will contract for services to be provided from within the Province and procure goods manufactured in the Province, where those services and goods are competitive in terms of fair market price, quality, performance and delivery;*
 - (e) *the majority of the applicant's Board of Directors are residents of Nova Scotia; and*
 - (f) *if the applicant is not a municipality, residents of Nova Scotia shall have a meaningful and significant opportunity to participate in the ownership of the applicant by the end of the tenth year of the franchise.*
- (2) *Subject to subsection (1), the Board may approve a benefits plan if, in the Board's opinion, it would be in the public interest to do so.*
- (3) *The Board may make the approval of a benefits plan subject to such terms and conditions as are specified by the Board at the time the benefits plan is approved.*

8.1 MARITIMES NRG

Maritimes NRG provided evidence to the Board of the importance of natural gas generally to the provincial economy, as well as the specific benefits of the Maritimes NRG plan. The company commissioned studies by consulting firms which examined the potential employment, environmental, economic and social impacts of the introduction of natural gas to Nova Scotia under

the Maritimes NRG plan. Employment will be generated by system construction, as well as the ongoing operation of the Halifax head office of Maritimes NRG (where the President of the company will be located), and in regional offices. Maritimes NRG argues that its slower paced roll-out plan will prove to be an advantage in that more time is available to train Nova Scotia residents and this will create more opportunity for employment of local workers in the long run. Maritimes NRG will implement policies whereby goods and services are procured wherever possible from Nova Scotia businesses. The company has engaged in an extensive public information program promoting its plan to bring natural gas to Nova Scotia. Maritimes NRG provided a list of public information sessions which it held, and committed to continue information dissemination to various groups including First Nations communities. It also submitted information regarding consultation with community groups, as well as a Memorandum of Understanding with the Nova Scotia Community College to undertake various training programs. The applicant has proposed that local ownership of up to 20% of the company can be achieved through the creation of a voluntary co-operative, membership in which will be open to all customers of Maritimes NRG. The Board of Directors of Maritimes NRG will select one of the co-op Board Members to sit on the Maritimes NRG Board.

8.2 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic's approach to benefits was fairly similar to that of Maritimes NRG. It commissioned expert reports from consultants who reported on the potential economic, social, employment and environmental impacts of natural gas on the Province. Sempra Atlantic's benefits plan is based on the construction and operation of a \$1.1 billion project, as well as related infrastructure improvements and the benefit of an alternate energy source. Sempra Atlantic plans to provide training and employment for Nova Scotia residents, and promises to procure goods and services from local sources wherever possible. It states that recruitment of non-Nova Scotians will occur only after all other alternatives are exhausted. Arrangements for the training of students interested in careers in the gas industry are currently being discussed with the Nova Scotia Community College. Sempra Atlantic will also provide safety training for fire and police officials, and proposes to operate under a Nova Scotia content regime which is similar to, but better than, that

currently in place with the SOEP group.

Like Maritimes NRG, Sempra Atlantic has undertaken an extensive public consultation program including meetings with fuel oil dealers, appliance contractors, community groups, municipal officials and First Nations Groups.

Sempra Atlantic commits to employ approximately 350 people in its Halifax head office and across the Province in the operation of its system. It commits to locate a call centre in Cape Breton, as well as at least two regional offices in the Province. Sempra Atlantic also commits to a meaningful opportunity for up to 49% ownership by Nova Scotia residents, and states that specific Nova Scotia ownership details will be filed within 90 days of approval by the Governor in Council of the grant of franchise by the Board. Sempra Atlantic has already appointed a Board of Directors, the majority of whom are Nova Scotia residents.

8.3 FINDINGS

It is the view of the Board that, since **GIC Regulation 5(c)(i)** requires a written undertaking that the applicant will take all reasonable measures to implement the benefits plan, it follows that an applicant must, at a minimum, make a clear commitment to Nova Scotians that the gas distribution system proposed will be constructed. As has been noted earlier in this decision, in several instances the evidence of Maritimes NRG makes it abundantly plain that the company is not providing an unequivocal commitment to build its base system. The company's plans are subject to economic conditions.

The Board finds that Maritimes NRG's application does not provide the requisite degree of certainty that residents of the Province will get the maximum benefit from their natural gas resource. If economic conditions are unfavourable, it is quite possible that elements of Maritimes NRG's base system will not be constructed. The Board is also concerned that Maritimes NRG's proposal for an annual review of its construction plans could be both onerous and divisive. The Board believes that this annual review would have the potential to degenerate into an annual conflict among various communities of the Province, pitting region against region in the competition for timely access to gas. Further, it is not clear to the Board what the outcome would be should the

Board disagree with the annual plan submitted by Maritimes NRG.

As a result of Semptra Atlantic's unequivocal commitment to build-out the system for four full years, regardless of economic conditions (only after the fourth year can the regulatory adjustment mechanism be triggered), there is a better likelihood, in the Board's view, of maximizing the benefits of natural gas for Nova Scotia. The Board is satisfied that Semptra Atlantic's socio-economic impact statement and benefits plan meet the regulatory criteria set out at the beginning of this Section. Accordingly, the Board approves them, subject to the following modifications:

- a) Semptra Atlantic is directed to expand the definition of a Nova Scotian (only in respect of measurement of staffing levels for full time employment). Currently the definition is based on eligibility to vote (i.e., six month residency). The Board believes it should be expanded to include individuals who were born in Nova Scotia. The Board considers that it is important to not only provide employment opportunities to individuals who are currently residing in Nova Scotia, but to provide an opportunity to attract back to Nova Scotia former residents who have left to obtain employment outside of Nova Scotia.
- b) Semptra Atlantic is directed to file with the Board its plans to establish a call centre in Cape Breton and its plans to establish regional offices.
- c) The Board expects Semptra Atlantic to engage in aggressive public information/consultation efforts to promote the safe use of natural gas. Semptra is required to file a copy of all written information to be used by the company in the promotion of natural gas, such as advertisements, educational brochures, etc.

9.0 FINANCIAL CAPABILITY AND RELATED EXPERIENCE

The relevant statutory provisions governing the Board's responsibility in making a determination on the issues of financial capability and experience are as follows:

8 (2) Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration

- (d) the financial capability of the applicant;*
- (e) related experience of the applicant in the delivery of gas.*

9.1 MARITIMES NRG

The information initially provided by Maritimes NRG concerning the financial capability of its sponsors consisted of the annual report of Westcoast Energy Inc., and a letter from Arthur Irving, President of Irving Oil Ltd., (Ex. A5-91(B)). The letter, dated June 21, 1999 reads in part as follows:

I, Arthur Irving, am an authorized official of Irving Oil Limited, and represent the Sponsor in respect of Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited (the "Applicant").

The Sponsor has read and understands Maritimes NRG's Application for a province-wide franchise to distribute natural gas in Nova Scotia, including all errata, responses to information requests, and rebuttal evidence made by the Applicant (collectively, the "Application").

As one of the sponsors of Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited, Irving Oil Limited is committed to facilitate the implementation of Maritimes NRG's "Rollout Plan". Based upon the Application, Irving Oil Limited is highly confident that it will be able to arrange for funding to enable Maritimes NRG to develop the "Rollout Plan".

Maritimes NRG also proposed to make available a \$10 million bank letter of credit to secure its obligations. Maritimes NRG refused to provide Irving Oil's financial statements to the Board. While the company did make some additional financial information concerning Irving Oil available on a confidential basis, the Board was told that Irving Oil is a privately held company whose financial statements have never been made public. Maritimes NRG argues that Irving Oil's commitment and financial capability is demonstrated by its extensive corporate presence in Nova Scotia for more than 75 years.

9.2 SEMPRA ATLANTIC

Sempra Atlantic filed its parent company's (Sempra Energy) Annual Report for the year ending 1998, including financial statements. Sempra Atlantic's witnesses assured the Board that Sempra Energy has extensive borrowing capability and adequate resources to finance the project internally if necessary. In addition, a resolution of Sempra Energy's Board of Directors dated March

2, 1999 (Ex. A1-6) was filed which authorized the expenditure of \$703 million US (\$1.1 billion CDN) to construct a gas delivery system in accordance with the application as filed. The resolution reads as follows:

WHEREAS, the officers of Sempra Atlantic Gas ("SAG"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy Utility Ventures ("SEUV"), a wholly owned intermediate subsidiary of this corporation, have prepared and filed an application for award of an exclusive franchise to the Nova Scotia Utilities and Review Board (the "Application") seeking award of a franchise to provide local natural gas distribution service to the Province of Nova Scotia, Canada (the "Franchise"), which Application and Franchise have been described to this Board of Directors; and

WHEREAS, the officers of SAG, in consultation with the officers of SEUV and the officers of this corporation, will negotiate the terms and conditions of the Franchise based on the Application and evidence adduced in public hearings on the Application memorializing the proposed terms of the Franchise;

RESOLVED, that the proposed terms and conditions of the Franchise set forth in the Application, as described to this Board of Directors, are fair and in the best interest of the corporation and the proper officers of the corporation are hereby, and each of them acting alone hereby is, authorized and directed to confer with the officers of SAG and SEUV to authorize them to execute and carry out the terms of the Franchise in the name of SAG, in a form and upon the terms substantially as described to this Board of Directors.

RESOLVED, that this corporation is hereby authorized to confer with the officers of SAG and SEUV, and authorize them to expend up to \$703 million to fund the construction and operation of the distribution service contemplated by the Franchise.

RESOLVED, that any and all prior actions taken by the officers of this corporation, and any one of them, in connection with the actions authorized by these resolutions are hereby ratified, confirmed and approved; and

RESOLVED, that the officers of this corporation, and any one of them, is hereby authorized and instructed to execute any and all documents and perform any and all additional acts which may be necessary or appropriate in order to carry out the forgoing resolutions.

Sempra Energy Board of Directors - March 2, 1999

9.3 FINDINGS - FINANCIAL CAPABILITY

The Board considers that in order to discharge its responsibility under the above-noted statutory requirement, it must have access to sufficiently detailed financial information about an applicant, or its sponsor. The Board has to form an opinion of the financial capability of the applicant in order to be satisfied that the applicant is able to undertake the construction and operation of the facilities outlined in the application.

The financial information from Westcoast became of limited relevance when the Board, during cross-examination of Maritimes NRG witnesses, learned that Irving Oil was to be the sole financial investor and shareholder in the project. The withdrawal of Westcoast as a financial sponsor of Maritimes NRG created an even greater onus on Irving Oil to ensure adequate financial information was made available.

The Board understands the reluctance of a privately held firm to disclose confidential information. However, the process for awarding a natural gas franchise in Nova Scotia has been determined by legislation to be a public process. Fairness, openness, and transparency are important principles for the Board to observe so that it is clear to all that the details of each franchise application have been properly scrutinized in a full and thorough manner.

After a review of the Annual Report of Sempra Energy, and the evidence of Sempra Atlantic witnesses respecting the financial issues, the Board finds that Sempra Atlantic has satisfied the requirements of the **Act** relating to financial capability.

The Board panel, subsequent to the hearing, received and reviewed evidence submitted by Maritimes NRG, on a confidential basis, concerning the financial capability of Irving Oil. The Board finds that the evidence, while somewhat helpful, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of Section 8(2) of the **Act** because it does not enable the Board to reach a conclusion as to Maritimes NRG's financial capability.

The Board wishes to make it clear that it is not disqualifying Maritimes NRG solely on this issue. Rather, the failure to disclose adequate financial information weighs against Maritimes NRG in the consideration of its overall application. Maritimes NRG has expended much time, effort, and expense in this proceeding, as have other parties and participants. The Board believes

that in keeping with the principles of fairness, openness, and transparency, a reasoned decision with a full assessment of the merits of the various components of each application best serves the public interest.

9.4 FINDINGS - EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE

It is not necessary in the Board's view to summarize the evidence of the parties relating to expertise and experience. Both proponents stand unchallenged as having the requisite expertise and experience to build a gas distribution system in Nova Scotia. Accordingly, the Board is satisfied that both Maritimes NRG, through the experience of its staff, advisors, and Westcoast's commitment of technical expertise, and Sempra Atlantic, through its staff, advisors and sponsors, have the requisite experience and expertise to safely construct and operate a gas distribution system in Nova Scotia.

10.0 SEPARATION OF DELIVERY AND SALES FUNCTIONS

Both Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic requested the ability to buy and sell natural gas under certain circumstances. Both parties' rate design mechanisms were developed to facilitate a saving in the delivered price of natural gas to customers relative to the price of fuel oil. However, only Sempra Atlantic requested a licence to sell natural gas to consumers so as to ensure that its rate plan would achieve a minimum 5% saving off the prevailing price of fuel oil.

The Board denies this request and directs Sempra Atlantic to conduct the purchase and sale of natural gas through a separate affiliate or subsidiary. The Board believes the introduction of a marketing affiliate will permit Sempra Atlantic to accomplish its pricing objectives, as well as send a clear message that the delivery and sales functions are indeed separate.

The Board's view is that the **Act** and **GIC Regulations** require, as a matter of principle, that the natural gas market in Nova Scotia should operate in an unbundled manner. This entails a clear separation between the functions of buying and selling natural gas and the physical delivery of natural gas to customers. **Section 30** of the **Act** states as follows:

Restriction on power to issue licences

30 (1) *Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, no public utility as defined in the **Public Utilities Act** or holder of a franchise shall be issued a licence pursuant to this Part.*

(2) *For greater certainty, nothing in subsection (1) precludes an affiliate or subsidiary of a public utility as defined in the **Public Utilities Act** or holder of a franchise from applying for and being issued a licence pursuant to this Part.*

Further, **GIC Regulations 5(e)** and **13(1)(j)** establish requirements which are designed to foster competition in the sale of natural gas:

5 *the Board shall not grant a franchise over an area unless*

- (e)** *the applicant has provided commitments satisfactory to the Board to encourage competition among agents, marketers and brokers in the sale of gas within the proposed franchise area by specifying,*
 - (i)** *in a code of conduct filed with the Board, the relationship between the applicant and any marketing affiliate and the degree of separation between the applicant and any marketing affiliate, and the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure that its marketing affiliate gains no competitive advantage as a result of its affiliation with the applicant, and*
 - (ii)** *the availability to all affiliated and unaffiliated marketers of detailed market information including name, address, telephone number and energy usage of customers and potential customers in the proposed franchise areas; and*

13(1) *A full regulation class franchise shall be subject to the following terms and conditions;*

- (j) *a franchise holder may sell gas upon such terms and conditions as are determined by the Board, and in making such determination, the Board shall restrict such sales to those necessary for the effective and efficient operation of the gas delivery system;*

It is clear from the above-noted provisions that they are intended to permit the franchisee to ensure that the gas distribution system is operated in an effective and efficient manner, but that the distributor's ability to purchase and sell natural gas should be limited to this objective. This may include, for example, such items as the purchase of linepack and balancing daily fluctuations due to various operating conditions on the pipeline. The Board anticipates that Sempra Atlantic may also wish initially to provide peaking, default and backstopping services to ensure adequate supplies of natural gas to customers. However, the Board is of the opinion that these services must, even in the initial stages, be provided by an affiliate. The Board expects that, as the competitive natural gas market develops in Nova Scotia, these services will also be provided by independent third parties.

In directing Sempra Atlantic to fully separate its delivery and sales functions, the Board wishes to make it clear that there is no intent to frustrate the success of Sempra Atlantic's rate plan. The appropriate degree of separation between these activities, and the code of conduct governing Sempra Atlantic's relationship with its marketing affiliate, will be examined in greater detail in Phase II. In the Board's view, however, the code of conduct should not be used to frustrate Sempra Atlantic's objective of providing savings to prospective natural gas customers nor should it impair Sempra Atlantic's ability to attract potential customers to its system and achieve its stated goal of exceeding the provincial access targets. The Board recognizes the immense capital investment that Sempra Atlantic will have to make in its distribution system and it has to be able to ensure that maximum efforts are being undertaken to obtain customer conversions. The Board expects that, as Sempra Atlantic's system build-out plan is achieved and a competitive natural gas market develops, there may be a need to re-examine the code of conduct.

Both Sempra Atlantic and Maritimes NRG filed proposed codes of conduct to govern their dealings with affiliates. While Maritimes NRG's code is more detailed than Sempra Atlantic's,

the Board is satisfied that Semptra Atlantic's code is sufficient to ensure non-affiliated parties will be treated in the manner required by the **Act and GIC Regulations**.

The Board expects to hear additional evidence from interested parties regarding Semptra Atlantic's proposed code of conduct in Phase II of these proceedings. Irving Oil raised a concern respecting interaction between Semptra Atlantic and an affiliate marketer that could potentially restrict competition. Irving Oil fears that, since Semptra Atlantic's transportation rates are linked to changes in the price of fuel oil, a Semptra Atlantic marketing affiliate could undercut the price of natural gas without negatively impacting the Semptra group's overall bottom line. Irving Oil believes this creates the potential for unfair competition. It is the Board's view that the natural gas market in Canada and the United States has developed to the point where there is sufficient competition to force transparency in gas pricing. Irving Oil's concern has been noted, and the Board will be monitoring the natural gas market in Nova Scotia. In addition, the Board will closely monitor the operation of Semptra Atlantic's rate plan to ensure that all marketers are treated in a fair and equitable manner and will hear complaints concerning any cross-subsidization or other misapplication of the Semptra Atlantic rate plan.

11.0 CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING PROVINCE-WIDE FRANCHISE APPLICATIONS

The Board has determined that Semptra Atlantic be granted a full regulation class franchise for the Province of Nova Scotia, and that all other franchise applications be denied.

Semptra Atlantic's application was chosen over its principal competitor, Maritimes NRG, because:

1. Semptra Atlantic has provided an unequivocal commitment to build-out its planned distribution system for at least four full years. Maritimes NRG has refused to provide such a commitment, indicating that, each year, the following year's build-out plan is subject to an economic feasibility test. While Semptra Atlantic has requested that there be a regulatory adjustment mechanism which could be invoked to delay further construction if the price

spread between fuel oil and gas falls below \$3.50/GJ, it would only become effective after four full years of system build-out during which time a significant portion of the transmission facilities will have been constructed.

2. Sempra Atlantic has committed to build a gas distribution system that will meet or exceed the provincial access targets in each of the 18 counties within seven years. Maritimes NRG, on the other hand, has indicated it will meet or exceed the provincial access targets in only five of the 18 counties (assuming growth in the number of households) within the seven year period. Consequently, Maritimes NRG has requested an exemption from complying with the required access targets, stating that it is not financially feasible to do so. Further, Maritimes NRG has not even committed to build its base system.

The Board is satisfied that Sempra Atlantic's proposal meets the access targets. Clearly, the intent of the **GIC Regulations** is that access to natural gas is to be provided in all counties. Maritimes NRG's plan does not provide any access in four of the counties, and does not meet the required access targets in another nine counties. The Board is not convinced that it would be in the public interest to grant Maritimes NRG's request to be exempted from the application of the access targets.

3. Maritimes NRG's application relies heavily on the M&NP lateral policy for purposes of constructing transmission facilities. Maritimes NRG argues that since the cost of these facilities will be rolled into the M&NP toll, pursuant to the lateral policy, Nova Scotia gas users will save approximately \$200 million, which costs will be absorbed by all shippers on the system, including M&NP's customers in New Brunswick and the United States.

The lateral policy was debated at great length during the hearing. However, the Board finds that insufficient evidence was presented on this issue by Maritimes NRG and the Board is not persuaded that the lateral policy can be relied upon to ensure the timely construction of

transmission facilities in Nova Scotia.

4. Sempra Atlantic and Maritimes NRG arrived at different consumption estimates for purposes of calculating their revenue projections. While both parties have used reasonable methods to develop their estimates, the Board recognizes that there are likely to be inaccuracies in any estimates based on market analysis. Since these estimates directly affect revenue projections, the Board must be cognizant of its responsibility to protect customers from unnecessary risk.

In the case of Sempra Atlantic, the proposal to develop a gas distribution system is financed in total from corporate resources or corporate borrowing. No subsidy or other indirect financial assistance to build the system is sought or suggested. In the case of Maritimes NRG, while a subsidy is not sought as part of the application, losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are proposed to be accumulated in what is called a revenue deficiency account. Maritime NRG's plan is subject to the condition that the company will be permitted to eventually recover, from future ratepayers, all accumulated losses tracked through this account so as to ensure a cumulative 11% rate of return on equity. The ratepayers, therefore, ultimately bear the risk of Maritimes NRG's losses, including those losses which occur as a result of lower than expected penetration rates or consumption levels.

Sempra Atlantic, on the other hand, does not assume a guaranteed recovery of revenue deficiencies, or losses. Losses incurred in building and operating the gas distribution system are at the risk of Sempra Atlantic, which seeks only the opportunity to recover such losses through the potential for higher returns in later years, which are by no means assured. The company has committed to construct its system as planned, regardless of losses, for the first four full years.

5. Semptra Atlantic has guaranteed that the delivered cost of natural gas to customers will be at least 5% less than the price of fuel oil. While Maritimes NRG has indicated that the delivered cost of natural gas to customers will likely be 10% less than the price of fuel oil, it is not prepared to guarantee any particular level of savings. The Board finds that since Semptra Atlantic provides a guaranteed saving, customers face less exposure to risk under its plan.
6. The Board has also considered the issue of financial capability to undertake the project. The Board finds that, based on the evidence submitted, Semptra Atlantic has satisfied the requirements of the **Act** relating to financial capability. While Maritimes NRG did submit certain financial information, on a confidential basis, the Board finds that the evidence, while somewhat helpful, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the **Act**, and does not enable the Board to reach a conclusion as to Maritimes NRG's financial capability.

In view of the above factors, and after considering all the issues set out in this decision, the Board finds that the public interest is best served by choosing Semptra Atlantic to be the Province's distributor of natural gas.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 8 of the **Gas Distribution Act**, the Board grants a full regulation class franchise to Semptra Atlantic for a period of 25 years subject to the terms and conditions attached in Schedule 'A'. By virtue of Section 8(3) of the **Act**, this grant of franchise is subject to the approval of the Governor in Council.

PART TWO

MUNICIPAL AND CO-OPERATIVE APPLICATIONS

12.0 INTRODUCTION

In response to this first public request for gas distribution franchises in Nova Scotia, six municipal or co-operative applications were filed. Subsequently, two applicants withdrew. The original applicants were:

- Antigonish Community Gas Co-operative Limited
- Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative
- Pictou County Joint Expenditure Board (**withdrawn**)
- Town of Annapolis Royal
- Town of Berwick
- Strait Area Gas (**withdrawn**)

Since Pictou and the Strait Area withdrew their applications, it is not necessary to comment specifically on them. However, in Section 12.5, the Board will make some general observations respecting the applications filed by municipalities and co-operatives, as well as on potential future applications by municipal and co-operative applicants.

It is important to bear in mind that three of the four remaining applicants, (the Town of Berwick, the Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative and the Town of Annapolis Royal), are unable to access natural gas until such time as the provincial franchisee constructs a transmission lateral to serve their specific franchise areas. On the other hand, the Point Tupper lateral, which will be in close proximity to Antigonish, has already been approved. It is reasonable to conclude that gas could be available to Antigonish prior to it being available in the Annapolis Valley.

The economic and financial consequences of the dependence of the three Valley applicants upon others to provide transmission service create two problems which were not

addressed in the applications. The first relates to the requirement for a single "postage stamp" gas transportation rate to consumers. Consumers in areas proposed to be served by these applicants would pay toll charges for use of the provincial distribution system as well as the local distributor's charges. This potentially could result in a higher transportation rate for consumers in these areas. This would be in conflict with **GIC Regulation 16(1)** which, for ease of reference, is repeated here:

***16(1)** The Board shall create a single provincial rate, toll or charge for gas transportation services to consumers.*

The second problem concerns the applicants' compliance with the provincial access targets for the counties in which these franchises have been requested. These applicants have proposed construction programs which are spread out over a period of several years after gas is first available in their respective areas. This could result in the delivery of natural gas to their proposed customers well beyond the provincial access time frame of seven years. In addition, there is no guarantee in all cases that the limited area franchise will result in the access targets for the county being achieved.

12.1 TOWN OF BERWICK

The Town of Berwick submitted an application for a full regulation class franchise to supply natural gas in the Town and to adjacent parts of Kings County for a term of 25 years. As part of its application, the Town provided a description of the proposed franchise area and its boundaries, which consists of that area of Kings County covering the floor of the Annapolis Valley bounded by the Cambridge Road to the east, Aylesford Road to the west, Prospect Road to the south and Highway 221 to the north.

Financial information filed by the applicant included projections of revenue and expenses; proposed rates and operating revenues; schedule of capital expenditures and debt servicing costs; projected capital fund balance sheet; and schedule of rate base and rate of return calculations. Other material filed included a report entitled "Natural Gas Distribution Report on Generic Issues" by Campbell Ryder Engineering Ltd. of Edmonton, Alberta, prepared for the Nova Scotia Regional

Development Authorities and the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities, dated December 1998, as well as the financial statements for the Town of Berwick for the year ended March 31, 1998. The Campbell Ryder Study did not relate to a particular franchise area in Nova Scotia. No specific engineering studies were undertaken to support the application. The Town advises that it has a low debt ratio and can arrange financing for the system through the Nova Scotia Municipal Finance Corporation.

In addition, information was provided by this applicant through responses to information requests from various parties and by witnesses on cross-examination during the proceedings.

The Town's application outlines the potential market for the franchise area. This includes 2,500 housing units, 260 businesses, seven institutions and four industrial or processing plants. Gas consumption estimates for each class of customer were provided based on the experience of distributors in other jurisdictions and material received from the Petroleum Directorate.

The Town stated in its application that its distribution system will be connected, directly or indirectly, to the Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline and further stated, "It is assumed that gas is available at the commodity price plus or minus transmission tariffs . . ." The Town intends to establish a separate agency to perform the gas seller function.

While the Town relies on the experience and administrative capacity of the electric utility which it operates, it acknowledges that it does not have any direct experience in gas delivery. The Town plans to hire an operations manager with gas experience and two other staff.

The Town's proposal involves connecting to the provincial distribution system at a pressure reduction station. The construction of the delivery system, which is proposed to provide access to most of the potential customers in the franchise area, is estimated to cost \$3,650,000 in 1998 dollars. A six year roll-out plan is proposed with construction in stages of 20% in each of the first two years and 15% per year thereafter.

The Town filed a socio-economic impact statement which outlines the benefits of natural gas to area residents, businesses and municipal government, as well as the potential for

negative impact on competing energy providers. Local contractors would be used in system construction and goods and services would be procured locally.

The Town's intention to apply for a franchise has been reported in local media and public information sessions have been held, including consultation with representatives of Annapolis Valley First Nations.

12.1.1 Findings

The Board recognizes that the information presented by the applicant, both in filings and in response to written and oral questions, represents considerable time and effort on the part of the individuals involved.

The test for this applicant, as outlined in the **GIC Regulations**, is the same as the test for the provincial applicants in terms of meeting the requirements for a full regulation class franchise. While municipal and co-operative applicants were exempted by the **GIC Regulations** from the requirement to pay an application fee, it is important to note that the **GIC Regulations** governing the granting of a franchise make no such exemption in respect of the regulatory criteria to be met.

The following response to Information Request #4 from the Town of Berwick to Geostorage, an intervenor, is cause for concern to the Board:

We have not developed load profiles on any time base. Our projections and Proforma statements are NOT based on detailed engineering work, market surveys, or rate design but rather on generic cost of construction numbers and assumed customer acceptance rates and consumption rates. It is impossible to provide these profiles in a meaningful way on this basis.

Similar responses by the Town to questions by other parties, including responses given by its witnesses during the hearing, indicate that the applicant has not undertaken any independent, detailed analysis concerning many of the fundamental assumptions on which its application is based.

The Board finds that, at a minimum, applicants for a full regulation class franchise must demonstrate that they have undertaken a thorough examination of all of the key factors which

support the development of a safe and reliable natural gas delivery system. This can be done either through the applicant's own staff, or as is more likely the case in a greenfield situation, through the retention of external expertise. The practice of submitting expert reports and studies which assess and support key points in a utility's application is standard in electric and water utility applications to the Board, and there should be no difference in natural gas applications.

As demonstrated by the evidence, it is clear that the applicant did not undertake sufficient independent, detailed analysis to support its application in key areas such as engineering and operational design, demonstration of financial capability, market surveys and penetration studies.

Based on the material submitted by the Town of Berwick prior to the commencement of the proceeding, and in reviewing the responses by its witnesses at the hearing, the Board has concluded that the applicant has not demonstrated it has performed the necessary due diligence to support its application, or that it has the financial capability or expertise to undertake the construction and operation of a safe and reliable natural gas delivery system.

In particular, the Board is not satisfied that the applicant undertook sufficient study of the financial requirements to construct and operate the required natural gas delivery system. The applicant presented Keith Arthur, who has been involved in the construction and operation of rural community natural gas delivery systems in Manitoba. However, the evidence indicates that these systems were developed under a different regulatory environment and required significant government subsidies. Mr. Arthur's experience, while helpful in explaining the development of rural natural gas distribution in western Canada, cannot readily be applied to the existing regulatory and fiscal realities in this province.

At this time, there is no known commitment by the Province or the Federal Government to provide subsidies or other financial assistance for the development of natural gas infrastructure in Nova Scotia. It would not be prudent for the Board to assume that public funds will be available to assist communities in the development of a natural gas system. Indeed, one of the intervenors at the hearing, COHA, argued that no subsidies should be made available in view of their inherent unfairness to suppliers of other forms of energy.

The Board has an unanswered concern that the projected financial statements may not

reasonably reflect the costs to construct and operate the system as proposed. Therefore, the Board is concerned that the granting of a gas franchise to the Town of Berwick may result in subsidies being required to build the system infrastructure and to fund the operating losses which may occur during the initial years of operation. Further, as discussed above, the residential customer may well be required to pay a higher cost for gas delivery than other consumers in the Province.

Sempra Atlantic proposes to service the Town of Berwick in its build-out plan and, in the Board's view, the ratepayers in the proposed franchise area would be best served by the province-wide distributor. Accordingly, the Board denies the application by the Town of Berwick.

12.2 CENTRAL ANNAPOLIS VALLEY NATURAL GAS CO-OPERATIVE

The Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative, a member-owned co-operative, applied for a full regulation class franchise for the geographic area generally bounded by the Town of Berwick to the east, the Town of Middleton to the west, Highway 221 to the north, and Harmony Road to the south. The proposed franchise term is 25 years.

The application states that the potential market for natural gas consists of 4,500 housing units, 100 businesses, nine institutions and eight industrial or processing plants. The gas supply arrangements are identical to those outlined in Berwick's application, relying on an interconnection to the M&NP (direct or indirect) and on the assumption that "... being connected will make available to the system natural gas at the commodity price plus or minus transportation costs". The applicant proposes to finance the project by issuing debentures and members of the co-op will participate in the enterprise through share capital. With no in-house experience in gas delivery, the applicant plans to hire an operations manager with gas distribution experience as well as two utility operators.

The applicant estimates the cost of the construction of the delivery system to be \$5,772,405 in 1999 dollars. The construction schedule is the same as for Berwick - commencing with the construction of the main pipeline through the Annapolis Valley and extending for six years thereafter with 20% of the system being constructed in each of the first two years and 15% in each year thereafter.

A socio-economic impact statement was filed which was very similar to the one described in Berwick's evidence. Additional information regarding the inherent benefits of co-op ownership was also provided, including the unique accountability of management to membership which is characteristic of co-operatives. The application states that "This co-operative rejects special privileges from government or other agencies which will make it difficult for us to become self-sufficient".

The application briefly describes a code of conduct and refers to local media reports on the co-op's proposal, as well as public information sessions held.

Other information filed included pro forma financial statements; listing of potential customers by class; consumption forecasts; balance sheet; statement of income; capital investment summary and rate of return; revenue base assumptions and capital expenditures. Further, the Co-operative relied upon the Campbell Ryder generic study filed by the Town of Berwick. As was the case with the Town of Berwick, no specific engineering studies were undertaken in support of the application. The applicant provided additional comments on its application through the information request process and during its appearance before the Board.

12.2.1 Findings

The Board recognizes the time and effort the applicant has invested in this process. While it appears that the applicant undertook some assessment of the potential market to be served, the level of examination was rather cursory and, therefore, may be subject to considerable error. Given the potential financial risk to the applicant resulting from errors in estimating market demand, the Board is not satisfied the applicant would be able to provide the requested service as anticipated in its franchise application.

Further, one of the fundamental risks and challenges for a successful natural gas franchise in Nova Scotia, which was discussed at considerable length during the hearing, is the ability of natural gas to compete with existing energy sources such as fuel oil. The applicant, in response to Board Information Request 10(c), indicated that this vital factor was not examined in the detail which the Board believes necessary, nor was it considered in the establishment of the delivery

rates. In its response, the applicant stated as follows:

Forecasting the price of natural gas is beyond the scope of this application. Natural gas is assumed to be priced competitively to allow for projected market penetration. Profiting from the purchase and resale of natural gas was not part of the Co-Op's application.

This provides the Board with no reasonable assurances that customers in the franchise area will be motivated to convert to natural gas.

The Board has an unanswered concern that the projected financial statements may not reasonably reflect the costs to construct and operate the system as proposed and the Board is not satisfied that the applicant has the financial capability to construct and operate the system. Accordingly, the Board is concerned that the granting of a gas franchise to Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative may result in subsidies being required to build the system infrastructure and to fund the operating losses which may occur during the initial years of operation. Further, as noted earlier, the residential customer could potentially pay a higher cost for gas delivery than other consumers in the Province.

This applicant's filings respecting capital and operating costs and the level of staffing required for the safe and reliable operation of its distribution system are inadequate, in the Board's view, to satisfy the **GIC Regulations**. While there may be a desire by communities to own and operate gas delivery systems, this goal should not be realized at the risk of the area's residents being unable to access natural gas in a reasonable time frame and at a competitive price.

Accordingly, the Board denies the application of Central Annapolis Valley Natural Gas Co-operative. The Board notes that Sempra Atlantic, in its build-out plan, is scheduled to service the geographic area included in this franchise application more quickly than this applicant proposes, and at a price for natural gas guaranteed to be a minimum of 5% lower than fuel oil, with the risk that the system will not be successful being borne by Sempra Atlantic.

12.3 TOWN OF ANNAPOLIS ROYAL

The Town of Annapolis Royal applied for a full regulation class franchise to distribute natural gas within the town boundaries for a term of 25 years. The Town described the potential market for natural gas as consisting of approximately 340 housing units and 45 industrial, commercial and institutional locations. The Town relies on a connection to the M&NP system, directly or indirectly, and assumes that gas will be available at the commodity price plus or minus transmission tariffs. The Town proposes that the gas utility will be established as a separate entity and that another agency will act as a gas seller. The Town proposes to obtain financing through the Municipal Finance Corporation.

Access to gas delivery service is proposed for 100% of the franchise area. The cost of the system is estimated to be \$523,224 in 1998 dollars. The system will be constructed over a six year period in co-ordination with the arrival of the main pipeline. Since the Town has no direct gas experience, it intends to hire an experienced gas operations manager and a utility operator. The Town will rely on its water utility experience for the administrative side of the gas utility's operations. No specific studies were carried out in support of the Town's application.

A brief statement on the socio-economic impact of natural gas is included in the application, along with an outline of local media reports concerning the application, and a report on a public meeting held with respect thereto.

The Town filed financial information consisting of pro forma financial statements which include a capital fund balance sheet; proposed rates and projected operating revenue; rate base/rate of return calculations; proposed statement of revenue and expenses; schedule of capital expenditures and debt servicing costs.

12.3.1 Findings

The Board's findings in respect of the application by the Town of Annapolis Royal are very similar to its findings in respect of the other municipal and co-operative applicants. The Board recognizes the time and effort the applicant has invested in this process.

Along with the engineering, physical construction and operational challenges of a

natural gas distribution system, there is also the requirement for adequate financial capability in order to undertake the ongoing development and operation of the distribution system. The Board is not satisfied that the applicant has met the regulatory criteria in any of these key areas and, therefore, must deny the franchise application. The Mayor clearly conveyed to the Board and participants at the public hearing that the process was not conducive to municipal applicants with limited funds. While there may be merit in this view, the process is simply the mechanism by which the Board evaluates applications under the **Act** and **GIC Regulations** established by the Province. The Province did not exempt municipal applicants with limited funds from meeting the regulatory criteria for a gas franchise. In the case of an applicant with no in-house experience, meeting the criteria necessarily involves the expense of retaining external expertise. This expertise is not only necessary in order to satisfy the Board that the requirements have been met, but is essential for the applicant in terms of having the best possible advice for purposes of determining whether it is feasible to make an application in the first place. No studies were undertaken to support the application and accordingly, the Town did not have benefit of this expert advice.

The Board has an unanswered concern that the projected financial statements may not reasonably reflect the costs to construct and operate the system as proposed. Consequently, the Board is concerned that the granting of a gas franchise to the Town of Annapolis Royal may result in subsidies being required to build the system infrastructure and to fund the operating losses which may occur during the initial years of operation. Further, as discussed above, the residential customer may well be required to pay higher cost for gas delivery than other consumers in the Province. Accordingly, the Board denies the application by the Town of Annapolis Royal.

The Board wishes to indicate its willingness to consider a subsequent franchise application by the Town of Annapolis Royal if a satisfactory service arrangement cannot be made with Sempra Atlantic, since the Town is not currently included in Sempra Atlantic's proposed build-out plan. However, any subsequent application made by the Town must address the deficiencies in its current application.

12.4 ANTIGONISH COMMUNITY GAS CO-OPERATIVE LIMITED

The Antigonish Community Gas Co-operative Limited (ACGCL) was established to provide natural gas lines, gas and services to members in the Town and County of Antigonish. The applicant has applied for a full regulation class franchise for a term of 25 years. Unlike the other three franchise applicants, predecessor groups in Antigonish undertook two independent analyses, including a natural gas feasibility study relating to natural gas utility development and a study on conversion to natural gas which surveyed households in the Town and County. While ACGCL objected to the release of these studies, and argued that the financial analysis in the Campbell Ryder Study was not reflective of ACGCL's application and therefore not relevant, these studies are part of the evidence before the Board. This applicant has the advantage of having several individuals in the Co-operative who have been active in promoting the education and active participation of local communities in the development of natural gas in Nova Scotia.

ACGCL proposes a franchise area which consists of the entire County of Antigonish and intends to serve all economically feasible areas within the Town and County. Potential markets were identified by physical count, surveys, interviews and other data. An estimated seven year, 40% penetration rate is projected. A total of 1,305 customers were categorized by usage. Gas supply is assumed to be available from the capacity secured by the Province under the Memorandum of Understanding of December, 1997. The gas requirements of ACGCL are estimated at 1,000 MMBtu per day which is not significant given the available capacity. ACGCL indicates that it may take service off the Point Tupper Lateral.

The Town and County of Antigonish both operate water utilities and the Town also operates an electric utility. The applicant plans to draw on this experience for service, management and billing purposes. ACGCL also intends to utilize the resources of the Federation of Alberta Gas Co-ops for training and operations manuals.

The proposal is designed around a 10-year plan which may or may not involve constructing a 25.5 km steel transmission pipeline to connect to the Point Tupper Lateral. The requirement for construction of this transmission lateral depends on the outcome of negotiations with M&NP, and the application of the lateral policy. The applicant, in testimony, referred to the

possibility of a future co-generation facility which, depending on its location, could result in ACGCL connecting directly to the mainline. Build-out will occur over the first seven years of operation with roughly equal amounts of construction each year. Total capital cost of construction is estimated to be approximately \$7,800,000 within the first 10 years, not including the cost of the transmission pipeline. The applicant proposes a delivered rate for gas that is at least 15% below the cost of fuel oil.

A socio-economic impact statement was filed by the applicant outlining anticipated person years of employment over the seven year construction schedule and other benefits of access to natural gas. Also, details of the rather extensive public information program which was conducted by the applicant were filed with the Board as well as supporting financial information.

More specifically, the applicant filed a description of the franchise application and its boundaries; a study by Ian Spencer, Professor of Marketing at St. Francis Xavier University relating to a survey of households in Antigonish Town and County with respect to their intentions to convert to natural gas; a feasibility study for development of a natural gas utility to serve the Town and County of Antigonish by Campbell Ryder Engineering Ltd.; and pro forma financial statements. These include a statement of revenue and expenses; balance sheet; annual capital expenditures; rates and utility income; rate base; rate of return; capital assets and amortization; annual cash flows; and operating expenses.

Further information on the application was obtained through information requests from the Board and other participants, as well as through cross-examination of ACGCL witnesses at the hearing.

12.4.1 Findings

The Board recognizes that the application by ACGCL represents a good deal of time and effort on the part of the applicant. Although ACGCL filed a more comprehensive application than the other three municipal and co-operative applicants, the evidence contained in its financial statements poses a significant problem in terms of compliance with the regulatory requirement to demonstrate financial capability. This is further complicated by the uncertainty surrounding

ACGCL's connection to the M&NP system.

The financial statements indicate significant losses, and these losses occur throughout the 10 year period covered by the statements. The negative financial situation is worsened by the possibility that the applicant may also have to finance a transmission lateral. This cost is not included in the present financial statements and, according to the testimony at the hearing, the estimated cost of such a transmission lateral ranges from \$3 million to \$7 million. The Board, in Section 7 of this decision, has commented on the concerns it has regarding the application of the M&NP lateral policy to additional areas of the Province. There is no substantive evidence before the Board of the likelihood of a co-generation plant which could facilitate ACGCL's connection to the M&NP system. Therefore, there is a real possibility that the applicant would have to build the transmission line itself, thereby adding significantly to its debt and to its operating losses.

The Board is not satisfied that ACGCL has demonstrated the ability to finance the construction of the required facilities, nor is the Board persuaded by ACGCL's arguments that financial institutions will be willing to finance a project under these circumstances.

The Campbell Ryder study indicates that, in the longer term, a gas distribution system will be feasible for the Town and County of Antigonish. However, the study also concludes that at the time it was prepared (November, 1998), the delivered cost of natural gas to Antigonish would not be sufficiently competitive to support the development of a natural gas utility. Also, the Spencer marketing study raises concerns about the level of conversion to natural gas by potential customers and the challenges of convincing homeowners to convert. Other areas of concern are common to the other applicants and these are set out in the Board's general comments found in Section 12.5.

The Board has noted earlier that one of the major issues in the construction of a gas delivery system is the risk of lower than anticipated conversion rates and the impact this will have on transportation rates and the viability of the utility. Given the financial results contained in the pro forma financial statements provided by the applicant, and the conclusions and observations contained in the Campbell Ryder and Spencer studies, the Board is not satisfied ACGCL has the financial capability to mitigate this risk.

For these reasons, the Board denies the application of ACGCL.

12.5 CONCLUSIONS—MUNICIPAL AND CO-OPERATIVE APPLICANTS

Certain general conclusions can be drawn after reviewing the municipal and co-operative franchise applications. In considering these applications, the Board finds it useful to refer to the Policy Statement entitled “Maximizing Benefits from Natural Gas Delivery” referred to earlier in this decision. This policy provides direction in terms of evaluating these applications against the Province’s objective of maximizing the benefits of natural gas through meeting the provincial access targets. The full text of the Policy Statement can be found in Schedule ‘D’.

At page 2 of the Policy Statement, under Section C, “Feasible Access to Gas Throughout Nova Scotia”, the following statement appears:

The Government believes that initially the most economic and efficient delivery system should be developed and run as a single franchise. The benefits of doing so are as follows:

- *Rate Levelling: The Government believes that all Nova Scotians should have access to gas at the same basic delivery cost (similar to the province-wide rates for access to electricity).*
- *Quality of Service: A single franchise holder can minimize overheads, and provide a consistent, high quality of service to all customers.*
- *Speed of Initial Build Out: Some areas of the Province will be more attractive to provide service due to population density or large commercial demand. Through a combination of regulated rates of return and providing incentive returns, less attractive areas will be developed quicker.*
- *Investment Leverage: A single firm will have more incentive to invest in programs such as Research and Development and Training and Development when the benefits will be directed to their firm rather than a number of competing firms.*

In reviewing the **Act, GIC Regulations** and Policy Statement, it appears the Nova Scotia Government intended to establish a regulatory framework which would attract applicants from

the private sector possessing the expertise and resources necessary to successfully develop an extensive gas distribution system in a greenfield environment. In this respect, it is vital that applicants demonstrate that they have the financial capability to undertake the financing, construction and operation of a gas delivery system.

The Board is not satisfied that the municipal and co-operative applicants have the financial capability to fully undertake the plans set out in their respective applications and to bear the risks associated with the possibility that forecasts might not be realized. In some cases, it appears that completion of the project would be dependent upon some level of government assistance. No example was provided to the Board by the applicants of a similar gas utility in Canada being developed without a subsidy. The Board does not believe it is in the public interest to award a franchise at this time to any applicant if it appears that subsidies may be required to enable it to construct and operate its system. This is especially true when there is a viable commercial alternative.

Furthermore, the Province has established requirements for the safe and reliable operation of a natural gas system by a franchisee. These applicants did not persuade the Board that they either had the necessary experience or that they had sufficiently anticipated the requirements to operate the delivery system in a manner that would meet the provincial requirements.

As discussed at the beginning of this Part, it appears that certain municipal and co-operative applicants have not addressed the requirement for a common "postage stamp" consumer rate for gas transportation service throughout Nova Scotia. This is particularly relevant in circumstances where the applicant is dependent upon the provincial franchisee to construct pipeline facilities to connect the applicant to the natural gas grid. In the Board's view, the problem relating to the delivered price of natural gas to consumers, when gas delivery involves the utilization and costs of two natural gas distribution systems, was not adequately addressed by the applicants. The Board is faced with the prospect of gas consumers in these areas waiting longer for gas than consumers located in areas served by the provincial distributor and the potential that when gas is eventually available, it will be more expensive than elsewhere in the Province. Notwithstanding the undeniable benefits of a community owned and operated gas utility, the risks associated with these

applications are fundamentally unacceptable in the Board's view.

12.6 SUPPLEMENTAL FRANCHISES

The Policy Statement contains the following:

The intent of awarding a Nova Scotia Franchise is to maximize the opportunity for the widest possible delivery system to be developed quickly for Nova Scotia. The Government anticipates that this will still leave some areas of the Province underserved or unserved by gas. These areas will continue to be served by other fuel sources such as oil, electricity, propane and wood.

A number of steps can be taken which provide options for customers which do [not] have access to gas as part of the Nova Scotia Franchise.

- *Multiple Franchises: The Act and Regulations allow for more than one franchise to be awarded. Other private firms, municipalities and cooperatives are examples of entities which may seek Supplemental Franchises.*
- *Application Fees: The Government has directed that the UARB shall not impose an application fee on municipalities or cooperatives intending to distribute gas only to residents of the municipality or members of the cooperative.*

3. Timing:

While a great many factors will drive the actual dates, the timing of issuing Supplemental Franchises may be shortened under two scenarios:

- *the Nova Scotia Franchise holder agrees to forfeit rights to a particular geographic area to another party; or*
- *a specific application for an unserved area is made to the UARB.*

Such awards will be made based on the process, terms and conditions outlined in the Act, Regulations and this Policy.

The Board views supplemental franchises as an alternative available to communities not served by the provincial distributor.

The Board recognizes, as contemplated in the Policy Statement, that certain geographic areas within Nova Scotia may be unserved by Semptra Atlantic even though its build-out meets the provincial access targets. The Board anticipates that municipalities in this situation, which believe there is potential for a viable natural gas system within their geographic boundaries, will work with Semptra Atlantic to determine if modifications to the Semptra Atlantic proposal are feasible.

If, however, by the time natural gas is within reach of these delivery areas, and an arrangement with Semptra Atlantic cannot be reached, applications can be made for a supplemental franchise as contemplated in the Policy Statement. It will be important for these applicants to bear in mind the concerns raised by the Board in this decision concerning the deficiencies contained in the municipal and co-operative applications.

PART THREE

OTHER ISSUES

13.0 REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Both Maritimes NRG and Sempra Atlantic presented long term rate plans. Due to the greenfield nature of the Nova Scotia market and the challenging economics of this project, both applicants submitted that regulatory certainty is necessary to create a stable environment in which the franchisee can attract new customers and have an opportunity, over the life of the franchise, to earn a fair and adequate return on investment.

The Board must balance the need to ensure performance by the franchisee in conformity with the law and policy objectives with the need of the franchisee to operate in an effective and efficient manner in order to maximize access to gas while minimizing system costs.

As part of its acceptance of the province-wide franchise for natural gas distribution in Nova Scotia, Sempra Atlantic will be entering into a regulatory compact with the Province through its interactions with the Board, various government agencies, and its customers.

In granting the franchise to Sempra Atlantic and approving its rate plan, as described in this decision, the Board recognizes the need for a departure from the traditional regulatory cost of service model. The Board intends to monitor the construction, operations, rate plan, and implementation of Sempra Atlantic's benefits plan to ensure compliance with the **Act**, **GIC Regulations** and Policy Statement of the Province. While the Board agrees in principle with the appropriateness in the present case of a form of regulation different from the conventional regulatory regime, the Board retains full authority to ensure Sempra Atlantic complies with the **Act** and **GIC Regulations**, and fulfils its public commitment to the people of Nova Scotia. The Board's ongoing role is reflected in Sections 33(1) and (3) of the **Act**, which read as follows:

- 33 *(1) The Board may hold an inquiry as to whether any breach of a term or condition of a franchise has occurred.*

(3) After an inquiry pursuant to this Section, the Board may, if it finds that a breach of a term or condition of a franchise has occurred, amend or cancel the franchise.

The Board believes that in the proposed regulatory regime, reporting requirements take on added importance as an instrument to monitor compliance by Semptra Atlantic with its obligations. Semptra Atlantic, in its final submission to the Board, attached a proposed Regulatory Calendar. The Board has incorporated Semptra Atlantic's suggested filing schedules, with minor modifications, into the conditions of franchise award set out in Schedule 'A' of this decision.

14.0 SINGLE END USER CLASS FRANCHISE

The Board was surprised by the lack of participation in these proceedings by potential industrial users of natural gas. Natural gas development in the Maritimes has been portrayed as presenting a significant energy alternative to industry. Further, as the natural gas infrastructure develops in Nova Scotia, it is anticipated that additional opportunities to attract new industries to the Province will be created.

There was discussion at the hearing respecting the financial advantages of industrial customers being able to directly connect to the federally regulated system of M&NP, thus bypassing the provincial franchisee. It was suggested that Nova Scotia industrial companies would be disadvantaged by having to pay the franchisee's industrial rate as compared to industrial customers in New Brunswick and the United States Northeast who will have the ability to directly connect to M&NP. On the other hand, such conditions as demonstration of creditworthiness (which may require posting a letter of credit related to M&NP's monthly demand charges), and transmission rates which are set on the basis of a 100% load factor (shippers are required to commit to paying the transportation rate 100% of the time regardless if the capacity is fully used) are some of the significant impediments to direct connection.

In New Brunswick, industrial companies are permitted to directly connect to the M&NP system provided they apply for and receive a single end user franchise and pay a specified annual fee to the Province. Also, as a result of the NEB decision approving the M&NP project and

the postage stamp toll, natural gas customers in New Brunswick pay the same M&NP transmission rate as do gas customers in Nova Scotia (excluding the impact of any preferential Nova Scotia discounts). Natural gas customers on the U.S. portion of the M&NP system not only pay the same transmission rate as in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, but also have to pay tolls for the U.S. system, the rate for which is comparable to the Canadian rate.

As part of Sempra Atlantic's proposal, it seeks the ability to negotiate the industrial rate down to a minimum level in order to enable it to attract industrial customers and to compete against competitive fuels and direct connections to the M&NP system. Sempra Atlantic also suggested that some of the M&NP terms and conditions for direct access to its system are onerous and that the distributor can offer various services which may better suit the needs of potential industrial customers.

Having examined the various positions presented, the Board finds that, upon acceptance of the provincial franchise by Sempra Atlantic, any industry in Nova Scotia wishing to directly connect to the M&NP mainline must first apply to the Board for a single end user class franchise. The Board believes it is in the public interest to require industrial customers seeking to bypass the provincial distribution system, to demonstrate their need to do so. The Board is not convinced that industrial customers should have the ability to unilaterally decide to bypass the provincial distribution system. Neither is the Board persuaded that this requirement will have a detrimental economic impact on industries in Nova Scotia as compared to New Brunswick (with its annual fee to be charged to a single end user franchise), and the US Northeast (with the additional M&NP transmission fee).

The Board expects Sempra Atlantic, as it has indicated during the proceedings, will vigorously pursue Nova Scotia industrial companies with a view to attracting them as customers. In the Board's view, the requirement to seek a franchise to bypass Sempra Atlantic's system will create a level playing field and will send the proper economic signals to all parties.

Single end user franchise applicants should be aware that, depending upon the nature of the application and the public interest shown therein, the Board may require a public hearing concerning the franchise application. Accordingly, the Board directs that an industrial company that

wishes to directly connect to the M&NP system must first file an application with the Board accompanied by the requisite fee.

15.0 MUNICIPAL FEES/TAXES

Sempra Atlantic proposed in its final brief that it be given the right to surrender or amend its franchise in the event it is faced with the imposition of excessive municipal fees. It is instructive to note that the granting of natural gas franchises is not within the jurisdiction of municipal governments. Accordingly, any fees relating to the utilization of municipal rights-of-way or lands should not be considered to be "franchise fees".

GIC Regulation 13(4) gives the Board final authority to determine the appropriateness of municipal fees:

13(4) If pursuant to Section 78 of the Public Utilities Act, a municipality does not consent to the construction requested or gives consent that is unacceptable to the franchise holder, the matter shall be referred by the franchise holder to the Board.

It is important that parties recognize that, for the development of a successful greenfield natural gas market in Nova Scotia, costs must be minimized. The Board expects municipalities to negotiate with Sempra Atlantic in good faith so that the municipal fees will be reasonable in light of the objective of the Province to have a viable natural gas delivery system for the benefit of Nova Scotia. The Board does not believe it is in the best interests of Nova Scotia to burden either Sempra Atlantic or its customers with excessive municipal fees or taxes.

PART FOUR

SUMMARY OF BOARD FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. GRANTING OF FRANCHISE

Pursuant to Section 8 of the **Gas Distribution Act**, the Board grants a full regulation class franchise to Sempra Atlantic for a period of 25 years subject to the terms and conditions attached in Schedule 'A'. By virtue of Section 8(3) of the **Act**, this grant of franchise is subject to the approval of the Governor in Council. All other applications are denied.

2. AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE SUPPLY

The Board finds that both Sempra Atlantic and Maritimes NRG have met the criteria in the **Act** in respect of availability of adequate gas supply.

3. EXISTENCE OF MARKETS

The Board finds that the two provincial applicants have provided sufficient information, data and analysis to meet the requirements of the **Act** relating to the existence of actual and potential markets.

4. CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Both parties have used reasonable methods to develop their estimates. Since these estimates directly affect revenue projections, the Board must be cognizant of its responsibility to protect potential customers from unnecessary risk. The Board has concluded that since Sempra Atlantic, unlike Maritimes NRG, bears the financial risk in the event of lower than estimated consumption rates, there is a less risk to customers under the Sempra Atlantic plan.

5. RELIABILITY OF PRICE FORECASTS

The Board finds that both applicants provided reasonable estimates of the likely outlook for oil and natural gas prices, and has concluded that, since Semptra Atlantic guarantees a minimum saving of 5%, (except in circumstances where the regulatory adjustment mechanism is triggered - see section 5.3), and Maritimes NRG does not guarantee any level of saving, customers face less exposure to risk under the Semptra Atlantic proposal.

6. ACCESS TARGETS

The Board finds that Semptra Atlantic's projections of access to households under its distribution system meet the access targets prescribed in the **GIC Regulations**. The Board finds that Maritimes NRG's projections of access to households do not meet these targets. The Board will not agree to Maritimes NRG's request to be exempted from the application of the access targets.

7. PLANS FOR SERVICE

While Maritimes NRG filed a more detailed construction plan than did Semptra Atlantic, the Board finds that Semptra Atlantic has provided adequate estimates of its required facilities, and the costing of those facilities, in order to meet its plans for natural gas service throughout the Province. The Board expects Semptra Atlantic to comply with the requirements of the **Pipeline Act**, and to file more detailed engineering studies at the appropriate time.

The Board will require, as a condition of franchise award, that Semptra Atlantic undertake and fulfil its build-out plan subject only to *force majeure* and the regulatory adjustment mechanism.

8. RATE DESIGN

The Board finds that the Semptra Atlantic rate plan has more of the elements of a performance-based rate plan than does the plan of Maritimes NRG.

The Board finds that the rate plan put forth by Semptra Atlantic best serves the public

interest, and that it is in the public interest to approve the 20-year term for the rate plan as requested by Sempra Atlantic.

9. PROFIT SHARING AND RETURN ON EQUITY

While it is reasonable for Sempra Atlantic to receive a return in proportion to the degree of risk it bears, the Board believes that a ceiling on any excess returns is necessary. As a condition of franchise award, Sempra Atlantic must accept the following modifications to its plan:

- a) For earnings in excess of an annual 20% return on equity but less than 30%, sharing will be on a 50/50 basis. Annual returns in excess of 30% will be shared on the basis of 75% to the credit of the ratepayer and 25% to the credit of Sempra Atlantic.
- b) If at any time during the initial 20 year rate plan Sempra Atlantic's cumulative average return on equity reaches 14%, Sempra shall file, within six months of its year end, a five year financial outlook.
- c) The Board accepts Sempra Atlantic's projected cumulative average rate of return of 15.2% as a reasonable rate of return on equity. However, the cumulative average return on equity cannot exceed 20% at any time during the 20 year term of the rate plan. If, prior to the end of the 20 year term, it appears that Sempra Atlantic may reach this limit, the Board reserves the right to call the company before the Board to review the issue of excess revenues and to make such amendments to the rate plan as the Board deems necessary to ensure that the cumulative average return on equity will not exceed 20% for the remainder of the term of the rate plan.

10. DISCOUNTS AND RATE CAP

The Board is not prepared to make a final determination, at this time, as to whether Sempra Atlantic's initial rate cap should take into account the two discounts on transmission tolls which may be available to Nova Scotia. The Board directs Sempra Atlantic to undertake a review of the application of these discounts with the appropriate parties and file with the Board, prior to the commencement of delivery of natural gas to customers in Nova Scotia, its recommendations as to

the appropriateness of including discounts in the determination of its rate cap, as well as its recommendations concerning the use of the discounts in relation to its rate plan to ensure, at a minimum, a 5% discount off the current price of oil.

The Board accepts the change in the headroom factor from 10% to 15% in the calculation of Sempra Atlantic's rate cap.

11. M&NP LATERAL POLICY

The Board finds little substantive evidence before it as to the potential use of the lateral policy beyond the existing laterals to Point Tupper, Halifax, and Saint John. The Board is not persuaded that the NEB will accept the proposition that a shipper or group of shippers on M&NP in a particular region will be subsidized by other shippers to the extent that has been suggested by Maritimes NRG. The Board finds that insufficient evidence on this issue was presented by Maritimes NRG, the only province-wide applicant at the hearing advocating the use of the lateral policy, to convince the Board that the lateral policy is a reliable and effective means of constructing transmission lines in Nova Scotia at this time.

12. SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND BENEFITS PLAN

The Board finds that Maritimes NRG's application does not provide the requisite degree of certainty that residents of Nova Scotia will get the maximum benefit from their natural gas resource. If economic conditions are unfavourable, it is quite possible that elements of Maritimes NRG's base system will not be constructed.

The Board approves Sempra Atlantic's socio-economic impact statement and benefits plan, subject to the following comments and directions:

- a) Sempra Atlantic is directed to expand the definition of a Nova Scotian (only in respect of measurement of staffing levels for full time employment). Currently the definition is based on eligibility to vote (i.e. six month residency). The Board believes it should be expanded to include individuals who were born in Nova Scotia. The Board considers that it is important to not only provide employment opportunities to individuals who are currently residing in Nova

Scotia, but to provide an opportunity to attract back to Nova Scotia former residents who have left to obtain employment outside of Nova Scotia.

- b) Sempra Atlantic is directed to file with the Board its plans to establish a call centre in Cape Breton and its plans to establish regional offices.
- c) The Board expects Sempra Atlantic to engage in aggressive public information/consultation efforts to promote the safe use of natural gas. Sempra is required to file a copy of all written information to be used by the company in the promotion of natural gas, such as advertisements, educational brochures, etc.

13. FINANCIAL CAPABILITY AND RELATED EXPERIENCE

The Board finds that Sempra Atlantic has satisfied the requirements of the Act relating to financial capability. The Board finds that the evidence submitted by Maritimes NRG, on a confidential basis, concerning the financial capability of Irving Oil, while somewhat helpful, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of Section 8(2) of the Act because it does not enable the Board to reach a conclusion as to Maritimes NRG's financial capability.

The Board is satisfied that both Maritimes NRG, through the experience of its staff, advisors, and Westcoast's commitment of technical expertise, and Sempra Atlantic, through its staff, advisors and sponsors, have the requisite experience and expertise to safely construct and operate a gas distribution system in Nova Scotia.

14. SEPARATION OF DELIVERY AND GAS FUNCTIONS

The Board denies the request of Sempra Atlantic that it be permitted to have a licence to sell natural gas to consumers. The Board directs Sempra Atlantic to conduct the purchase and sale of natural gas through a separate affiliate or subsidiary.

In directing Sempra Atlantic to fully separate its delivery and sales function, the Board wishes to make it clear that there is no intent to frustrate the success of Sempra Atlantic's rate plan. The appropriate degree of separation between these activities, and the code of conduct

governing Sempra Atlantic's relationship with its marketing affiliate, will be examined in greater detail in Phase II. In the Board's view, however, the code of conduct should not be used to frustrate Sempra Atlantic's objective of providing savings to prospective natural gas customers nor should it impair Sempra Atlantic's ability to attract potential customers to its system and achieve its stated goal of exceeding the provincial access targets. The Board is satisfied that Sempra Atlantic's code of conduct is sufficient to ensure non-affiliated parties will be treated in the manner required by the **Act and GIC Regulations**.

15. MUNICIPAL AND CO-OPERATIVE APPLICATIONS

The Board is not satisfied that the municipal and co-operative applicants have the financial capability to fully undertake the plans set out in their respective applications and to bear the risks associated with the possibility that forecasts might not be realized. The Board does not believe it is in the public interest to award a franchise at this time to any applicant if it appears that subsidies may be required to construct and operate its system. This is especially true when there is a viable commercial alternative.

16. SUPPLEMENTAL FRANCHISES

The Board views supplemental franchises as an alternative available to communities not served by the provincial distributor. Municipalities, not so served will be able to apply for a supplemental franchise, bearing in mind, however, the concerns raised by the Board in this decision respecting the deficiencies contained in the municipal and co-operative applications.

17. REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

In granting the franchise to Sempra Atlantic and approving its rate plan, as described in this decision, the Board recognizes the need for a departure from the traditional regulatory cost of service model. The Board intends to monitor the construction, operations, rate plan, and implementation of Sempra Atlantic's benefit plan to ensure compliance with the **Act, GIC Regulations** and Policy Statement of the Province. While the Board agrees in principle with the

appropriateness in the present case of a form of regulation different from the conventional regulatory regime, the Board retains full authority to ensure Sempra Atlantic complies with the **Act and GIC Regulations**, and fulfills its public commitment to the people of Nova Scotia.

18. SINGLE END USER CLASS FRANCHISE

The Board directs that, upon acceptance of the provincial franchise by Sempra Atlantic, any industrial company in Nova Scotia wishing to directly connect to the M&NP system must first apply to the Board for a single end user class franchise. Such application is to be accompanied by the requisite fee.

19. MUNICIPAL FEES AND TAXES


Pursuant to **GIC Regulation 13(4)**, the Board has the final authority to determine the appropriateness of municipal fees. The Board expects municipalities to negotiate with Sempra Atlantic in good faith so that municipal fees will be reasonable. The Board does not believe it is in the best interests of Nova Scotia to burden either Sempra Atlantic or its customers with excessive municipal fees or taxes.


20. CONDITIONS OF FRANCHISE AWARD


The Board in granting the province-wide franchise to Sempra Atlantic has established Terms and Conditions of Franchise Award. These Conditions are set out in Schedule 'A' to this decision.

An Order will issue accordingly.

DATED at Halifax, Nova Scotia, this 16th day of November, 1999.


John A. Morash, C.A., Chair


Margaret A.M. Shears, Vice-chair


John L. Harris, Q.C., Member

SCHEDULE 'A'

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF FRANCHISE AWARD

The Board imposes the following terms and conditions on the grant of franchise to Sempra Atlantic.

1. The terms and conditions set out below are in addition to the terms and conditions contained in the **Act** and **GIC Regulations**.
2. Unless the Board otherwise directs, the full regulation class franchise granted by the Board, subject to Governor in Council approval, shall be held and operated by Sempra Atlantic.
3. Sempra Atlantic shall implement or cause to be implemented its plan for the construction of its natural gas delivery system and shall meet or exceed the required access targets as prescribed in the **GIC Regulations**.
4. Sempra Atlantic shall take all reasonable measures to implement or cause to be implemented all of the commitments, policies and practices set out in its socio-economic impact statement and benefits plan included in or referred to in its application, and as adduced in evidence before the Board in this proceeding.
5. Sempra Atlantic shall take all reasonable measures to implement or cause to be implemented all of the commitments, policies and practices set out in its rate plan, as modified herein by the Board included in or referred to in its application, and as adduced in evidence before the Board in this proceeding. The Board's modifications are as follows:

- I. **Profit Sharing**

For earnings in excess of an annual 20% return on equity but less than 30%,

sharing will be on a 50/50 basis. Annual returns in excess of 30% will be shared on the basis of 75% to the credit of the ratepayer and 25% to the credit of Sempra Atlantic.

II. Cumulative Return on Equity

- (a) If at any time during the initial 20 year rate plan Sempra Atlantic's cumulative average return on equity reaches 14%, Sempra Atlantic shall file, within six months of its year end, a five year financial outlook.
- (b) The Board accepts Sempra Atlantic's projected cumulative average rate of return of 15.2% as a reasonable rate of return on equity. However, the cumulative average return on equity cannot exceed 20% at any time during the 20 year term of the rate plan. If, prior to the end of the 20 year term, it appears that Sempra Atlantic may reach this limit, the Board reserves the right to call the company before the Board to review the issue of excess revenues and to make such amendments to the rate plan as the Board deems necessary to ensure that the cumulative average return on equity will not exceed 20% for the remaining term of the rate plan.

III. Rate Cap Setting Mechanism

In determining the initial rate cap, Sempra Atlantic shall not include in its calculations the transportation discounts for Nova Scotians from Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline and the Nova Scotia Gas Market Development Initiative. Sempra Atlantic shall review the application of the discounts and present its recommendations to the Board prior to the commencement of the delivery of natural gas to customers.

- 6. Sempra Atlantic shall file with the Board, in a timely manner, all required applications pursuant to the **Pipeline Act** and regulations, and any other applicable enactments, with respect to the construction, operation, maintenance and inspection of its gas delivery system.
- 7. Sempra Atlantic's duty to perform its obligations shall be temporarily suspended to the extent that it is affected by a circumstance constituting a *Force Majeure Event*.

In order for a circumstance to constitute a *Force Majeure Event*, Sempra Atlantic shall (i) promptly notify the Board of such event and its cause and confirm the same in writing within twenty-four (24) hours of its discovery, (ii) promptly supply such available information about the event and its cause as may be reasonably requested by the Board and (iii) immediately initiate reasonable efforts to remove the cause of the event or to lessen its effect. Suspension of Sempra Atlantic's performance obligation shall be of no greater scope and of no longer duration than that which is reasonably necessary in the judgment of the Board, and Sempra Atlantic shall use commercially reasonable diligence to remedy its inability to perform.

8. Subject to Section 19 of the **GIC Regulations**, Sempra Atlantic is entitled, without penalty of any kind, to surrender the franchise at any time if, upon application to the Board, it can demonstrate the occurrence of any one or more of the following specific circumstances:

- (a) Operational failure of the Sable offshore gas production facilities that would have a substantial negative impact on all, or a material part, of Sempra Atlantic's system;
- (b) Failure of M&NP to build and operate all of the pipelines and other related facilities that are reasonably required by Sempra Atlantic to operate its system; or
- (c) Failure by Sempra Atlantic to receive all reasonably required environmental or other governmental approvals in a timely manner, or receipt of any such permits and approvals which contain unreasonably burdensome or restrictive terms or conditions that would have a substantial negative impact on all, or a material part, of

Sempra Atlantic's system.

9. Sempra Atlantic may apply to the Board for amendment of its franchise pursuant to Sections 18 and 19 of the **GIC Regulations** if any of the circumstances set forth in the preceding paragraph occur and Sempra Atlantic nonetheless elects to proceed with an appropriately modified franchise.
10. Unless the Board otherwise directs, Sempra Atlantic shall follow the following Regulatory Calendar set out in Appendix F of Sempra Atlantic's closing argument, subject to minor modifications by the Board:

I. <u>Annual: Filing Requirement</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
1) Financial Reports	March 31 (for prior year)
2) Fuel Oil/Gas Price Forecast Report	March 31 (subsequent three year period)
3) Socio-Economic Report including the Pipeline Benefit Plan	March 31 (for prior year)
4) Access Target Progress Report	March 31 (for prior year)
5) Transportation Rate Cap Adjustment	November 1(effective 1/01)
6) Annual Monthly Customer Charge Adjustment	November 1(effective 01/01)
7) (Possible) Bid process for annual default gas supply service	September-October (effective 01/01)

II. Monthly:

- 1) Monthly transportation rate calculation (3 working days before prior month end).
- 2) Posting of the discounts to residential, small commercial, and small industrial classes of customers (3 working days before prior month end).
- 3) (Possible) Bid Process for default service:

Time line for Monthly Bid Process:

Bid package	8 working days before month end
Accept bids and post	5 working days before month end

Set monthly transportation rate 3 working days before month end

III. Semi-annual:

Report on benefits plan every six months commencing 180 days from the date of Governor in Council approval of the grant of franchise by the Board, for first seven years of construction of system, with quarterly informal consultations with the Board.

IV. Periodic and/or Single Filing:

- 1) Phase II filing on Sempra Atlantic's Code of Conduct.
- 2) Filing of Sempra Atlantic's initial full tariff, terms and conditions of service.
- 3) Revisions to tariff when required.
- 4) Sempra Atlantic has agreed to make up to 49% of its ownership of Sempra Atlantic available for equity investment by Nova Scotians within 10 years of Governor in Council approval of the franchise award. Sempra Atlantic is directed to file its public ownership plan within the 90 days of the approval by the Governor in Council of the grant of the franchise by the Board.
- 5) Suggested table of contents for the annual and semi-annual filing requirements within 180 days of GIC approval of the Board's grant of franchise.
- 6) Possible triggering of rate adjustment mechanism in Year 5 or later.
- 7) Recommended disposition of ratepayer portion of revenue sharing amounts.
- 8) (Possible) intra-month bid process for "supplier of last resort" service.
- 9) Report on attainment of access targets and benefits in socio-economic and benefits plan (90 days after seventh year of construction).

11. Sempra Atlantic shall not purchase and sell natural gas for sales to customers unless through a separate affiliate or subsidiary. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Sempra Atlantic may purchase and sell gas for the effective and efficient operations of its system as described by the Board in this decision as follows:

- 1) Line pack requirements.
- 2) Daily load balancing requirements.
- 3) Fuel requirements (if not available from alternative suppliers).
- 4) Other requirements as may be determined by the Board from time to time.

12. Unless the Board directs otherwise, Semptra Atlantic shall file a report on its plans to establish a call centre in Cape Breton and regional offices in the Province, such report to be filed within six months of the date of GIC approval of this grant of franchise.

SCHEDULE 'B'

INTERVENORS

Town of Amherst	Halifax Regional Homeowners Association
Gerald A. Amirault, C.A.	Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM)
Antigonish Regional Development Authority	Hants Regional Development Authority
Municipality of the District of Argyle	Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Institute of Canada (HRAI)
Assembly of Nova Scotia Mi'kmaq Chiefs	Imperial Oil Resources
Atlantic Combustion Products Limited	Intervention Coalition on Sable Gas Project
BC Gas International Inc.	Municipality of the County of Inverness
Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers	Irving Oil Limited
Canadian Oil Heat Association (COHA)	Peter J. Kelly
CanEnerco Limited	Kings County Natural Gas Committee
Cape Breton Island Building and Construction Trades Council	Lunenburg-Queens Natural Gas Committee
Cape Breton Regional Municipality	Maritime Electric Company, Limited
Chamber of Mineral Resources	Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Management Ltd. (<i>withdrew</i>)
Coalition for Responsible Economic and Environmental Development (CREED)	Metropolitan Halifax Chamber of Commerce
Competition Bureau, Industry Canada	Town of Mulgrave
Cumberland Regional Economic Development Association (CREDA)	Municipal Enterprises Limited
Engage Energy Canada, L.P.	New Democratic Party Caucus Office
Gasworks Installations Inc.	Nova Scotia Environmental Industry Association
Geostorage Associates	Nova Scotia Home Builders' Association
Greater Halifax Partnership	Nova Scotia Petroleum Directorate
Municipality of the District of Guysborough	Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NSPI)
Guysborough County Regional Development Authority (GCRDA)	Offshore Technologies Association of N.S.
Halifax Regional Development Agency	Pictou Regional Development Commission
	Poly Cello

Town of Port Hawkesbury
 Province of Prince Edward Island
 (Department of Development)
 Progressive Conservative Caucus Office
 Village of Pugwash
 Municipality of the County of Richmond
 Scotia Synfuels Limited
 Municipality of the District of Shelburne
 South West Shore Development Authority
 Municipality of the District of St. Mary's
 Strait Area Chamber of Commerce
 Strait-Highlands Regional Development
 Agency
 Turf Masters Landscaping Limited

U.A. Local 56
 U.A. Local 244
 Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities
 Municipality of Victoria County
 Voluntary Planning Energy Sector
 Waldale Manufacturing Limited (*withdrew*)
 Watershed Association Development
 Enterprises Limited
 The Western Valley Gas Opportunities
 Committee
 James J. White
 Municipality of the District of Yarmouth
 Town of Yarmouth

SCHEDULE 'C'
ABBREVIATIONS

Access targets	The distribution targets by number of households in each county specified in Schedule "A" to the Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
Act	Gas Distribution Act , S.N.S. 1997, c. 4
Board	Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board
Board Regulations	Board Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia) , November 24, 1998 (N.S. Reg. 93/98) made by the Board pursuant to s. 41(1) of the Gas Distribution Act
Directions on Procedure	Directions on Procedure - First Franchise Hearing, issued by the Board on November 30, 1998
GIC Regulations	Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia) , November 10, 1998 (N.S. Reg. 86/98) made by the Governor in Council pursuant to s.42(1) of the Gas Distribution Act
GJ	gigajoules
Irving Oil	Irving Oil Limited
Lateral Policy	M & NP lateral policy
Maritimes NRG	Maritimes NRG (Nova Scotia) Limited
M&NP	Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline
MMBtu	million British thermal units
NEB	National Energy Board
NB	Province of New Brunswick
NS or the Province	Province of Nova Scotia
Petroleum Directorate	Province of Nova Scotia, Petroleum Directorate

Policy Statement	"Policy Statement on Maximizing Benefits from Natural Gas Delivery" being Schedule "B" to the Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
Sempra Atlantic	Sempra Atlantic Gas Incorporated
SOEP	Sable Offshore Energy Project
Westcoast	Westcoast Energy Incorporated

Gas Distribution Act

CHAPTER 4 OF THE ACTS OF 1997

An Act Respecting the Delivery and Sale of Natural Gas in the Province

Short title

- 1 This Act may be cited as *Gas Distribution Act*. 1997, c. 4, s. 1.

Purpose of Act

- 2 The purpose of this Act is to

(a) provide a framework for the orderly development and operation of a gas delivery system in the Province; and

(b) allow for fair competition in the sale of gas for consumption in the Province.
1997, c. 4, s. 2.

Interpretation

- 3 In this Act,

(a) "Board" means the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board;

(b) "franchise" means a franchise granted pursuant to this Act to construct and operate a gas delivery system;

(c) "gas" means

(i) natural gas after it has been subjected to any processing,

(ii) coal gas as defined in the *Petroleum Resources Act*,

(iii) any substance removed from natural gas, crude oil, oil sands or coal for delivery in gaseous state,

(iv) any substance declared by the Board to be gas;

(d) "gas delivery system" means

(i) any pipes, equipment, apparatus, mechanism, machinery, instrument or storage facility incidental to the delivery of gas for ultimate consumption,

(ii) any building or structure that houses or protects anything referred to in subclause (i),

but does not include a tank car, tank wagon, cylinder or vessel for the delivery of liquefied petroleum gas, including propane and butane, unless such are operated in conjunction with or ancillary to a gas delivery system;

(e) "person" includes a partnership;

(f) "prescribed" means, except where the context otherwise requires, prescribed by the Board by regulation. 1997, c. 4, s. 3.

GRANTING OF FRANCHISE

Requirement for franchise

4 Notwithstanding any enactment, no person shall construct or operate a gas delivery system except pursuant to a franchise. 1997, c. 4, s. 4.

Application for franchise

5 (1) A person may apply to the Board, in the time and in the manner prescribed, for a franchise.

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), the Board may invite applications for a franchise in the time and in the manner prescribed. 1997, c. 4, s. 5.

Required information

6 When submitting an application pursuant to Section 5, the applicant shall provide to the Board the prescribed information. 1997, c. 4, s. 6.

Public comment and hearing

7 (1) Upon receipt of an application pursuant to subsection 5(1), the Board shall give

notice to the public of the receipt of the application.

(2) Upon receipt of an application pursuant to Section 5, the Board shall invite public comment on the application and, where in the opinion of the Board it is advisable to do so, hold a public hearing. 1997, c. 4, s. 7.

Grant of franchise

8 (1) The Board may grant a franchise.

(2) Before granting a franchise, the Board shall be satisfied that the granting of the franchise is in the public interest and shall take into consideration the following factors:

- (a) the existence of markets, actual or potential;
- (b) the availability of adequate gas supplies;
- (c) the economic feasibility of the proposed gas delivery system;
- (d) the financial capability of the applicant;
- (e) related experience of the applicant in the delivery of gas;
- (f) the plans of the applicant to provide service in the franchise area; and
- (g) such other factors as are prescribed by the Governor in Council.

(3) The granting of a franchise by the Board is subject to the approval of the Governor in Council.

(4) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, a public utility as defined in the *Public Utilities Act* shall not be granted a franchise pursuant to this Act.

(5) For greater certainty, nothing in subsection (4) precludes an affiliate or subsidiary of a public utility as defined in the *Public Utilities Act* from applying for and being granted a franchise pursuant to this Act. 1997, c. 4, s. 8.

Terms and conditions of franchise

9 (1) A franchise

- (a) shall be for such term as is determined by the Board and may be renewed

in accordance with the regulations; and

(b) is subject to such terms and conditions as may be specified by the Board or prescribed by the Governor in Council.

(2) Notwithstanding Section 43, Section 78 of the *Public Utilities Act* applies *mutatis mutandis* to the holder of a franchise within or partly within a regional municipality, town or a municipality of a county or district. 1997, c. 4, s. 9.

Amendment and consolidation of franchise

10 (1) The holder of a franchise may apply to the Board, in the time and in the manner prescribed, to

- (a) amend the terms or conditions of the franchise;
- (b) expand the geographical boundaries of the franchise;
- (c) consolidate non-contiguous franchises granted to the applicant.

(2) Upon receipt of an application pursuant to subsection (1), the Board shall give notice to the public of receipt of the application in the manner prescribed and may hold a public hearing on the application.

(3) A decision of the Board to amend the terms or conditions of a franchise or expand the geographical boundaries of a franchise has no force or effect unless and until it is approved by the Governor in Council. 1997, c. 4, s. 10.

Restriction on transfer and assignment

11 No person shall transfer or assign a franchise without the approval of the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 11.

Restriction on certain actions

12 Except in the ordinary course of maintenance or repair, no gas delivery system, or part thereof, may be taken up, removed or abandoned without the consent of the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 12.

Rights of franchise holder

13 Subject to Section 14, a person to whom a franchise is granted has the exclusive right to construct and operate a gas delivery system within the geographical area to which the franchise

extends. 1997, c. 4, s. 13.

Application for franchise within a franchise area

14 (1) A person may apply to the Board for a franchise within the boundaries of an existing franchise in the manner prescribed.

(2) The Board shall give notice to the existing franchise holder and the public of an application received pursuant to subsection (1) and may hold a public hearing on the application.

(3) The Board shall not grant a franchise pursuant to this Section unless the Board is satisfied that the granting of the franchise

(a) will not impose undue burden on the existing customers of the franchise holder;

(b) will not unduly affect the economic interests of the existing franchise holder; and

(c) is in the public interest,

and the granting of the franchise complies with such other requirements as may be prescribed by the Governor in Council.

(4) Upon the granting of a franchise pursuant to this Section, the boundaries of the existing franchise shall be amended accordingly by the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 14.

Discrimination

15 The holder of a franchise shall not make any undue discrimination in rates, tolls, charges, service or facilities against any person or locality. 1997, c. 4, s. 15.

Burden of proof respecting discrimination

16 Where it is shown that the holder of a franchise makes any discrimination in rates, tolls, charges, service or facilities against any person or locality, the burden of proving that the discrimination is not undue lies on the holder of the franchise. 1997, c. 4, s. 16.

Duties of holder of franchise

17 Subject to such exemptions or conditions as prescribed, the holder of a franchise shall, without delay and with due care and diligence, receive, transport and deliver or store,

without discrimination, all gas offered to its gas delivery system. 1997, c. 4, s. 17.

Requirement to extend or improve

18 (1) Where the Board finds such action necessary or desirable in the public interest, the Board may direct the holder of a franchise to extend or improve its gas delivery system if the Board finds that no undue burden will be placed on the holder of the franchise.

(2) Subsection (1) does not empower the Board to compel the holder of a franchise to deliver gas to additional customers if to do so would impose undue burden on its existing customers or impair its ability to render adequate service to its existing customers. 1997, c. 4, s. 18.

**CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION
OF GAS DELIVERY SYSTEM**

Prohibited acts

19 A person to whom a franchise is granted shall not

(a) construct a gas delivery system or any part thereof, except pursuant to a permit;
or

(b) operate a gas delivery system, except pursuant to a licence,

issued by the Board pursuant to the *Pipeline Act*. 1997, c. 4, s. 19.

Application of Pipeline Act

20 The *Pipeline Act* applies to a gas delivery system and, for greater certainty, a reference in that Act to the Energy Board shall be read and construed as a reference to the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 20.

RATES

Approved tariff

21 (1) The holder of a franchise shall not impose, observe or follow rates, tolls or charges except those that are specified in a tariff that has been filed with the Board and approved by an order of the Board.

(2) Where the holder of a franchise is the only customer of that franchise, the Board may exempt the holder of the franchise from the requirements of subsection (1). 1997, c.

4, s. 21.

Approval and fixing of rates, tolls and charges

22 (1) The Board may, on its own initiative or on the application of a person having an interest, by order in writing, approve or fix just and reasonable rates, tolls or charges for the delivery of gas by a gas delivery system, including related services.

(2) Before approving or fixing rates, tolls or charges pursuant to subsection (1), the Board shall invite public comment on the application and, where in the opinion of the Board it is desirable to do so, hold a public hearing.

(3) In approving or fixing rates, tolls or charges, the Board shall give due regard to the following criteria and may give appropriate weight to each of them relative to the others:

- (a) the related practical attributes of simplicity, understandability, public acceptability and feasibility of application;
- (b) freedom from controversies as to proper interpretation;
- (c) effectiveness in yielding total revenue requirements under the just and reasonable return standard;
- (d) revenue stability from year to year;
- (e) stability of the rates, tolls or charges themselves, with a minimum of unexpected changes seriously adverse to existing customers;
- (f) competition;
- (g) fairness of the specific rates, tolls or charges in the apportionment of total costs of service among the different consumers;
- (h) avoidance of undue discrimination in rate relationships;
- (i) efficiency of the rates, tolls or charges in discouraging wasteful use of service while promoting all justified types and amounts of use; and
- (j) such other matters as the Board deems appropriate.

(4) Notwithstanding subsection (3), the Board may, by order in writing, approve or

fix just and reasonable rates, tolls or charges that

(a) are intended to result in cost savings or other benefits to be allocated between the owner of the gas delivery system and its customers; and

(b) are otherwise in the public interest.

(5) The Board may specify terms and conditions that apply to an order made pursuant to subsection (1) or (4). 1997, c. 4, s. 22.

SALE OF GAS

"consumer" defined

23 In Sections 24 to 30, "consumer" means a person who consumes gas on an annual basis in an amount less than the amount prescribed. 1997, c. 4, s. 23.

Requirement for licence

24 No person shall sell gas to a consumer unless that person has been issued a licence by the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 24.

Application for licence

25 A person may apply, in the time and in the manner prescribed, for a licence. 1997, c. 4, s. 25.

Terms and conditions of licence

26 The Board may issue a licence upon such terms and conditions as the Board considers appropriate or as are prescribed. 1997, c. 4, s. 26.

Application for amendment of licence

27 (1) The Board may amend a licence upon application by the holder in the time and in the manner prescribed.

(2) Where an application is made pursuant to subsection (1), the Board may

(a) amend the licence, subject to such terms and conditions as the Board considers appropriate;

(b) cancel the licence and grant a new licence, subject to such terms and

Nova Scotia Statutes

conditions as the Board considers appropriate; or

- (c) deny the amendment. 1997, c. 4, s. 27.

Powers of Board on own motion

28 The Board may, in its discretion or as prescribed, amend, suspend, reinstate or cancel a licence. 1997, c. 4, s. 28.

Restriction on transfer and assignment

29 No person shall transfer or assign a licence without the approval of the Board. 1997, c. 4, s. 29.

Restriction on power to issue licences

30 (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, no public utility as defined in the *Public Utilities Act* or holder of a franchise shall be issued a licence pursuant to this Part.

(2) For greater certainty, nothing in subsection (1) precludes an affiliate or subsidiary of a public utility as defined in the *Public Utilities Act* or holder of a franchise from applying for and being issued a licence pursuant to this Part. 1997, c. 4, s. 30.

GENERAL

Costs

31 (1) At the conclusion of a hearing, the Board may award such costs as the Board deems appropriate.

(2) The costs awarded pursuant to subsection (1) shall be paid by the applicant. 1997, c. 4, s. 31.

Interim orders

32 The Board may, from time to time, make interim orders pending final disposition of matters before it. 1997, c. 4, s. 32.

Inquiries

33 (1) The Board may hold an inquiry as to whether any breach of a term or condition of a franchise has occurred.

(2) The Board shall give notice of an inquiry pursuant to this Section to the holder of the franchise and such other persons as the Board may determine in the time and in the manner prescribed.

(3) After an inquiry pursuant to this Section, the Board may, if it finds that a breach of a term or condition of a franchise has occurred, amend or cancel the franchise.

(4) The amendment or cancellation of a franchise pursuant to subsection (3) has no force or effect until it is approved by the Governor in Council. 1997, c. 4, s. 33.

Investigations

34 The Board may, on its own initiative or at the request of the Governor in Council, investigate any matter concerning a gas delivery system and may make all necessary examinations and inquiries and keep itself informed as to the compliance of the holder of a franchise with the provisions of law and has the right to obtain from the holder of a franchise all information necessary to enable the Board to fulfil its duties. 1997, c. 4, s. 34.

Duties of Board on a complaint

35 (1) Upon complaint made to the Board by any person that

- (a) any rate, toll or charge is in any respect unduly discriminatory;
- (b) the holder of a franchise is not complying with the terms or conditions of the franchise;
- (c) service is inadequate or unobtainable,

the Board shall proceed, with or without notice, to make such investigation as the Board deems necessary or expedient, and the Board may order

- (d) the rates, tolls or charges reduced, modified or altered;
 - (e) that the holder of the franchise comply with the terms or conditions of the franchise;
 - (f) that the holder of the franchise furnish reasonably adequate service and facilities and make such repairs, enhancements or extensions as may be required.
- (2) Before making an order pursuant to subsection (1), the Board shall hold a

public hearing if, in the opinion of the Board, it is in the public interest to do so.

(3) The Board, when called upon to institute an investigation, may, in its discretion, require from the complainant the deposit of a reasonable amount of money or other security to cover the costs of the investigation and that money or security shall be dealt with as the Board directs if the decision is given against the complainant. 1997, c. 4, s. 35.

Conflict of interest

36 (1) No member of the Board shall be directly or indirectly employed by or interested in a gas delivery system or interested in a share, stock, bond, mortgage, security or contract of the holder of a franchise or licence and, where a member of the Board voluntarily becomes so interested, the member's office becomes vacant or, where the member becomes so interested otherwise than voluntarily, the member shall, within a reasonable time, dispose of the interest.

(2) Where a member of the Board fails to dispose of an interest as required by subsection (1), the Governor in Council may declare the office of the member vacant.

(3) No member of the Board is disqualified by reason only of being a consumer of gas. 1997, c. 4, s. 36.

Contravention or failure

37 (1) A person who contravenes or fails to comply with

(a) this Act or the regulations;

(b) a term or condition of a franchise or licence;

(c) any order or direction given by the Board pursuant to this Act or the regulations,

is guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding one hundred thousand dollars or imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years.

(2) Where an offence referred to in subsection (1) is committed by a person on more than one day or is continued for more than one day, it shall be a separate offence for each day on which the offence is committed or continued. 1997, c. 4, s. 37.

Interference

38 No person shall prevent, hinder, obstruct, molest or otherwise interfere with a

member of the Board or a person authorized by the Board in the exercise of powers pursuant to this Act. 1997, c. 4, s. 38.

False statement

39 No person shall knowingly make a false statement in any record required to be kept or any document required to be submitted pursuant to this Act or the regulations. 1997, c. 4, s. 39.

Conflict with other enactments

40 Where there is a conflict between this Act and any other enactment, this Act prevails. 1997, c. 4, s. 40.

Board regulations

41 (1) The Board may make regulations

- (a) respecting procedures and forms to be used for an application or proposal;
- (b) respecting fees pertaining to any matter provided for pursuant to this Act or the regulations;
- (c) respecting the information to be provided by an applicant for a franchise;
- (d) respecting the giving of public notice;
- (e) respecting the transfer or assignment of a franchise;
- (f) respecting the amendment of a franchise;
- (g) respecting exemptions or conditions for the purpose of Section 17;
- (h) respecting procedures and forms to be used for the issuing of a permit or licence;
- (i) respecting fees pertaining to the issuing of a permit or licence, including renewal fees;
- (j) respecting terms and conditions of a permit or licence;

- (k) respecting rates, tolls or charges imposed by the holder of a franchise;
- (l) prescribing an amount for the purpose of Section 23;
- (m) respecting the amendment, suspension or reinstatement of a licence;
- (n) respecting the transfer or assignment of a licence;
- (o) respecting information to be kept and maintained by the holder of a franchise.

(2) The exercise by the Board of the authority contained in subsection (1) is regulations within the meaning of the *Regulations Act*, 1997, c. 4, s. 41.

Governor in Council regulations

42 (1) The Governor in Council may make regulations

- (a) respecting the approval of a franchise;
- (b) prescribing criteria to be taken into consideration by the Board prior to granting a franchise;
- (c) respecting the renewal of a franchise;
- (d) respecting terms and conditions of a franchise;
- (e) providing for different classes of franchises;
- (f) exempting certain applicants from some or all of the terms and conditions of a franchise as prescribed by the Board;
- (g) prescribing requirements for the purpose of Section 14;
- (h) respecting orders and directives issued by the Board;
- (i) conferring powers and duties on the Board;
- (j) respecting the approval of the amendment or cancellation of a franchise;
- (k) defining any word or expression used but not defined in this Act;

Nova Scotia Statutes

(1) respecting any matter deemed necessary or advisable to carry out effectively the intent and purpose of this Act.

(2) The exercise by the Governor in Council of the authority contained in subsection (1) is regulations within the meaning of the *Regulations Act*. 1997, c. 4, s. 42.

43 *amendment of the Public Utilities Act.*

Gas Utilities Act repealed

44 Chapter 182 of the Revised Statutes, 1989, the *Gas Utilities Act*, is repealed. 1997, c. 4, s. 44.

Proclamation

45 This Act comes into force on such day as the Governor in Council orders and declares by proclamation. 1997, c. 4, s. 45.

Proclaimed - September 3, 1997

In force - September 3, 1997

Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)

made under subsection 42(1) of the

Gas Distribution Act

S.N.S. 1997, c. 4

O.I.C. 1998-576, N.S. Reg. 86/98

as amended by O.I.C. 1999-145, N.S. Reg. 31/99

April 7, 1999

Consolidation prepared by
the Registry of Regulations
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Consolidated regulations are prepared for convenience of reference only and have no legislative sanction. For all purposes of interpreting and applying the law, consult the original documents on file with the Registry of Regulations, or as published in the Royal Gazette Part II.

Regulations are subject to frequent amendments; please ensure that you have the current version of this consolidation.

Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
made under subsection 42(1) of the
Gas Distribution Act
S.N.S. 1997, c. 4
O.I.C. 1998-576 (November 10, 1998), N.S. Reg. 86/98
as amended by O.I.C. 1999-145 (April 7, 1999), N.S. Reg. 31/99

Short title

1 These regulations may be cited as the *Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)*.

Interpretation

2 (1) Pursuant to clause 42(1)(k) of the *Gas Distribution Act*, the following words or expressions are defined for the purposes of that Act:

- (a) "delivery of gas for ultimate consumption" means the transportation of gas from the point of interconnection with an interprovincial gas pipeline, another gas delivery system, the outlet flange of a gas processing, separation or storage facility, or the central point in a field in which gas is gathered from a gas producing or gas storage well, as the case may be, to the discharge side of the meter at which physical custody of gas is transferred to an end user;
- (b) "fees" include amounts payable to the Board
 - (i) upon application for a franchise or licence;
 - (ii) for renewal, amendment, suspension, expansion, consolidation, transfer, assignment, cancellation or reinstatement of a franchise or licence;
 - (iii) for determination to remove, abandon, extend or improve a gas distribution system;
 - (iv) for the determination of tariffs, rates, tolls and charges to be paid for the delivery of gas by a gas delivery system, including related services; and
 - (v) for the purpose of recovering all or part of such direct and indirect expenses as the Board determines to be attributable to its responsibilities under the Act or these regulations;
- (c) "granting a franchise" includes granting an amendment, consolidation, transfer or assignment of a franchise and the reduction of the geographical area of a franchise;
- (d) "*Pipeline Act*" in Section 20 of the Act includes regulations made pursuant to the *Pipeline Act*.

(2) In these regulations,

- (a) "Act" means the *Gas Distribution Act*;

(b) "applicant" means a company that applies for a franchise pursuant to the Act or these regulations;

(c) "benefits plan" means a plan for

- (i) the establishment of an office in the Province,
- (ii) the training and employment of Nova Scotians by the applicant and the contractors of an applicant,
- (iii) the supply of goods and services by residents of Nova Scotia to the applicant and the contractors of the applicant,
- (iv) the constitution of the applicant's board of directors in accordance with the provisions of these regulations, and
- (v) the ownership of the applicant

during the planning, design, construction, operation and abandonment of a gas delivery system;

(d) "Board" means the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board;

(e) "company" includes a person or a group of persons, partnership, co-operative or municipality and includes the heirs, successors, administrators or assigns of a company, person or group of persons, partnership or municipality;

(f) "contractor" means anyone who performs work authorized by or on behalf of a franchise holder, or provides or requires goods or services pursuant to work or operations authorized by a franchise holder;

(g) "distribution targets" means targets by household in each county specified in Schedule "A" to these regulations;

(h) "dwelling" means a structurally separate set of living premises with a private entrance from outside the building, or from a common hallway or stairway inside;

(i) "franchise" means a franchise granted pursuant to the Act to construct and operate a gas delivery system;

(j) "franchise holder" means a company that holds a franchise issued by the Board;

(k) "full regulation class franchise" means a franchise granted to a company that constructs and operates a gas delivery system other than a producer class franchise or a single end user class franchise;

(l) "gas" means,

- (i) natural gas after it has been subjected to any processing,
 - (ii) coal gas as defined in the *Petroleum Resources Act*,
 - (iii) any substance removed from natural gas, crude oil, oil sands or coal for delivery in gaseous state, or
 - (iv) any substance declared by the Board to be gas;
- (m) "gas delivery system" means,
- (i) any pipes, equipment, apparatus, mechanism, machinery, instrument or storage facility incidental to the delivery of gas for ultimate consumption,
 - (ii) any building or structure that houses or protects anything referred to in subclause (i),

but does not include a tank car, tank wagon, cylinder or vessel for the delivery of liquefied petroleum gas, including propane and butane, unless such are operated in conjunction with or ancillary to a gas delivery system;

Clause 2(2)(m) errata: O.I.C. 1999-145, N.S. Reg. 31/99.

- (n) "gas seller" means a company that sells gas that is transported through the gas delivery system of a franchise holder;
- (o) "gas transportation services user" or "GTS user" means a company that purchases gas transportation services from a franchise holder;
- (p) "household" means a person or a group of persons occupying one dwelling;
- (q) "interprovincial pipeline" means the pipeline which received a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity GC-95 approved by the Governor In Council by Order in Council P.C. 1997-1908 dated December 16, 1997;
- (r) "municipality" means a regional municipality, town or municipality of a county or district;
- (s) "Minister" means the Minister responsible for the Act;
- (t) "prescribed" means, except where the context otherwise requires, prescribed by the Board by regulation;
- (u) "producer class franchise" means a franchise granted to a company that produces onshore gas, or to a company that is wholly owned by the gas producing company or that wholly owns the gas producing company, for delivery to a single end user;



- (v) "required access" means that the gas delivery system is constructed adjacent to property boundaries to meet the distribution targets within 7 years starting 6 months after the grant of the franchise, and for those franchise areas that require service from the Halifax Lateral, within 7 years of the first measurable unit of natural gas being transported on that lateral;

Clause 2(2)(v) amended: O.I.C. 1999-145, N.S. Reg. 31/99.

- (w) "single end user class franchise" means a franchise granted to a company where the gas is consumed solely by the franchise holder, or by a company that is wholly owned by the franchise holder or that wholly owns the franchise holder.
- (3) These regulations do not apply to
- (a) the facilities of the Sable Offshore Energy Project at Goldboro in the County of Guysborough in the Province;
 - (b) distribution facilities for bottled propane;
 - (c) the Sable Offshore Energy Project liquids line and fractionation facilities at Point Tupper in the County of Richmond in the Province; and
 - (d) the interprovincial pipeline or any pipeline connecting two or more provinces.
- (4) Wherever in these regulations a word or an expression defined by the Act or these regulations is used, it has the same meaning given to it by the Act or these regulations except where a contrary intention is expressed or necessarily implied.

Franchise approval

- 3 (1) After reviewing one or more applications made pursuant to the Act and these regulations and after considering all of the evidence received and allowed in connection therewith, and after conducting such inquiry or hearing as the Board is required to hold or has considered necessary or desirable in the circumstances, the Board may by order,
- (a) if it is satisfied that to do so is in the public interest, grant a franchise and attach to the franchise such terms and conditions as it considers to be in the public interest; or
 - (b) refuse to grant any franchise.
- (2) Every order granting a franchise pursuant to the Act and these regulations shall be subject to approval by the Governor in Council.

Classes of franchise

- 4 The Board may grant

- (a) a full regulation class franchise;
- (b) a producer class franchise; or
- (c) a single end user class franchise,

to a company that applies to the Board for a franchise to construct and operate a gas delivery system.

Franchise evaluation

5 Subject to Section 6, the Board shall not grant a franchise over an area unless

- (a) the applicant can demonstrate that the applicant has a reasonable likelihood of serving the area within a period of ten years;
- (b) the applicant or any combination of applicants gives a written undertaking to provide, at a minimum, the required access in all counties of the Province;
- (c) the applicant has submitted to the Board a Socio-Economic Impact Statement that shall include
 - (i) a benefits plan, together with a written undertaking that if the applicant is granted a franchise, the applicant will take all reasonable measures to implement the benefits plan,
 - (ii) evidence that the applicant is fully aware of any significant socio-economic effects of the proposed franchise, has measures in place to mitigate adverse socio-economic impacts and promote positive outcomes, and is committed to carrying out those measures in order to ensure that the franchise benefits the people directly affected by it with minimal disturbance to desirable aspects of their way of life,
 - (iii) the probable benefits of the construction and operation of the delivery system, and
 - (iv) the nature and extent of the impact of the sale and consumption of natural gas within the proposed franchise area;
- (d) the benefits plan has been approved by the Board;
- (e) the applicant has provided commitments satisfactory to the Board to encourage competition among agents, marketers and brokers in the sale of gas within the proposed franchise area by specifying,
 - (i) in a code of conduct filed with the Board, the relationship between the applicant and any marketing affiliate and the degree of separation between the applicant and any marketing affiliate, and the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure that its marketing affiliate gains no competitive advantage as a result of its affiliation with the applicant, and

- (ii) the availability to all affiliated and unaffiliated marketers of detailed market information including name, address, telephone number and energy usage of customers and potential customers in the proposed franchise areas; and
 - (f) the applicant has provided such further information as the Board determines.
- 6 The Board may grant a franchise without the applicant submitting a Socio-Economic Impact Statement and benefits plan if
 - (a) the gas delivery system to which the application relates is less than 5 km long;
 - (b) the franchise to which the application relates belongs to a class of franchise exempted from the provisions of these regulations pursuant to Section 10;
 - (c) the Minister, with the approval of the Governor in Council, waives the requirement that a benefits plan be submitted and approved respecting the franchise to which the application relates; or
 - (d) in the opinion of the Board, the application is for a minor amendment to a franchise.
- 7 (1) The Board shall not approve a benefits plan unless the plan provides that
 - (a) the applicant will establish in the Province an office where decisions are made at a level of authority that the Board considers appropriate;
 - (b) the applicant and its contractor shall train and employ persons residing in the Province unless the applicant can demonstrate that all reasonable efforts to employ and train persons residing in Nova Scotia have been explored and exhausted requiring the recruitment and hiring of persons residing outside the Province;
 - (c) where the Board considers appropriate, the applicant will carry out a program and make expenditures for the promotion of education and training in the Province;
 - (d) the applicant and its contractors will contract for services to be provided from within the Province and procure goods manufactured in the Province, where those services and goods are competitive in terms of fair market price, quality, performance and delivery;
 - (e) the majority of the applicant's Board of Directors are residents of Nova Scotia; and
 - (f) if the applicant is not a municipality, residents of Nova Scotia shall have a meaningful and significant opportunity to participate in the ownership of the applicant by the end of the tenth year of the franchise.

- (2) Subject to subsection (1), the Board may approve a benefits plan if, in the Board's opinion, it would be in the public interest to do so.
 - (3) The Board may make the approval of a benefits plan subject to such terms and conditions as are specified by the Board at the time the benefits plan is approved.
- 8 If a benefits plan respecting a franchise has been approved by the Board, it shall be a condition of the franchise that the franchise holder shall take all reasonable measures necessary to ensure that the provisions of the approved benefits plan and any terms and conditions of approval specified by the Board are carried out.
- 9 (1) Every franchise holder who has had a benefits plan approved shall submit a written report to the Board at least every 6 months during the initial planning and construction of the gas delivery system that sets out how and to what extent it has carried out the provisions of the benefits plan, any pertinent factors affecting the implementation of the plan, and measures taken or to be taken to ensure commitments are being or will be fulfilled.
- (2) The first report required by subsection (1) shall be submitted to the Board within 180 days of the date on which the franchise is granted.
- (3) Every franchise holder who operates a gas delivery system for which a benefits plan has been approved shall submit a written report to the Board at least once every 12 months that sets out how and to what extent it has carried out the provisions of the benefits plan.
- (4) The first report required by subsection (3) shall be submitted to the Board within one year from the date on which the franchise is granted.
- 10 With the approval of the Governor in Council, the Board may exempt any class or classes of franchise from Sections 5-9 of these regulations.

Exemptions from application requirements

- 11 (1) An applicant for a producer class franchise shall be exempt from filing as part of its application information concerning the availability of gas supplies, consumption projections, related experience in the gas delivery business, plans to provide service in the franchise area and commitments to encourage competition among agents, marketers and brokers in the sale of gas within the proposed franchise area.
- (2) An applicant for a single end user class franchise shall be exempt from all toll and tariff terms and conditions and from filing as part of its application information concerning economic feasibility of the proposed gas delivery system, related experience in the gas delivery business, plans to provide service in the franchise area and commitments to encourage competition among agents, marketers and brokers in the sale of gas within the proposed franchise area.

Section 14 franchise

12 A company applying for a franchise pursuant to Section 14 of the Act shall provide information concerning

- (a) the public interest served by severing an existing franchise territory including information as to
 - (i) the anticipated user or users of the gas,
 - (ii) the market to be served,
 - (iii) the quantities of gas to be shipped and the type of service required,
 - (iv) the economic and other reasons for severing the franchise;
- (b) the effect on existing customers of the existing franchise holder of severing the franchise; and
- (c) the effect on the economic interest of the existing franchise holder of severing the franchise.

Franchise terms and conditions

13 (1) A full regulation class franchise shall be subject to the following terms and conditions:

- (a) the franchise holder shall affirm to each GTS user the truth of the representations contained in the franchise holder's application for its franchise or such revised representations as the Board may determine;
- (b) the franchise holder shall affirm to each GTS user all the applicable covenants made by it in the franchise holder's application for its franchise;
- (c) the franchise holder shall carry adequate personal injury, property damage and third party liability insurance for losses suffered in the construction and operation of a gas distribution system on terms and in amounts determined by the Board;
- (d) the franchise holder shall, at all times, indemnify and save harmless the Board and the Province from and against all claims, including costs related thereto, for all damages or injuries including death to any person or persons and for damage to any property, arising out of the franchise holder operating, constructing, and maintaining its gas delivery system in the Province, or utilizing its gas delivery system for the carriage of gas owned by others;
- (e) in contracts between a franchise holder and a GTS user, amounts payable for late payment of the transportation charge shall not exceed such per cent per annum, compounded monthly, as the Board may approve;
- (f) the franchise holder shall maintain the code of conduct referred to in subclause 5(e)(i) as approved by the Board and the franchise holder is bound by the provisions of that code;

- (g) the franchise holder shall make available, on such terms as the Board may specify, to all gas sellers (affiliated and non-affiliated alike) marketing information consisting of customer names, customer addresses, customer telephone numbers and customer energy consumption histories on an individual, non-aggregated basis, together with such other customer information as the Board may require to be made available;
 - (h) a franchise holder shall covenant with a GTS user to terminate service on such notice and such other terms as the Board may determine;
 - (i) no franchise holder shall enter into a contract with a GTS user until the Board has approved the form of the contract;
 - (j) a franchise holder may sell gas upon such terms and conditions as are determined by the Board, and in making such determination, the Board shall restrict such sales to those necessary for the effective and efficient operation of the gas delivery system;
 - (k) the franchise holder shall comply with Section 78 of the *Public Utilities Act*, prior to commencing construction or operation of a gas distribution system pursuant to a franchise; and
 - (l) such further terms and conditions as the Board may prescribe.
- (2) A producer class franchise is subject to the terms and conditions set forth in subclauses (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l) of subsection (1).
 - (3) A single end user class franchise is subject to the terms and conditions set forth in subclauses (c), (d), (k), and (l) of subsection (1).
 - (4) If pursuant to Section 78 of the *Public Utilities Act*, a municipality does not consent to the construction requested or gives consent that is unacceptable to the franchise holder, the matter shall be referred by the franchise holder to the Board.
 - (5) Information received by a gas seller from a franchise holder pursuant to clause (1)(g) is confidential and shall not be used by the gas seller for any purpose other than gas marketing, and prior to receiving the information, the gas seller shall so agree in writing.
- 14 The Board may develop a set of standard terms and conditions that shall apply, except to the extent modified by any special terms and conditions set by the Board in individual cases, to each class of franchise.

Rates

- 15 (1) In awarding a franchise, the Board shall provide the franchise holder with performance-based rates, tolls or charges as determined by the Board.

- (2) Performance indicators on which the rates, tolls or charges in ~~Section~~ [subsection] 15(1) are based shall be measured against criteria specified by the Board in the terms and conditions of the franchise, which criteria shall include but shall not be limited to the provision of required access and the implementation of the benefits plan.

16 (1) The Board shall create a single provincial rate, toll or charge for gas transportation services to consumers.

- (2) In ~~Section~~ [subsection] 16(1), "consumers" shall have the meaning used in ~~Section~~ [subsection] 23(1) of the Act.

Development

17 For the purposes of ~~Sections~~ [subsection] 18(2) and [Section] 22 of the Act

- (a) all customers connected to a gas delivery system within 7 years starting 6 months after the grant of the franchise, and for those franchise areas that require service from the Halifax Lateral, within 7 years of the first measurable unit of natural gas being transported on that lateral, regardless of whether such customers are part of a franchise area or not, shall be development phase customers; and

Clause 17(a) amended: O.I.C. 1999-145, N.S. Reg. 31/99.

- (b) development phase customers are not existing customers.

Franchise amendment

18 The Board may on its own initiative, at the request of the franchise holder or upon receipt of a complaint, and shall at the request of the Governor in Council, consider amending the franchise and without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may

- (a) adjust boundaries to exchange territory with an adjacent franchise holder to consolidate service;
- (b) adjust boundaries to narrow the franchise territory on the application of a franchise holder or third party to exclude unserved areas that by the applicant's business plan were to have been served;
- (c) amend the terms and conditions of a franchise in such manner as it considers to be in the public interest.

19 The Board may consider and approve changes to a franchise and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, it may accept surrender of the franchise on specified terms including sufficient notice periods to allow interested parties to explore the alternatives to

- (a) relicense the area; or
- (b) allow consumers within the franchise area a sufficient time to convert to another energy source,

with service continuing during the notice period.

- 20 Where a franchise has been surrendered, an application for a new franchise in place of the surrendered franchise shall follow the normal application procedures with such changes as the Board considers appropriate under the circumstances.

Franchise renewal

- 21 (1) On such terms and conditions as the Board considers appropriate, the Board may renew a franchise at the end of its primary term by extending the term for a fixed period or by granting a right of first refusal to the existing holder.
- (2) If the Board determines that no satisfactory arrangements can be reached with the holder of the franchise at the end of the primary term, the Board shall
- (a) open competition for the franchise; and
 - (b) order the new franchise holder to pay the former franchise holder for the gas distribution facilities a price that will be, prior to the awarding of the new franchise, fixed by the Board based upon such factors as the Board shall determine, but in no case to exceed the depreciated value of the facilities.
- (3) Where no applicant is awarded the franchise that expires at the end of the primary term, the existing holder shall continue service for such time as the Board determines will allow users to convert to another energy source.

Utility and Review Board Act

- 22 The provisions of the *Utility and Review Board Act* and the regulations enacted pursuant thereto apply *mutatis mutandis* to matters arising under the Act and these regulations except where a contrary intention is expressed or necessarily implied in the Act and these regulations.

Adherence to Provincial Policies

- 23 (1) Anything prescribed by the Board in accordance with the Act and these regulations shall adhere to the Policy Statement attached hereto as Schedule "B".
- (2) In the event of an inconsistency between the Act, the regulations and Policy Statement, the Act and the regulations shall prevail.

Board orders and directives

- 24 (1) All orders and directives of the Board, other than those required to be approved by the Governor in Council, are final.
- (2) The finding or determination of the Board that a matter is in the public interest or in relation to every matter concerning the application for and the granting of a franchise or license, including its terms and conditions, transfer, assignment, amendment, suspension, reinstatement or cancellation are and are deemed to be questions of fact within the meaning of Section 26 of the *Utility and Review Board Act*.

- 25 (1) An appeal lies to the Court of Appeal from an order or directive of the Board upon any question as to its jurisdiction or upon any question of law, upon filing with the Court a notice of appeal within 30 days after the issuance of the order or directive.
- (2) A notice of appeal shall contain the names of the parties and the date of the order appealed.
- (3) A copy of the notice of appeal shall be served upon the other parties within 10 days of filing the notice of appeal with the Court.
- (4) Neither the Board nor any member of the Board shall be liable for costs in connection with an appeal.
- (5) Every order and directive made by the Board takes effect at the time prescribed in the order or directive and its operation is not suspended by an appeal.

Board powers and duties

- 26 An inquiry or investigation by the Board pursuant to Section 33 or 34 of the Act, or pursuant to Section 15 of the *Utility and Review Board Act* with respect to matters arising pursuant to Section 33 or 34 of the Act, may be open or closed to the public and be upon such terms and conditions as the Board may determine and at the time and in the manner determined by the Board.
- 27 The Board may make rules respecting practice and procedure in relation to matters coming before it as a result of the Act and these regulations including, but without restricting the generality of the foregoing, the nature and extent of interventions at meetings, hearings, inquiries and investigations.
- 28 Where a matter before the Board requires the consideration of the Board and other public bodies to either arrive at a full decision or to complete or enhance the process, the Board, with the approval of the Governor in Council, may meet jointly with those other public bodies for the purpose of studying, investigating, hearing or determining a matter and the joint decision of the Board and the other public bodies is and shall be the decision of the Board.
- 29 (1) The Board may engage such experts or legal counsel, or both, to provide it with advice on technical and legal matters at issue or incidental to the subject matter of a meeting, public hearing, inquiry or investigation.
- (2) All costs and disbursements incurred in the engagement of experts and legal counsel referred to in subsection (1) shall be costs of the meeting, public hearing, inquiry or investigation concerned.
- 30 (1) For the purpose of the Act and these regulations, the phrase "issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, accounts, papers, records, documents and testimony" includes a written request by the Board or one of its members to a person for information relevant to a meeting, public hearing, inquiry or investigation even though that person may

not be required to testify at the meeting, public hearing, investigation or inquiry or where an investigation may not result in a meeting, public hearing or inquiry.

- (2) The written request referred to in subsection (1) shall be by registered mail unless service is unable to be made due to the absence of the person to whom the written request is made, and in such case may be made by registered mail to that person's last known address.
- 31 (1) For the purpose of the Act and these regulations, the subpoena referred to in subsection 17(1) of the *Utility and Review Board Act* shall be served by personal service unless the Board is not able to effect personal service due to the absence of the person to be served.
- (2) Where a person cannot be served by personal service due to that person's absence, service may be made by registered mail to the person's last known address.
- 32 The Board may, in relation to any application, meeting, public hearing, inquiry or investigation, cause the evidence of witnesses residing within or without the Province to be taken in the manner prescribed by law for depositions in civil actions in the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia.
- 33 The Board may rehear or review any application and may by order rescind or vary any order made by it.
- 34 (1) The Board may prescribe, in the case of full regulation class franchises,
- (a) the manner in which the accounts of the franchise holder shall be kept;
 - (b) the classes of property for which depreciation may properly be included under operating expenses in the accounts and the accounting method or methods that may be used in computing and charging depreciation in respect of each of the classes of property; and
 - (c) a uniform system of accounts.
- (2) The Board may, in the case of full regulation class franchises, require the franchise holder to keep and make available to the Board for inspection by the Board or a person authorized by the Board at a place of business in the Province such records, books of account and other documents in such form as may be prescribed by the Board and submit to the Board, at such times and in such form as may be so prescribed, returns and information respecting capital, traffic, revenues, expenses and other matters so prescribed and deemed by the Board to be matters that should be considered by it in carrying out its powers and duties under the Act and these regulations.
- 35 On the application of any party, the Board may determine whether information submitted or required to be submitted by that party or any other party may be kept confidential and, if so, on what terms.

- 36 (1) The costs of and incidental to any proceeding before the Board are in its discretion and may be fixed at a sum certain or may be taxed.
- (2) The Board may order by whom and to whom any costs are to be paid and by whom they are to be taxed and allowed.
- (3) The Board may prescribe a scale under which such costs shall be taxed.
- (4) In awarding costs, the Board is not limited to the considerations that govern awards of costs in any court.
- 37 The Board may fix fees incidental to any proceeding before it and may order that the fees so fixed be paid by the parties to the proceeding in such proportions as the Board considers appropriate.
- 38 The Board shall not impose an application fee defined in subclause 2(1)(b)(i) of these regulations on any municipality or co-operative.
- 39 The Board may, and at the request of the Governor in Council shall, make an investigation or inquiry or require any information from a franchise or licence holder on any matter arising pursuant to the Act or these regulations, including particularly matters dealing with policy or public safety.
- 40 The Board may consider policy issues and make recommendations to the Governor in Council for legislative changes or new legislation with respect to gas distribution matters.

Non-derogation

- 41 Nothing in these regulations derogates from any enactment that imposes duties, obligations or responsibilities upon a company.
- 42 Nothing in these regulations diminishes any power or authority conferred upon the Board by the *Utility and Review Board Act* except to such extent and for such purpose as may be set forth in the Act.
- 43 Nothing in these regulations relieves the holder of a franchise from the obligations imposed upon the holder of a franchise by Sections 17 and 18 of the Act, except to the extent that the Board determines otherwise.

Schedule "A"
to Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
Distribution Targets

County	Households
Annapolis	4400
Antigonish	3100
Cape Breton	27 400
Colchester	11 700
Cumberland	8600
Digby	4000
Guysborough	1400
Halifax	81 400
Hants	8700
Inverness	3600
Kings	13 500
Lunenburg	11 900
Pictou	11 700
Queens	3100
Richmond	1300
Shelburne	2000
Victoria	1000
Yarmouth	6700

Schedule "B"
Government of Nova Scotia Policy Statement on
Maximizing Benefits from Natural Gas Delivery

November 3, 1998

A. Policy Objectives

In order to create a viable gas delivery system and to maximize the benefits from Natural Gas delivery, the Government of Nova Scotia has developed a Natural Gas Delivery Policy. This policy will serve to guide the development of a natural gas delivery system in Nova Scotia.

The five (5) objectives of this policy are:

1. *To ensure a safe, reliable delivery system is developed.*
2. *To encourage, where feasible, access to gas throughout Nova Scotia.*
3. *To maximize the economic benefits of the delivery of gas for Nova Scotians.*
4. *To ensure fair gas delivery costs within Nova Scotia.*
5. *To ensure Nova Scotians benefit from cost advantages of long term supplies.*

B. Safe Reliable System

The natural gas delivery system will be built and operated to high standards for safe and reliable service to Nova Scotia customers. The permit to construct and license to operate are issued under the strict requirements of the Pipeline Act and regulations. The Utility and Review Board (UARB) will provide regulatory oversight of all operations.

C. Feasible Access to Gas Throughout Nova Scotia

The Government is faced with the challenge of developing a green field gas delivery system. There will be very limited infrastructures that will be available to cover the Province, especially as production is based offshore. This creates a unique challenge for wide spread gas delivery, particularly when compared to other producing provinces in Canada.

Franchise areas will be limited to those geographic areas that the applicant has a reasonable likelihood of serving within a period of ten years. This will ensure that successful franchise holders are committed to serving the area that they have been awarded.

In order to meet the goal of widespread availability the award of a franchise or combination of franchises must provide that gas mains are constructed adjacent to the property boundaries of sufficient consumers such that individual county targets are reached within seven years (As detailed in Schedule "A" Distribution Targets).

It is expected that potential applicants will describe the franchise areas that they are seeking in such a way as to maximize return and minimize capital requirements, while at the same time meeting the goals of this policy. Based on these factors it is expected that two types of franchises will be awarded.

1. Nova Scotia Franchise

The Government believes that initially the most economic and efficient delivery system should be developed and run as a single franchise. The benefits of doing so are as follows:

- **Rate Levelling:** The Government believes that all Nova Scotians should have access to gas at the same basic delivery cost (similar to the province-wide rates for access to electricity).
- **Quality of Service:** A single franchise holder can minimize overheads, and provide a consistent, high quality of service to all customers.
- **Speed of Initial Build Out:** Some areas of the Province will be more attractive to provide service due to population density or large commercial demand. Through a combination of regulated rates of return and providing incentive returns, less attractive areas will be developed quicker.
- **Investment Leverage:** A single firm will have more incentive to invest in programs such as Research and Development and Training and Development when the benefits will be directed to their firm rather than a number of competing firms.

2. Supplemental Franchises

The intent of awarding a Nova Scotia Franchise is to maximize the opportunity for the widest possible delivery system to be developed quickly for Nova Scotia. The Government anticipates that this will still leave some areas of the Province underserved or unserved by gas. These areas will continue to be served by other fuel sources such as oil, electricity, propane and wood.

A number of steps can be taken which provide options for customers which do have access to gas as part of the Nova Scotia Franchise.

- **Multiple Franchises:** The Act and Regulations allow for more than one franchise to be awarded. Other private firms, municipalities and cooperatives are examples of entities which may seek Supplemental Franchises.
- **Application Fees:** The Government has directed that the UARB shall not impose an application fee on municipalities or cooperatives intending to distribute gas only to residents of the municipality or members of the cooperative.

3. Timing

While a great many factors will drive the actual dates, the timing of issuing Supplemental Franchises may be shortened under two scenarios:

- the Nova Scotia Franchise holder agrees to forfeit rights to a particular geographic area to another party; or
- a specific application for an unserved area is made to the UARB.

Such awards will be made based on the process, terms and conditions outlined in the Act, Regulations and this Policy.

D. Economic Benefits of Delivery of Gas

The Government of Nova Scotia believes that clear measurable benefits are important to achieving the objectives outlined in this policy. Progress against these goals will form part of an incentive-based rate of return by the UARB. Key areas which shall form part of this return are as follows:

1. Decision Making

- The delivery company will establish in the province an office where decisions are made at a level of authority that the UARB considers appropriate.

2. Employment Benefits

- The franchise holder will train and employ local Nova Scotians unless they can demonstrate that all efforts to employ and train persons residing in Nova Scotia have been explored and exhausted.

3. Education and Training

- The franchise holder will carry out a program and make expenditures for the promotion of education and training in the Province.

4. Goods & Services

- The franchise holder and its contractors will contract for services to be provided from within the Province and procure goods manufactured in the Province, where those services and goods are competitive in terms of fair market price, quality, performance, and delivery.

5. Ownership

- The majority of the board of directors will be residents of Nova Scotia.
- If the franchise holder is not a municipality, residents of Nova Scotia will have a meaningful and significant opportunity to participate in the ownership of the franchise holder by the end of year ten of the Franchise.

E. Fair Delivery Costs

It is important to recognize that a franchise provides for the sharing of costs across the largest possible customer base. This minimizes overheads and allows for the economic service to the widest area of the province. All customers, regardless of geographic location or timing, should be treated in a fair and equitable manner. All customers can contribute to the development phase of the gas delivery system while still obtaining a secure and economic energy source.

F. Cost Advantages

Nova Scotia could enjoy up to a 20% discount on transmission tolls relative to New Brunswick due to a discount in tolls and the Nova Scotia Gas Market Development Initiative. This discount will ensure Sable gas is delivered from the Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline at the lowest available delivery charge. This cost advantage should encourage demand for natural gas and, as a result, improve the business case for build out by the franchise holder.

Schedule "A"
to Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
Distribution Targets

County	Households
Annapolis	4400
Antigonish	3100
Cape Breton	27 400
Colchester	11 700
Cumberland	8600
Digby	4000
Guysborough	1400
Halifax	81 400
Hants	8700
Inverness	3600
Kings	13 500
Lunenburg	11 900
Pictou	11 700
Queens	3100
Richmond	1300
Shelburne	2000
Victoria	1000
Yarmouth	6700

NOVA SCOTIA UTILITY AND REVIEW BOARD

BOARD GAS DISTRIBUTION REGULATIONS (NOVA SCOTIA)

REGULATIONS MADE BY THE NOVA SCOTIA UTILITY AND REVIEW BOARD PURSUANT TO S. 41(1) OF CHAPTER 4 OF THE STATUTES OF NOVA SCOTIA, 1997, THE GAS DISTRIBUTION ACT

1. These Regulations may be cited as the **Board Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)**.
2. In these regulations, unless the context indicates otherwise, words and expressions have the same meaning as in the **Gas Distribution Act** and the **Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)** enacted under **Section 42(1)** of the Act.

PART I

CONSUMER DEFINED

3. The amount prescribed for purposes of **Section 23** of the **Gas Distribution Act** is 500 gigajoules per year.

PART II

APPLICATION FOR FRANCHISE

4. The Board may at any time invite applications for a franchise by issuing directions on procedure for the processing of applications for a franchise in accordance with a timetable specified in such directions.
5. (1) During a period that falls within a timetable specified in directions on procedure issued pursuant to **Section 4**, an application for a franchise shall be made in accordance with the directions on procedure.

(2) Subject to subsection (1), a company may apply to the Board for a franchise at any

time.

6. Notwithstanding anything in these regulations or directions on procedure issued by the Board, the Board may at any time request additional information from an applicant for a franchise or any participant in a proceeding to consider an application for a franchise.
7. Unless the Board otherwise directs, an application for a franchise shall include the following:
 - (a) the name and, if applicable, the place of incorporation of the applicant;
 - (b) a description of the business of the applicant;
 - (c) the name and contact particulars of an individual to whom inquiries with respect to the application are to be directed;
 - (d) the class of franchise being applied for;
 - (e) the geographic boundaries of the proposed franchise area;
 - (f) the proposed term of the franchise, which shall not exceed 25 years;
 - (g) evidence of the existence of markets, actual or potential, throughout the proposed franchise area, with annual consumption forecasts for the following categories of customers:
 - (i) users of gas in an amount less than 500 gigajoules per year;
 - (ii) users of gas in an amount equal to or greater than 500 gigajoules per year but less than 10,000 gigajoules per year;
 - (iii) users of gas in an amount equal to or greater than 10,000 gigajoules per year but less than 100,000 gigajoules per year;
 - (iv) users of gas in an amount equal to or greater than 100,000 gigajoules per year;
 - (h) the availability of adequate gas supply;
 - (i) the financial capability of the applicant;
 - (j) related experience of the applicant in the delivery of gas;
 - (k) the plans of the applicant to provide delivery service throughout the franchise area within 10 years from the date of the granting of the franchise, including:
 - (i) a general description of the facilities for the gas delivery system;

- (ii) the anticipated cost of the gas delivery system;
- (iii) the timetable for construction, operation and expansion of the gas delivery system;
- (l) the Socio-Economic Impact Statement and evidence of commitments to encourage competition among agents, marketers, and brokers in the sale of gas within the proposed franchise area required by **subsections (c) and (e) of Section 5 of the Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)**.
- (m) a description of the public information program conducted or being conducted by the applicant with respect to the franchise application including:
 - (i) an explanation of the steps taken to notify the public and the consultation conducted;
 - (ii) a summary of the comments received and concerns raised;
 - (iii) a discussion of the measures the applicant has taken or proposes to take to respond to the comments and resolve the concerns;
- (n) Pro forma financial statements for the proposed facilities for a period of not less than 10 years from the anticipated date of commencement of delivery service, including:
 - (i) statement of revenue and expenses and balance sheet;
 - (ii) annual capital expenditures;
 - (iii) proposed delivery service rates and estimated utility income at the proposed rates;
 - (iv) estimated rate base, including an allowance for working capital; and
 - (v) estimated rate of return.

PART III

ASSIGNMENT, TRANSFER OR AMENDMENT

8. The requirements of section 7 apply to an application for an assignment, transfer or amendment of a franchise to the extent to be determined by the Board within 30 days of receipt of the application.

PART IV

FEES

9. (1) An application for the granting of a franchise, other than the assignment, transfer or amendment of a franchise, shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount of \$250,000.
- (2) Municipalities and co-operatives are exempt from the fee in subsection 1.
10. An application for authorization of an assignment, transfer or, amendment of a franchise shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount of \$5,000. The Board may waive all or any part of the prescribed fee if in the opinion of the Board the application for assignment, transfer or amendment is routine in nature.

These Regulations under the **Gas Distribution Act** were made by the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board on the 24th day of November, 1998.

Signed

Clerk of the Board

NOVA SCOTIA UTILITY AND REVIEW BOARD

NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

DIRECTIONS ON PROCEDURE

First Franchise Hearing

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Nova Scotia **Gas Distribution Act** (the "Act"), the **Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)** and the **Board Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)** (collectively the "Regulations") have been enacted to regulate the delivery and sale of natural gas within the Province. The purposes of the Act are to:
 - (a) provide a framework for the orderly development and operation of a gas delivery system in the Province; and
 - (b) allow for fair competition in the sale of gas for consumption in the Province.
2. Primary responsibility for the administration of the Act is conferred on the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (the "Board").

II. GENERAL

3. By these Directions on Procedure, the Board is inviting applications for a franchise to construct and operate a gas delivery system. This proceeding will be conducted in two consecutive phases.
4. Phase 1 is to consider applications for a franchise, as defined in the Act and Regulations. The Act provides that no person shall construct or operate a gas delivery system except pursuant to a franchise. Franchises are to be granted by the Board, but are subject to the

approval of the Governor in Council. The Board proposes to conduct a single, integrated process for filing and hearing all franchise applications.

5. Phase 2 is to consider the general requirements for the issuance of sales licenses. The Board will solicit the views of the Phase I applicants and intervenors on these requirements including the need to:
 - (i) implement measures, as conditions of sales licences or otherwise, to provide reasonable security of supply to end users of gas;
 - (ii) require financial assurances, such as the posting of security, from direct sellers as conditions of sales licences or otherwise; and
 - (iii) provide additional consumer safeguards and, if so, the nature of that protection.

Based on the submissions made to it in Phase 2, the Board will make a generic ruling or issue further regulations with respect to sales licences.

6. The Act provides that no person shall sell gas to a consumer unless that person has been issued a licence by the Board. As a result of the definition of "consumer" in the Act and Regulations, this licensing requirement only applies to sales to consumers of less than 500 gigajoules per year. The prescribed quantity of 500 gigajoules per year ensures that only sales to residential or small commercial consumers will require that the seller be licensed.
7. The Act provides that a sales licence may not be issued to any public utility as defined in the **Public Utilities Act** or any holder of a franchise granted under the Act. This prohibition implements the general separation contemplated by the Act between the physical transportation of gas and the sale of gas as a commodity. Franchises relate exclusively to the transportation and distribution function, whereas the sales licence deals with the sale of gas as a commodity to consumers of less than 500 gigajoules annually.

III. PROCEDURE

8. The procedures and timelines for the conduct of the proceeding are summarized in Attachment 1 and are more fully described below.

Notice of Intention to Apply

9. Prospective applicants are required to file a formal Notice of Intention to Apply by 15 December 1998. The Notice of Intention to Apply shall include summary information on each of the following matters:
 - (a) the proposed geographic boundaries of the franchise area (including a preliminary map); and
 - (b) a general description (of three to five pages in length) of the main features of the proposed application for a franchise.
10. The Notice of Intention to Apply is considered to be the first part of the Application and must be accompanied by the non-refundable filing fee set out in the Regulations.
11. By 18 December 1998, the Board will publish a List of Intended Applicants setting out the names and particulars of applicants filing a Notice of Intention to Apply.

Interventions

12. By 7 January 1999, those wishing to intervene in this proceeding with respect to one or more franchise applications or with respect to Phase 2 issues must file a written intervention with the Board and serve a copy on each applicant at the address set out in the List of Intended Applicants.
13. Interventions shall specify the name and contact particulars (including phone and, if applicable, facsimile number) of the party and shall state the nature of the party's interest in the proceeding. Intervenors must also specify in their written interventions if they wish to receive copies of the applications and documents filed subsequently, and whether they intend to appear at the hearing. Each Applicant will provide a copy of its application and all subsequent filings to intervenors indicating that they wish to receive same.
14. By 12 January 1999, the Board will distribute a "List of Intended Applicants and Intervenors". Each intervenor whose name appears on the list must serve a copy of its intervention, and of any other documents subsequently filed by that intervenor, on each applicant and other intervenor.

Applications

15. An Application for Franchise, together with all supporting evidence and documents, must be filed by 29 January 1999. The information required to be included in each such application is prescribed by the Regulations. Applicants must also file evidence concerning Phase 2 issues at the same time.
16. Applications must be complete in all material respects at the time of filing. Motions for amendment or the filing of supplementary evidence or documents will only be considered in exceptional circumstances and where it is clearly demonstrated that other applicants or intervenors would not be prejudiced.
17. Applicants will be required to keep available at their offices in Nova Scotia, for public inspection during normal office hours, copies of their applications and all other documents filed in this proceeding.
18. The Board will direct applicants to deposit copies of their applications and all documents filed in this proceeding to be available for public inspection at various other locations in the Province to be determined by the Board.
19. Copies of all documents filed in this proceeding will also be available for viewing at the Board offices during business hours.
20. By 3 February 1999, the Board will publish a List of Applicants.

Information Requests and Intervenor Evidence

21. Information requests, responses and intervenor evidence (including evidence with respect to Phase 2 issues) shall be filed with the Board and served on all applicants and intervenors in accordance with the dates specified in Attachment 1 to these Directions on Procedure.

Public Hearing

22. The hearing to consider all applications will commence at 9:00 a.m. on 12 April 1999, at a location in Halifax to be determined. Subsequent hearing dates and sitting hours will be determined by the Board at the commencement of the hearing.
23. During the public hearing, the Board will conduct informal evening sessions to accommodate members of the public who do not want all the obligations and rights that intervenor status entails, but who wish to make oral submissions to the Board on issues relevant to this proceeding. Dates for the informal sessions will be announced at the opening of the public hearing. Notice of the dates for the informal sessions will also be sent by ordinary mail or by facsimile to members of the public who provide their name, address and facsimile number to the Board in writing.
24. During the informal sessions participants may be required to respond to questions about their submissions from other participants or from the Board.
25. Members of the public who wish to make written submissions to the Board on issues relevant to this proceeding, rather than participating in the informal evening sessions, may file a Letter of Comment with the Board by 19 March 1999.

Filing and Service Requirements

26. When filing or serving documents, applicants and intervenors shall provide twenty-five (25) copies to the Board and one copy to each other party. Applicants and intervenors filing documents at the public hearing shall provide twenty-five (25) copies to the Board and shall leave a sufficient number of copies for all other parties participating in the hearing at a designated location in the hearing room.
27. Unless otherwise directed by the Board, documents shall be filed with the Board and served on all other parties by 4:00 pm Atlantic Time on the days specified in the attached timetable.

Revisions to Directions on Procedure

28. These Directions on Procedure may be revised in order to ensure that the Board's process is appropriate having regard to the number and nature of applications that are actually filed.

29. Enquiries should be directed to:


THE CLERK
Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board
3rd Floor, 1601 Lower Water Street
Box 1692, Unit "M"
Halifax, Nova Scotia
B3J 3S3

Telephone: (902) 424-4448

Facsimile: (902) 424-3919

E-mail: uarb.board@gov.ns.ca

These Directions on Procedure under S. 4 of the
Board Gas Distribution Regulations (Nova Scotia)
were made by the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board
on the 24th day of November, 1998.


Clerk of the Board

TIMETABLE

Event	Date
Directions on Procedure	November 30, 1998
Notice of Proceeding	November 30, 1998
Notice of Intention to Apply	December 15, 1998
List of Intended Applicants	December 18, 1998
Interventions	January 7, 1999
List of Intended Applicants and Intervenor	January 12, 1999
Franchise Applications	January 29, 1999
List of Applicants	February 3, 1999
Information Requests to Applicants	February 26, 1999
Applicant Responses	March 12, 1999
Intervenor Evidence and Letters of Comment	March 19, 1999
Information Requests to Intervenor	March 26, 1999
Intervenor Responses	April 6, 1999
Hearing Commences	April 12, 1999

Pipeline Act

CHAPTER 345 OF THE REVISED STATUTES, 1989
amended 1992, c. 14, s. 61

An Act Respecting the Transmission of Oil and Gas

Short title

1 This Act may be cited as *Pipeline Act*. R.S., c. 345, s. 1.

Application of Act

2 (1) This Act applies to all pipelines on or under Nova Scotia lands.

"Nova Scotia lands"

(2) "Nova Scotia lands" means the land mass of Nova Scotia including Sable Island, and includes the seabed and subsoil off the shore of the land mass of Nova Scotia, the seabed and subsoil of the Continental shelf and slope and the seabed and subsoil seaward from the Continental shelf and slope to the limit of exploitability. R.S., c. 345, s. 2.

Interpretation

3 (1) In this Act,

(a) "Energy Board" means the Energy and Mineral Resources Conservation Board constituted pursuant to the *Energy and Mineral Resources Conservation Act*;

(b) "gas" means

(i) natural gas, including coal gas as defined in the *Petroleum Resources Act*, both before and after it has been subjected to any processing,

(ii) any substance removed from natural gas, crude oil, oil sands or coal for transmission in gaseous state,

(iii) any gaseous substance for injection to an underground formation through a well,

- (iv) any substance declared by the Energy Board to be gas;
- (c) "gas pipeline" means a gas pipeline as defined in the regulations;
- (d) "highway" means a highway to which the *Public Highways Act* applies;
- (e) "installation" means
 - (i) any equipment, apparatus, mechanism, machinery or instrument incidental to the operation of a pipeline,
 - (ii) any building or structure that houses or protects anything referred to in subclause (i),

but does not include a refinery, processing plant, marketing plant or manufacturing plant;

(f) "licence" means a licence issued pursuant to this Act to operate a pipeline;

(g) "local authority" means the council of a city or incorporated town and includes an agency or body having the general administration or direction, management and control of a road by or under any Act of the Legislature;

(h) "Minister" means the Minister of Natural Resources;

(i) "oil" means

(i) crude oil before and after it has been subjected to any refining or processing,

(ii) any hydrocarbon recovered from crude oil, oil sands, natural gas or coal for transmission in a liquid state,

(iii) liquified natural gas,

and any other substance in association therewith;

(j) "permit" means a permit issued pursuant to this Act to construct a pipeline;

Nova Scotia Statutes

(k) "pipeline" means pipes and installations for the transmission of

(i) gas,

(ii) oil,

(iii) fluids from a well,

(iv) water or effluent used or produced in connection with a well or the production or manufacture of gas or oil;

(l) "prescribed" means prescribed by the regulations;

(m) "Public Utilities Board" means the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities constituted pursuant to the *Public Utilities Act*;

(n) "road" means any road or road allowance and includes a street, public bridge or public park within a city or incorporated town, but does not include a highway;

(o) "transmission" includes storage;

(p) "well" means an orifice in the ground, including ground under water and the seabed and subsoil, made by drilling, boring or in any other manner, from which oil or gas is obtained or obtainable, or which is being so made for the purpose of seeking or obtaining oil or gas or ascertaining the presence of the same.

Code or standard

(2) Any reference in this Act or the regulations to a code or standard is and is deemed to be a reference to the latest code or standard. R.S., c. 345, s. 3; 1992, c. 14, s. 61.

Withdrawal or exemption

4 (1) The Governor in Council may, by order, for any purposes and under any conditions set out in the order,

(a) withdraw from the application of this Act or the regulations, or any part thereof, such Nova Scotia lands;

(b) exempt from this Act or the regulations, or any part thereof, any person or pipeline including a gas pipeline, or any class or classes of persons or pipelines,

as are specified in the order.

Powers of Governor in Council

(2) Where the Governor in Council has withdrawn any Nova Scotia lands from the application of this Act or the regulations, or any part thereof, or has exempted a person or a pipeline from the application of this Act or the regulations, or any part thereof, he may

(a) by order make an enactment of the Government or Parliament of Canada respecting pipelines applicable to, or give a board, agency or person established by that enactment jurisdiction over the lands, persons or pipelines determined by the order with such modifications as are specified, and such enactment has the same force and effect as if enacted, and, in the case of the board, agency or person as if established, by the Legislature and remains in force during the term determined by the order unless the order is sooner revoked, varied or amended;

(b) enter into an agreement with the Government of Canada respecting the administration of Nova Scotia lands withdrawn, or the person or pipeline exempted, and the agreement has the same force and effect as if enacted by the Legislature and any board, agency or person referred to in the agreement is empowered to do all things necessary to effect the agreement.

Interpretation of Section

(3) For the purpose of this Section, where the Governor in Council makes an enactment applicable, the enactment, or any part thereof, is the enactment as it is in force from time to time. R.S., c. 345, s. 4.

Act does not apply

5 Except as provided in Section 24, this Act does not apply to

(a) a pipeline situated wholly within property used for a refinery, absorption plant, processing plant, coal processing plant, marketing plant or manufacturing plant;

(b) a pipe transmitting gas or oil for use as fuel from a tank that is situated wholly within the property of a consumer and the fittings and apparatus in connection therewith;

(c) a boiler, pressure vessel or pressure piping system within the meaning of the *Steam Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act* and, for the purpose of this Act, pipeline does not include a pressure vessel under the *Steam Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act* and a pressure vessel within the meaning of that Act does not include a pipeline;

(d) a pipeline at a facility which is within the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities

Board pursuant to the *Gasoline and Fuel Oil Licensing Act*(1);

(e) a pipeline excluded from the application of this Act by the Governor in Council. R.S., c. 345, s. 5.

Duties of Energy Board

6 The Energy Board shall

(a) exercise control over the manner in which all pipelines including gas pipelines are constructed, tested, maintained and operated and, subject to the regulations, may issue such orders and directives as it deems appropriate;

(b) have such other powers and duties as are conferred upon it by this Act, the regulations and the Governor in Council. R.S., c. 345, s. 6.

Permit required

7 No person shall construct a pipeline or any part thereof except pursuant to a permit. R.S., c. 345, s. 7.

Application for permit

8 Any person may apply in the prescribed manner to the Energy Board for a permit. R.S., c. 345, s. 8.

Issuance of permit

9 The Energy Board may issue a permit upon such terms and conditions as it considers appropriate or as are prescribed. R.S., c. 345, s. 9.

Date for commencement or completion

10 (1) When a permit is granted, or at any time thereafter, the Energy Board may determine a date by which construction of the pipeline is to be commenced or completed.

Cancellation of permit

(2) The Energy Board may cancel a permit if

(a) the pipeline is not substantially commenced

(i) by the date determined for commencement pursuant to subsection

(1), or

(ii) where no date is determined pursuant to subsection (1), within one year from the date the permit is issued;

(b) the pipeline is not substantially completed by the date determined for completion pursuant to subsection (1). R.S., c. 345, s. 10.

Licence required

11 No person shall operate a pipeline except pursuant to a licence. R.S., c. 345, s. 11.

Application for licence

12 (1) Any person may apply in the prescribed manner to the Energy Board for a licence.

Particulars of testing required

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), no person shall operate a pipeline until he has furnished the Energy Board with particulars of the testing of the pipeline. R.S., c. 345, s. 12.

Issuance of licence

13 The Energy Board may issue a licence upon such terms and conditions as it considers appropriate or as are prescribed. R.S., c. 345, s. 13.

Transmission of authorized substance

14 (1) No pipeline for which a licence has been issued shall be used for the transmission of any substance other than the substance authorized by the licence.

Transmission of other substance

(2) The holder of a licence desiring to use a pipeline for the transmission of a substance other than a substance authorized by the licence may apply to the Energy Board in the prescribed manner for an amendment to the licence. R.S., c. 345, s. 14.

Amendment of permit or licence

15 (1) The Energy Board may amend a permit or licence upon application by the holder in the prescribed manner.

Power of Board

(2) Where an application is made pursuant to subsection (1), the Board may

- (a) amend the permit or licence subject to such terms and conditions as the Board considers appropriate;
- (b) cancel the permit or licence and grant a new permit or licence subject to such terms and conditions as the Board considers appropriate; or
- (c) deny the amendment.

No amendment required

(3) No amendment of a permit or licence is required for

- (a) repairs or maintenance made in the ordinary course of operation;
- (b) minor improvements to an existing installation; or
- (c) a change made in an emergency.

Emergency changes to pipeline

(4) Where changes are made to a pipeline in an emergency, particulars thereof shall immediately be forwarded to the Energy Board. R.S., c. 345, s. 15.

Alienation of permit or licence

16 No person shall transfer, assign or otherwise alienate a permit or licence except as prescribed. R.S., c. 345, s. 16.

Amendment or suspension or cancellation

17 The Energy Board may, in its discretion or as prescribed, amend, suspend, reinstate or cancel a permit or licence. R.S., c. 345, s. 17.

Effect of suspension or cancellation

18 Where a permit or licence has been suspended or cancelled by the Energy Board, no construction shall be carried out and no oil, gas, water or other substance shall be transmitted in the pipeline until the permit or licence has been reinstated or a new permit or licence has been issued by the Energy Board, except as the Energy Board may authorize, to maintain the facilities to carry on operations in accordance with the Act. R.S., c. 345, s. 18.

Suspension of normal operation

19 A holder of a licence shall not suspend or discontinue the normal operation of a pipeline without the consent in writing of the Energy Board, except in an emergency or for repairs or maintenance. R.S., c. 345, s. 19.

Removal or abandonment of pipeline

20 Except in the ordinary course of maintenance or repair, no pipeline or part thereof may be taken up, removed or abandoned without the consent of the Energy Board. R.S., c. 345, s. 20.

Board may require alteration or relocation

21 (1) Where, in its opinion it would be in the public interest to do so, the Energy Board may, upon such terms and conditions as it considers appropriate or as are prescribed, direct a holder of a permit or licence to

- (a) alter or relocate any part of his pipeline; or
- (b) install additional or other equipment on the pipeline.

Payment of cost to alter or relocate

(2) Upon an order being made by the Energy Board to alter or relocate part of a pipeline or install additional or other equipment on a pipeline, the Energy Board may order by whom and to whom payment for the actual cost of the alteration or relocation or any portion thereof shall be made.

Dispute as to cost

(3) If a dispute arises as to the amount to be paid or what constitutes actual cost pursuant to an order referred to in subsection (2), it may be resolved by the Energy Board and the decision of the Energy Board relating thereto is final. R.S., c. 345, s. 21.

Right of entry and inspection

22 (1) At any reasonable time, a member of the Energy Board or any person authorized by the Energy Board

- (a) is entitled to access to pipelines, rights of way and routes of proposed pipelines, and to all buildings, installations, structures and land incidental thereto;
- (b) may enter upon any land which it is necessary to cross to reach a pipeline or installation;

(c) may inspect, investigate or test pipelines and installations;

(d) may inspect all books, records and documents pertaining to construction, operation and maintenance of pipelines.

Duty to assist

(2) Any person who is the holder of a permit or licence, or any person in charge of a pipeline or installation or any contractor or employee of such persons shall permit or assist any member of the Energy Board or any person authorized by the Energy Board in the exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1). R.S., c. 345, s. 22.

Board may require inspection

23 The Energy Board may at any time direct the holder of a permit or licence to make inspections or tests of the pipeline in the manner prescribed or in such manner as it may determine. R.S., c. 345, s. 23.

Duty upon leak in pipeline

24 (1) Upon the occurrence of a leak or break in a pipeline, the holder of a permit or licence shall immediately cause such reports to be made as are prescribed, and shall otherwise comply with the regulations respecting reporting, the repair of the leak or break, and the clean-up and disposal of any escaped substance.

Failure to repair leak

(2) Upon it appearing to the Energy Board that adequate steps are not being taken to repair a leak or break in a pipeline or to contain and clean up any escaped substance, the Energy Board may

(a) direct that such steps as it considers appropriate be taken to repair the leak or break and to contain and clean up the escaped substance and to prevent further escape thereof; or

(b) enter upon the area where the leak or break occurred or the substance has escaped and conduct such operations as it considers necessary to repair the leak or break and contain and clean up the escaped substance and to prevent further escape thereof.

Costs of repair

(3) When any operation is conducted pursuant to clause (b) of subsection (2), the Energy Board may determine the costs and expenses of such operations and direct by whom and to what extent they are to be paid.

No action lies

(4) No action for damages lies against the Energy Board, the members of the Energy Board or any person directed by the Energy Board to conduct operations pursuant to this Section in respect of anything done or omitted to be done in good faith pursuant to this Section or a direction of the Energy Board.

Application of Section

(5) Notwithstanding Section 5, this Section applies to

- (a) the holder of a permit or licence;
- (b) the owner or operator of a pipeline for which there is in force
 - (i) a certificate, or
 - (ii) an order exempting the pipeline from a certificate,

issued or made by the National Energy Board pursuant to the *National Energy Board Act* (Canada); and

(c) the person constructing or operating the pipeline as though that person was the holder of a permit or licence, as the case may be, of the pipeline. R.S., c. 345, s. 24.

Damage to other structure

25 If during construction or operation of a pipeline any damage occurs to any structure, another pipeline or to a private or public utility, the holder of a permit or licence, as the case may be, shall immediately cause the damage to be repaired unless an arrangement has otherwise been made with the owner thereof. R.S., c. 345, s. 25.

Hazardous practice or violation of Act

26 (1) The Energy Board or its authorized representative may at any time cancel a permit or licence or, pending compliance by the holder thereof with any direction of the Energy Board, suspend or shut down operations of the holder where, in the opinion of the Energy Board or its authorized representative, the holder of the permit or licence has

- (a) contravened a provision of this Act, the regulations, a permit or licence or an order or direction of the Board;
- (b) employed a hazardous practice or used improper, inadequate or defective

equipment at a pipeline.

Inquiry

(2) Where the Energy Board or its representative acts pursuant to subsection (1), the Energy Board shall, within five days of such action, commence an inquiry in accordance with the regulations and following the conclusion of the inquiry make such disposition of the matter as it deems appropriate. R.S., c. 345, s. 26.

Construction on or across highway or road

27 A holder of a permit or licence may, with the approval of the Minister of Transportation and Public Works or the local authority, whichever is appropriate, and in accordance with the regulations, construct a pipeline on, across, over or under any highway or road. R.S., c. 345, s. 27; 1996, c. 8, s. 17.

Refusal of approval under Section 27

28 Where the Energy Board is satisfied that an approval required from a local authority pursuant to Section 27 cannot reasonably be obtained from the local authority, the Energy Board may, upon application, grant the required approval subject to such terms and conditions as it considers appropriate or as are prescribed. R.S., c. 345, s. 28.

Mine or quarry

29 No person, without the approval of the Energy Board, shall construct a pipeline or part thereof so as to interfere with the present workings of a mine or quarry or obstruct any opening thereto. R.S., c. 345, s. 29.

Approval to construct under certain buildings

30 (1) No pipeline shall be constructed under a building used or capable of being used as a public building, residence, office, warehouse or factory without the approval of the Energy Board, and the Energy Board may make its approval subject to such terms and conditions as it deems appropriate.

Subsection (1) does not apply

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply where the pipeline will deliver a substance to the building for use therein. R.S., c. 345, s. 30.

Title to property in pipeline

31 The title to all property in pipelines and works constructed by a person pursuant to the provisions of this Act shall remain vested in such person, his heirs, successors, executors,

administrators and assigns, notwithstanding that the whole or any part thereof has before, on or after the twenty-eighth day of July, 1984, become affixed to realty, the title to which is not vested in such person. R.S., c. 345, s. 31.

Acquisition of required land

32 (1) When a holder of a permit or licence requires an interest in land for the purposes of a pipeline for which a permit or licence is issued, the interest may be acquired in such lands

(a) by agreement with the owner of the lands; or

(b) if the holder is unable to arrive at an agreement with the owner of the lands, by application to the Minister for an order that the interest in lands required be vested in the holder of the permit or licence.

Issue of vesting order

(2) If the Minister is satisfied that the interest in lands should be vested in the holder of the permit or licence, he shall issue an order vesting it in that person.

Filing of vesting order

(3) A vesting order issued by the Minister shall be filed at the appropriate registry of deeds and the filing thereof shall be deemed to be a deposit of expropriation documents pursuant to the *Expropriation Act*.

Deemed expropriating authority

(4) Upon the filing of a vesting order by the Minister, the holder named in the order is and is deemed to be an expropriating authority within the meaning of the *Expropriation Act*. R.S., c. 345, s. 32.

Expropriation Act

33 (1) The *Expropriation Act* shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to any such expropriation.

Conflict

(2) Notwithstanding Section 4 of the *Expropriation Act*, wherever the provisions of that Act conflict with the expropriation provisions of this Act, the expropriation provisions of this Act prevail.

Deemed statutory authority

(3) The holder of a permit or licence is deemed to be the statutory authority for the purposes of the *Expropriation Act*.

Deemed approving authority

(4) The Minister is deemed to be the approving authority for the purposes of the *Expropriation Act*. R.S., c. 345, s. 33.

Regulations

34 The Governor in Council may make regulations respecting

- (a) a request by a holder of a permit or licence for expropriation;
- (b) the matters and things necessary to satisfy the Minister that lands required by a holder of a permit or licence should be vested in the holder of the permit or licence;
- (c) the contents of a vesting order;
- (d) generally, any matter relating to any proposed expropriation of lands pursuant to this Act. R.S., c. 345, s. 34.

Offence and penalty

35 (1) Every person who contravenes or fails to comply with

- (a) any provision of this Act or the regulations;
- (b) any term or condition of a permit or licence issued pursuant to this Act;
- (c) any term or condition of an approval given pursuant to this Act;
- (d) any order or direction given by the Energy Board or the Minister or the representative of either of them pursuant to this Act or the regulations,

is guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding one hundred thousand dollars or imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years.

Separate offence

(2) Where an offence described in subsection (1) is committed by a person on more than one day or is continued for more than one day, it shall be a separate offence for each day on which the offence is committed or continued. R.S., c. 345, s. 35.

Liability for offence by employee or agent

36 In any prosecution for an offence under this Act it is sufficient proof of the offence to establish that it was committed by an employee or agent of the accused, whether or not the

employee or agent is identified or has been prosecuted for the offence, unless the accused establishes that the offence was committed without his knowledge or consent and that he exercised all due diligence to prevent its commission. R.S., c. 345, s. 36.

Offence by officer or agent of corporation

37 When a corporation has committed an offence under this Act, any officer, director or agent of the corporation who directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in or participated in the commission of the offence is a party to and guilty of the offence and is liable upon summary conviction to the punishment provided for the offence whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted. R.S., c. 345, s. 37.

Interference with authorized person

38 Every person who prevents, hinders, obstructs, molests or otherwise interferes with a member of the Energy Board or a person authorized by the Energy Board in the exercise of his powers pursuant to this Act is guilty of an offence. R.S., c. 345, s. 38.

False statement

39 Every person who knowingly makes a false statement in any record required to be kept or any report required to be made by this Act or the regulations is guilty of an offence. R.S., c. 345, s. 39.

Delegation

40 Where any duty, power or authority is imposed or conferred on the Minister or the Energy Board by this Act or the regulations, the Minister or the Energy Board, as the case may be, may delegate such duty, power or authority to whatever person he or it considers appropriate. R.S., c. 345, s. 40.

Decision as to type of pipeline

41 The decision of the Energy Board that a pipeline is a gas line, oil line, fluids line, multiphase line, secondary line, distribution line, flow line or otherwise, shall be final. R.S., c. 345, s. 41.

Public Utilities Act

42 Notwithstanding any other Act, no pipeline, except a pipeline excluded by this Act, shall be or be deemed to be a public utility or subject to the *Public Utilities Act* and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, Section 117 of the *Public Utilities Act* does not apply

to a pipeline to which this Act applies. R.S., c. 345, s. 42.

Tariff for transmission in pipeline

43 The Energy Board may, in the prescribed manner, determine tariffs, rates, tolls and charges to be paid for the transmission of substances carried in pipelines. R.S., c. 345, s. 43.

Regulations

44 (1) The Governor in Council may make regulations respecting

(a) the powers and duties of the Energy Board including its authority to determine tariffs, rates, tolls and charges to be paid for the transmission of substances carried in pipelines;

(b) the procedures and forms to be used for any application, hearing or inquiry pursuant to this Act;

(c) the forms generally to be used under this Act or the regulations and the fees pertaining to any matter provided for pursuant to this Act or the regulations;

(d) the terms and conditions of a permit or licence or an order of the Energy Board;

(e) any approval required pursuant to this Act and the exemption of a class or classes from the required approval pursuant to this Act;

(f) generally any matter relating to the amendment, suspension, reinstatement or cancellation of a permit or licence;

(g) the transfer, assignment or any other alienation of a permit or licence;

(h) the measures to be taken to identify and protect a pipeline or installation;

(i) the changes that may be made with respect to a pipeline without application to the Energy Board;

(j) the tests and surveys which may be made at any time and the requirement for information obtained from such tests and surveys to be submitted to the Energy Board;

(k) the nature and extent of employment of Nova Scotians by holders of

permits and licences and others performing work authorized by holders of permits and licences;

(l) the nature and extent of the supply of goods and services by Nova Scotians to holders of permits and licences and others requiring goods and services pursuant to work or operations authorized by a holder of a permit or licence;

(m) the reporting and repair of leaks or breaks in a pipeline, and the clean-up and disposal of any substance that escapes from a pipeline;

(n) the design, construction, inspection, testing, operation, maintenance and repair of pipelines and equipment, materials and installations used therein and works, fittings, machinery or plant connected or associated therewith, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the setting of standards and construction and safety codes;

(o) the pipelines proposed or built and maintained in a submarine area and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, setting additional or different standards for pipelines under the sea as distinguished from pipelines on land and with particular regard to considerations of protection of the environment and safety;

(p) the definition of any area or site as a submarine area for the purpose of some or all of the provisions of this Act and the regulations;

(q) the construction of a pipeline on, across, over or under any highway or road;

(r) the maintaining and keeping of books, records, reports and accounts by holders of permits or licences or by any other persons;

(s) the examinations and investigations by the Energy Board into any matters relating to control of pollution and conservation of the environment in the development, construction and operation of pipelines;

(t) the assignment of a function, responsibility or duty under this Act to an officer or employee in a department or agency of the Province;

(u) a compensation fund from which persons who suffer loss as a result of activities by the holder of any permit or licence may be reimbursed, and respecting contributions to the fund by holders of permits and licences;

(v) the nature, scope and extent of education and training and research and

development projects and programs, and the nature and extent of the financial and other obligations of holders of permits and licences with respect thereto;

(w) the nature and extent of participation by the Province in the construction and operation of pipelines;

(x) the defining of any word or expression used in this Act but not defined herein;

(y) generally any matter which, in the opinion of the Governor in Council, is necessary for the purpose of carrying into effect the provisions of this Act.

Regulations on environmental protection

(2) All regulations respecting environmental protection shall be reviewed by the Minister of Environment who shall make such recommendation to the Governor in Council as he considers advisable.

Regulations Act

(3) The exercise by the Governor in Council of the authority in subsection (1), Section 4 and Section 34 shall be regulations within the meaning of the *Regulations Act*. R.S., c. 345, s. 44.

Pipeline Regulations (Nova Scotia)

made under subsection 44(1) of the

Pipeline Act

R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345

O.I.C. 1998-452, N.S. Reg. 66/98

September 16, 1998

Printed by
the Registry of Regulations
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Consolidated regulations are prepared for convenience of reference only and have no legislative sanction. For all purposes of interpreting and applying the law, consult the original documents on file with the Registry of Regulations, or as published in the Royal Gazette Part II.

Regulations are subject to frequent amendments; please ensure that you have the current version of this consolidation.

Pipeline Regulations (Nova Scotia)
made under subsection 44(1) of the
Pipeline Act
R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345
O.I.C. 1998-452 (September 16, 1998), N.S. Reg. 66/98

Part I - General

Short title

1 These regulations may be cited as the *Pipeline Regulations (Nova Scotia)*.

Interpretation

2 (1) Pursuant to clause 44(1)(x) of the *Pipeline Act*, the following words or expressions are defined for the purpose of that Act:

- (a) "fees" include amounts payable to the Energy Board
 - (i) upon application for a permit or licence;
 - (ii) for renewal, amendment, suspension, cancellation, transfer, assignment or abandonment of a permit or licence;
 - (iii) for a determination to alter or relocate the pipeline or to install additional or other equipment and the amounts payable as a result, pursuant to subsection 21(3) of the Act; and
 - (iv) for the purpose of recovering all or part of such direct and indirect expenses as the Energy Board determines to be attributable to its responsibilities under the Act or these regulations;
- (b) "marketing plant" includes oil transshipment, terminating or storage facilities;
- (c) "pipes" includes gathering lines, transmission lines, distribution lines or service lines.

(2) In these regulations,

- (a) "abandon" means to remove permanently from service or to declare abandoned by the owner, operator, person responsible, inspection officer or the Energy Board;
- (b) "Act" means the *Pipeline Act*;
- (c) "bulk plant" means one or more above ground tanks or underground tanks, including any appurtenances, where petroleum products are received by pipeline, tank vessel, tank car or tank vehicle, and are stored in bulk for subsequent transmission by pipeline or transportation or distribution by tank vessel, tank car or tank vehicle, but does not include a service station or a facility storing petroleum products for use only at that facility;

- (d) "certifying authority" means an independent person retained by the Energy Board to perform the duties prescribed by Section 63;
- (e) "change of service" means a change in the fluid transported in the pipeline which necessitates changes to the design requirements in accordance with CSA Z662;
- (f) "class location" means a class location as defined in CSA Z662;
- (g) "company" includes a person or a group of persons, partnership, or municipality and includes the heirs, successors, administrators or assigns of a company, person or group of persons, partnership, or municipality;
- (h) "component" means component as defined in CSA Z662;
- (i) "controlled area" means the strips of land 30 m wide immediately adjacent to each side of a pipeline right of way and, without limitation, includes land that comprises the right of way held for the construction of a pipeline or for or incidental to the operation of a pipeline;
- (j) "CSA" means the Canadian Standards Association;
- (k) "CSA W178.2" means the CSA standard W178.2 entitled *Certification of Welding Inspectors*;
- (l) "CSA Z341" means the CSA standard Z341 entitled *Storage of Hydrocarbons in Underground Formations*;
- (m) "CSA Z662" means the CSA standard Z662 entitled *Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems*;
- (n) "CSA Z731" means the CSA standard Z731 entitled *Emergency Planning for Industry*;
- (o) "dangerous goods or waste dangerous goods" means a substance that on entering the environment is in a quantity or concentration that
 - (i) may have an immediate or long-term adverse effect on the environment,
 - (ii) may constitute a danger to the environment on which human life depends,
 - (iii) may constitute a danger to human life or health;
- (p) "deactivate" means to remove temporarily from service;
- (q) "distribution line" means distribution line as defined in CSA Z662;
- (r) "environment" means environment as defined by the *Environment Act*;

- (s) "Energy Board" means the Energy and Mineral Resources Conservation Board constituted pursuant to the *Energy and Mineral Resources Conservation Act*;
- (t) "gas" means
 - (i) natural gas, including coal gas as defined in the *Petroleum Resources Act*, both before and after it has been subjected to any processing,
 - (ii) any substance removed from natural gas, crude oil, oil sands or coal for transmission in gaseous state,
 - (iii) any gaseous substance for injection to an underground formation through a well,
 - (iv) any substance declared by the Energy Board to be gas;
- (u) "gas pipeline" means a pipeline through which gas is conveyed;
- (v) "gathering line" means gathering line as defined in CSA Z662;
- (w) "ground disturbance" means any work, operation or activity that results in a disturbance of the earth including, without limitation, excavating, digging, trenching, plowing, drilling, tunnelling, augering, backfilling, blasting, topsoil stripping, land levelling, peat removing, quarrying, clearing and grading, but does not include,
 - (i) except as otherwise provided in subclause (ii), a disturbance of the earth to a depth of less than 30 cm that does not result in a reduction of the earth cover over the pipeline to a depth that is less than the cover provided when the pipeline was installed,
 - (ii) cultivation to a depth of less than 45 cm below the surface of the ground, or
 - (iii) any work, operation or activity that is determined by the Energy Board not to be a ground disturbance;
- (x) "HVP" means hydrocarbons or hydrocarbon mixtures in the liquid or the quasi-liquid state with a vapour pressure greater than 110 kPa absolute at 38°C;
- (y) "highway" means a highway to which the *Public Highways Act* applies;
- (z) "incident" means an occurrence that results in
 - (i) the death of or serious injury to a person,
 - (ii) a significant adverse effect on the environment,
 - (iii) an unintended explosion,

- (iv) an unintended or uncontained release of LVP hydrocarbons in excess of 1.5 m³,
 - (v) an unintended release of gas or HVP, or
 - (vi) the operation of a pipeline beyond its design limits as determined pursuant to CSA Z662 or any operating limits imposed by the Energy Board;
- (aa) "injection" includes removal;
 - (ab) "inspection officer" means a person authorized as such by the Energy Board;
 - (ac) "ISO 9000" means the International Organization for Standardization standard series for a quality management system and quality assurance models;
 - (ad) "ISO 14000" means the International Organization for Standardization standard series for an environmental management system;
 - (ae) "installation" means
 - (i) any equipment, apparatus, mechanism, machinery or instrument incidental to the operation of a pipeline,
 - (ii) any building or structure that houses or protects anything referred to in subclause (i),
 but does not include a refinery, processing plant, marketing plant or manufacturing plant;
 - (af) "joining" means the joining of pipe and components performed after the pipe and component manufacturing process;
 - (ag) "licence" means a licence issued pursuant to the Act to operate a pipeline;
 - (ah) "local authority" means the council of a regional municipality or incorporated town and includes an agency or body having the general administration or direction, management and control of a road by or under any Act of the Legislature;
 - (ai) "location factor" means location factor as determined in accordance with CSA Z662;
 - (aj) "LVP" means
 - (i) hydrocarbons or hydrocarbon mixtures in the liquid or quasi-liquid state with a vapour pressure of 110 kPa or less at 38°C,
 - (ii) multiphase fluids,
 - (iii) oil field water;

- (ak) "maximum operating pressure" means maximum operating pressure as defined in CSA Z662;
- (al) "Minister" means the Minister to whom is assigned all of the powers and duties conferred upon the Minister by the Act;
- (am) "multiphase fluids" means multiphase fluids as defined in CSA Z662;
- (an) "oil" means
 - (i) crude oil before and after it has been subjected to any refining or processing,
 - (ii) any hydrocarbon recovered from crude oil, oil sands, natural gas or coal for transmission in a liquid state,
 - (iii) liquefied natural gas,and any other substance in association therewith;
- (ao) "operate" includes deactivate and reactivate;
- (ap) "permit" means a permit issued pursuant to the Act to construct a pipeline;
- (aq) "pipeline" means pipes and installations for the transmission of
 - (i) gas,
 - (ii) oil,
 - (iii) fluids from a well,
 - (iv) water or effluent used or produced in connection with a well or the production or manufacture of gas or oil;
- (ar) "prescribed" means prescribed by the regulations;
- (as) "reactivate" means to return to service;
- (at) "release" includes discharge, spray, inject, inoculate, abandon, deposit, spill, leak, seep, pour, emit, throw, dump, place, or exhaust;
- (au) "road" means any road or road allowance and includes a street, public bridge or public park that is not a Provincial bridge or park within a regional municipality or incorporated town, but does not include a highway;
- (av) "serious injury" includes an injury which results in
 - (i) fracture of a major bone,
 - (ii) amputation of a body part,
 - (iii) loss of sight of an eye,
 - (iv) internal haemorrhage,

- (v) third degree burns,
- (vi) unconsciousness, or
- (vii) loss of a body part or function;

(aw) "service line" means service line as defined in CSA Z662;

(ax) "station" means a facility that is used by a company in connection with the operation of its pipeline, and includes a facility for pumping, compression, pressure reduction, maintenance, storage, metering, receiving or delivery, and includes the land and other works connected with the facility;

(ay) "transmission" includes storage;

(az) "transmission line" means transmission line as defined in CSA Z662;

(ba) "well" means an orifice in the ground, including ground under water and the seabed and subsoil, made by drilling, boring or in any other manner, from which oil or gas is obtained or obtainable, or which is being so made for the purpose of seeking or obtaining oil or gas or ascertaining the presence of the same;

(bb) "working day" means a day other than Saturday or Sunday or a holiday in the Province of Nova Scotia.

- (3) Wherever in these regulations a word or an expression defined by the Act or these regulations is used, it has the same meaning given to it by the Act or these regulations except where a contrary intention is expressed or necessarily implied.

Application

3 These regulations apply in respect of pipelines designed, constructed, operated, maintained or abandoned in Nova Scotia lands after *[date of promulgation]*.

Energy Board approval

- 4 (1) No company shall construct or operate a pipeline unless there is a permit or licence issued by the Energy Board in force with respect to that pipeline.
- (2) No company shall construct or operate a pipeline otherwise than in accordance with the terms and conditions of a permit or licence issued for the pipeline.
- (3) Where a pipeline has been designed, constructed, operated, maintained or abandoned in Nova Scotia lands before *[date of promulgation]*, the Energy Board may issue such permits or licences as it shall determine with respect to the pipeline and may attach such terms and conditions to the permits or licences as it deems fit and proper.
- (4) It is a term and condition of every permit and licence to construct or operate a pipeline that each holder of the permit or licence shall

- (a) carry adequate personal injury, property damage and third party liability insurance for losses suffered in the construction and operation of the pipeline on such terms and in such amounts as is determined by the Energy Board;
- (b) at all times, indemnify and save harmless the Energy Board and the Province from and against all claims, including costs related thereto, for all damages or injuries including death to any person or persons and for damage to any property, arising out of the holder of a permit or licence constructing, operating, and maintaining its pipeline in the Province.

Procedures and forms

- 5** (1) Except where otherwise required by the Act, the *Utility and Review Board Act* and their respective regulations, all procedures shall be as the Energy Board may determine.
- (2) Information to accompany all applications and forms shall be such as is determined by the Energy Board to be necessary or required to carry out its powers and duties under the Act and these regulations.

Directed testing, inspection or assessment

- 6** In addition to its other powers, where the protection of property, the environment, the safety of the public or the permit or licence holder's employees warrant, the Energy Board may direct any company, at that company's own cost and expense, to test, inspect, or assess a pipeline in accordance with CSA standards or such other standards as the Energy Board directs.

Fees

- 7** (1) The Energy Board may impose, fix, determine and collect fees pertaining to any matter to be considered or determined by the Energy Board pursuant to the Act or these regulations and the fees may be revised as the Energy Board determines appropriate.
- (2) The Energy Board shall set out all of its fees and charges in a schedule which shall be published in a manner which the Energy Board considers appropriate.

Fee to accompany application or request

- 8** Unless the Energy Board otherwise directs, fees shall accompany the application or request to which they apply.

Standards

- 9** (1) Where a company designs, constructs, operates, maintains or abandons a pipeline, or contracts for the provision of such services, the company shall ensure that the pipeline is designed, constructed, operated, maintained or abandoned in accordance with,
- (a) the applicable provisions of these regulations; and
 - (b) the applicable provisions of

- (i) CSA Z662, where the pipeline transports liquid or gaseous hydrocarbons, and
 - (ii) CSA Z341 for underground storage of hydrocarbons.
- (2) Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the company shall ensure that the pipeline is designed, constructed, operated, maintained or abandoned in accordance with the design, specifications, programs, manuals, procedures, measures, and plans prepared and implemented by the company in accordance with these regulations.
- (3) Where there is an inconsistency between these regulations and a standard referred to in clause (1)(b), these regulations prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.
- (4) Where the standards incorporated into these regulations by clause (1)(b) are amended, a company shall not be obligated to comply with such amendments until 6 months after they have been made and published.

Amendments ordered

- 10 Where, pursuant to these regulations, a company is required to develop any design, specification, program, manual, procedure, measure, or plan, the Energy Board may order amendments thereto having regard to safety, environmental, and public interest considerations.

Changes monitored

- 11 A company shall develop a system to monitor changes in respect of a design, specification, standard or procedure.

Part II - Design

Detailed designs

- 12 (1) A company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, detailed designs of the pipeline.
- (2) Detailed designs of pipe and components for which no design standard is set out in these regulations shall be submitted to the Energy Board for approval.

HVP pipelines

- 13 (1) For HVP piping to be situated in Class 1 locations and within 500 m of the right of way of a railway or paved roadway, a company shall prepare a documented risk assessment to determine the need for heavier wall design, taking into account such factors as pipeline diameter and operating pressure, HVP fluid characteristics, topography, and traffic type and density.
- (2) The documented risk assessment referred to in subsection (1) shall be made available to the Energy Board upon request.

Stations

- 14 A station shall be
 - (a) designed to provide year round suitable access for personnel;

- (b) designed to minimize unauthorized entry to and unauthorized operation of the station;
- (c) equipped with facilities for the containment, handling, and disposal of wastes incidental to the operation of the station; and
- (d) designed so that the noise level during operations does not at any time exceed the noise levels determined by the Provincial Department of the Environment.

15 A compressor station or pump station shall be equipped with an alternate source of power capable of

- (a) operating the emergency shut-down system of the station;
- (b) operating an emergency lighting system for the safe evacuation of personnel from the station and for other emergency procedures; and
- (c) maintaining any other service essential to the safety of personnel.

Petroleum products storage

16 A bulk plant shall

- (a) be located in an area that is known to be free from flooding, landslides, rockfalls and geological faults;
- (b) be provided with an all-weather road that gives access to all permanently installed fire-fighting equipment located at or near the bulk plant; and
- (c) have a containment area designed to prevent the release or migration of stored products or dangerous goods or waste dangerous goods.

Part III - Materials

Specifications

- 17** (1) A company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, the detailed specifications for the pipe and components to be used on the pipeline.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), the detailed material of specifications should set out
- (a) the scope of the specifications;
 - (b) the restrictions and requirements pertaining to the manufacture of the pipe and components;
 - (c) references to the applicable codes and materials standards; and

- (d) the maximum operating pressure, design operating temperature and the other design operating conditions.

Part IV - Quality Assurance and Environmental Management

Quality assurance program

- 18 A company shall establish a quality assurance program to the ISO 9000 series standard or one that is considered by the Board equivalent to the ISO 9000 series standard for the purpose of ensuring that the pipe and components meet the detailed specifications referred to in Section 17.

Environmental management system

- 19 (1) A company shall establish a comprehensive environmental management system to the ISO 14000 series standard or one that is considered by the Board equivalent to the ISO 14000 series standard.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), an environmental management system may, among other things, include a manual that
- (a) identifies all environmental issues that relate to the design, operation, maintenance and abandonment of the pipeline and the associated equipment and activities related to the construction and operation thereof;
 - (b) identifies the environmental standards and objectives of the program; and
 - (c) outlines the policies, procedures, audit and administrative systems that will be put in place to ensure that all the issues are addressed, the standards met, and objectives achieved.

Part V - Field Joining

Field joining program

- 20 (1) Subject to subsection (2), a company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, a joining program in respect of the joining of pipe and components to be used in its pipeline.
- (2) Where a company proposing to conduct joining by gas or arc welding
- (a) adopts the requirements set out in CSA W178.2 as the requirement for the qualification of joining inspectors; and
 - (b) adopts the specifications, procedures, requirements, or standards set out in CSA Z662 as the specifications, procedures, requirements, or standards for the joining program, other than the requirements for the qualification of joining inspectors set out in CSA Z662,
- those specifications, procedures, requirements, or standards of the joining program are and are deemed to be approved by the Energy Board.

(3) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), the joining program should set out

- (a) the joining specifications;
- (b) the joining procedures;
- (c) the requirements for the qualifications of joining procedures;
- (d) the nondestructive examination procedures;
- (e) the requirements for the qualifications of joiners;
- (f) the requirements for the qualifications of joining inspectors;
- (g) the requirement for the qualification of the personnel engaged in nondestructive examination;
- (h) the standards of acceptability of imperfections; and
- (i) the procedures for the repair or removal of joint defects.

Part VI - Construction

Construction safety

21 (1) Where a company contracts for the provision of services in respect of the construction of a pipeline, the company shall

- (a) inform the contractor of all special conditions associated with the pipeline construction;
- (b) inform the contractor of all special safety practices and procedures necessitated by the conditions or features specific to the construction;
- (c) take all reasonable steps to ensure that construction activities are conducted in accordance with the construction safety manual referred to in Section 23; and
- (d) authorize a person to halt a construction activity in circumstances where, in the judgment of that person, the construction activity is not being conducted in accordance with the construction safety manual referred to in Section 23 or is creating a hazard to any person at the construction site.

(2) The person referred to in clause (1)(d) shall, in the opinion of the company, have sufficient expertise, knowledge, and training to competently carry out the obligations set out in that clause.

22 A company shall, during the construction of a pipeline, take all reasonable steps to ensure that

- (a) the construction activities do not create a hazard to the public; and
- (b) all persons at the construction site who are not involved in the construction of the pipeline are informed of the practices and procedures that are to be followed for their safety.

23 (1) A company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, a construction safety manual.

- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), the construction safety manual
- (a) should set out
 - (i) the general safety practices and procedures to be followed in the construction of the pipeline,
 - (ii) the special safety practices and procedures necessitated by the conditions or features specific to the construction of the pipeline,
 - (iii) a description of the method or program established by the company to fulfil its responsibilities under Sections 21 and 22,
 - (iv) the name or position of the person authorized to halt a construction activity under the requirements of clause 21(d), and
 - (v) the safety related responsibilities of the company and contractor managers, supervisors, and workers;
 - (b) shall include all or part of any Provincial enactment respecting occupational health and safety for construction projects.
- (3) A copy of the construction safety manual referred to in subsection (1) or the relevant parts thereof shall be kept at each construction site of the pipeline in a location where the copy is accessible to every person engaged in construction at the site.

Right of way and temporary work areas

- 24 Where a pipeline is constructed, the right of way and temporary work areas of the pipeline shall be restored to a condition similar to the surrounding environment and consistent with the current land use, unless the Energy Board specifies otherwise.

Crossing a utility or private roadway

- 25 Where a pipeline is carried across a utility or private roadway, the company constructing the pipeline shall ensure that there is no undue interference with the use of the utility or roadway during construction.

Part VII - Field Testing

Pressure testing program

- 26 (1) A company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, a pressure testing program in respect of any pressure test to be conducted for pipe and components to be used in its pipeline.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), the pressure testing program should include
- (a) a diagram of the test section together with an elevation profile, if applicable, and the location of the testing points;

- (b) the specifications of the pipe and components to be tested;
 - (c) a description of the instruments to be used and the degree of accuracy and the calibration of those instruments;
 - (d) an identification of the test medium and any additives;
 - (e) test duration;
 - (f) the minimum and maximum permitted test pressures;
 - (g) a description of the safety precautions to be implemented during the pressure test; and
 - (h) the procedures to be used during line filling, pressurizing, depressurizing, and dewatering, and the associated environmental protection measures to be implemented.
- (3) Where the company is testing transmission lines, it shall give 48 hours notice to the Energy Board in advance of any pressure testing.

Permits for use and disposal of water

- 27 Prior to conducting a pressure test, a company shall ensure that any permits required in respect of the use and disposal of water for test purposes have been obtained.

General testing requirements

- 28 (1) The pressure testing shall be performed under the direct supervision of the company or an agent appointed by the company .
- (2) The agent referred to in subsection (1) shall be independent of any contractor who carries out the pressure testing program and of any contractor who constructed the pipeline.
- (3) The company or agent referred to in subsection (1) shall date and sign any logs, test charts, and other test records that are referred to in CSA Z662.
- 29 Where pre-tested pipe assemblies or segments are installed in a pipeline, the number of welds in the installations that are not subjected to a pressure test shall be minimized to the extent practicable.

Part VIII - Operation and Maintenance

Operation and maintenance manuals

- 30 (1) A company shall develop and update, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, operation and maintenance manuals which provide information and procedures to promote safety, environmental protection, and efficiency in the operation and maintenance of the pipeline.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), operation and maintenance manuals should set out, in addition to the

information and procedures referred to in CSA Z662, the following, in respect of the operation and maintenance of the pipeline:

- (a) information on materials and equipment;
- (b) procedures for the operation of stations, instrumentation, and alarms, and the safety precautions in respect of those operations;
- (c) the maximum and minimum operating pressures of the pipeline over a range of normal operating conditions;
- (d) the elevation profile of pipelines containing liquid hydrocarbons;
- (e) the maximum operating pressures for the pipeline;
- (f) a description of the pressure control devices installed on the pipeline;
- (g) information and procedures in respect of the pipeline control system, including
 - (i) the supervisory control and data acquisition system, if applicable,
 - (ii) the communication facilities,
 - (iii) the procedures used to detect leaks, respond to alarms, and interpret anomalies,
 - (iv) for oil pipelines, the material balance system and the rules of interpretation of any imbalances in the material balance calculation, and
 - (v) the procedures used to detect any malfunctions of the control system, including details of the corrective action to be taken;
- (h) the procedures and equipment for accident prevention and fire protection;
- (i) the company's corrosion control program, including a description of the corrosion prevention and corrosion monitoring systems;
- (j) the maintenance procedures for the pipeline, including a description of the program to be employed to confirm the integrity of the pipeline;
- (k) the environmental protection procedures for pipeline operation and maintenance activities;
- (l) the monitoring and surveillance programs for the protection of the pipeline;
- (m) the environmental monitoring and surveillance programs for the protection of the public and the surrounding environment;

- (n) the waste management procedures used to identify, handle and dispose of all wastes associated with the operation of the pipeline;
- (o) the location of the pipeline and a description of the means of access to the pipeline;
- (p) a description of the significant physical characteristics of the fluids to be transported in the pipeline;
- (q) the maintenance program for safety-related equipment;
- (r) a description of the training program referred to in Section 49, including
 - (i) the general objectives of the training program,
 - (ii) the types and format of training used,
 - (iii) the specific training methods or courses provided to individual employees or categories of employees,
 - (iv) a description of the testing methods and exercises used under simulated conditions to evaluate employees' knowledge of the regulations, procedures, and information referred to in subsection 49(2),
 - (v) the types of emergency exercises and simulations conducted as part of the training programs and the frequency with which they are conducted;
- (s) details of the system and program audits referred to in Section 56, including frequency and methodology.

31 A company shall ensure that all persons associated with operation and maintenance activities on the pipeline are made aware of the practices and procedures to be followed, and have ready access to the operation and maintenance manuals, or relevant parts thereof, referred to in Section 30.

Maintenance safety

- 32 (1)** Where a company contracts for the provision of services in respect of the maintenance of a pipeline, the company shall
- (a) inform the contractor of all special conditions associated with the pipeline maintenance;
 - (b) inform the contractor of all special safety practices and procedures necessitated by the conditions or features specific to the maintenance;
 - (c) take all reasonable steps to ensure that maintenance activities are conducted in accordance with the maintenance safety manual referred to in Section 34; and

- (d) authorize a person to halt a maintenance activity in circumstances where, in the judgment of that person, the maintenance activity is not being conducted in accordance with the maintenance safety manual referred to in Section 34 or is creating a hazard to any person at the maintenance site.
 - (2) The person referred to in clause (1)(d) shall, in the opinion of the company, have sufficient expertise, knowledge, and training to competently carry out the obligations set out in that clause.
- 33 A company shall, during the maintenance of a pipeline, take all reasonable steps to ensure that
- (a) the maintenance activities do not create a hazard to the public or the environment; and
 - (b) all persons at the maintenance site who are not involved in the maintenance of the pipeline are informed of the practices and procedures that are to be followed for their safety.
- 34 (1) A company shall prepare, and on request submit to the Energy Board for approval, a maintenance safety manual.
- (2) A copy of the maintenance safety manual referred to in subsection (1) or the relevant parts thereof shall be kept at each maintenance site of the pipeline in a location where the copy is accessible to every person engaged in maintenance at the site.

Emergency plan and emergency procedures manual

- 35 (1) A company shall develop and regularly update an emergency plan and an emergency procedures manual.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), an emergency procedures manual should set out
- (a) the information and procedures referred to in CSA Z662 and in CSA Z731 *Emergency Planning for Industry*;
 - (b) a statement of the scope of application of the emergency procedures;
 - (c) a detailed description of the facilities to which the emergency procedures apply, including
 - (i) the location of and means of access to the facilities, and
 - (ii) the number and size of the pipelines involved;
 - (d) the range of pressures and, for pipelines containing LVP hydrocarbons, the range of flow rates that might be expected under normal operating conditions;

- (e) a description of the significant physical characteristics of the fluids to be transported in the pipeline;
 - (f) the procedures for the documentation of emergencies;
 - (g) the instructions and warnings to be given to persons reporting an emergency;
 - (h) the initial action to be taken on discovery of an emergency;
 - (i) the names and telephone numbers of company personnel and their alternates or departments to be contacted in the case of an emergency and the respective responsibilities of the personnel or departments;
 - (j) a local emergency roster which includes, in addition to the contact list in CSA Z731, the names, addresses, telephone numbers and contact persons or agencies that may have to be contacted in case of an emergency, including, but not limited to, environmental agencies, police and fire and emergency measures officials, public utilities, municipal governments, qualified contractors, and equipment suppliers;
 - (k) the plans for cooperation with appropriate public agencies during an emergency;
 - (l) a description of the types and locations of available emergency clothing and equipment including, in the case of HVP pipelines, a description of the types and locations of portable emergency shut-off devices;
 - (m) the procedures to be followed at the site of the emergency, including the procedures for assembling repair personnel in a safe location;
 - (n) the safety precautions to be taken during an emergency, including
 - (i) the handling of the fluid transported by the pipeline,
 - (ii) the isolation and shut-off procedures for stations of the pipeline, and
 - (iii) the methods for monitoring the hazard level at the site;
 - (o) a list or map of areas susceptible to potentially adverse environmental effects that may require special attention during an emergency;
 - (p) contingency plans for the immediate protection of the public and the environment; and
 - (q) evacuation procedures.
- (3) A company shall file with the Energy Board the emergency procedures manual referred to in subsection (1) as well as updates of the manual as they are made.

- 36** A company shall establish and maintain liaison with the agencies that may be involved in an emergency response on the pipeline and shall consult with those agencies in developing and updating the emergency plan and the emergency procedures manual referred to in Section 35.
- 37** A company shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that all persons who may be associated with an emergency response activity on the pipeline are made aware of the practices and procedures to be followed, and have ready access to the relevant portions of the emergency procedures manual referred to in Section 35.

Emergency awareness program

- 38** A company shall establish a continuing education program to inform the police, fire departments, medical facilities, other appropriate organizations and agencies, and the public residing adjacent to the pipeline, of the location of the pipeline, identifying emergency situations involving the pipeline, and specifying the safety procedures for the pipeline in case of an emergency.

General operation and maintenance requirements

- 39** A company shall

- (a) have communication facilities for the safe and efficient operation of the pipeline and for emergency situations;
- (b) periodically test instruments and equipment at the stations of the pipeline to demonstrate their proper and safe operation;
- (c) continually record the suction and discharge pressures of the pump or compressor stations of the pipeline;
- (d) clearly mark sectionalizing valves on any main line to identify their open and closed positions;
- (e) clearly mark isolating valves, blowdown valves, and other major valves within a station of the pipeline, identify the open and closed positions and their function; and
- (f) post, along the boundaries of the stations of the pipeline, signage indicating the name of the company and the telephone number to be called in the event of an emergency involving the pipeline.

Pipeline control system

- 40** (1) A company shall have a pipeline control system that comprises the facilities and procedures used to control and monitor the operations of the pipeline.
- (2) The pipeline control system referred to in subsection (1) shall
- (a) record historical pipeline operations data, messages, and alarms for recall; and

- (b) include a leak detection system that for oil pipelines meets the requirements of CSA Z662, reflecting the level of complexity of the pipeline, the pipeline operations, and the products transported.

Maintenance welding

- 41 (1) A company shall not perform welding on a liquid-filled pipeline with a carbon equivalent of 0.50 percent or greater except where it has been demonstrated that no other practical alternative is available.
- (2) Where a company performs welding referred to in subsection (1), the company shall treat the welds as a temporary installation and replace that installation with a permanent one as soon as practical.
- (3) Despite subsections (1) and (2) and subsection 20(2), where a company intends to perform welding on a liquid-filled pipeline with a carbon equivalent of 0.50 percent or greater and to treat it as a permanent installation, the company shall submit to the Energy Board for approval the welding specifications and procedures together with the results of the procedure qualification tests.

Monitoring and surveillance

- 42 A company shall develop and implement a monitoring and surveillance program for the protection of the pipeline, the public, and the environment.

Pipeline integrity

- 43 A company shall establish and implement a pipeline integrity management program.
- 44 (1) Where a company finds a defect in excess of that allowed by CSA Z662 on its pipeline, the company shall document the particulars of the defect, the cause of the defect and the corrective action taken or planned.
- (2) A company shall, on request, submit to the Energy Board for approval the documentation referred to in subsection (1).

Change in class location

- 45 Where the class location of a section of a pipeline changes to a higher designation having a more stringent location factor, the company, within three months of the change, shall submit to the Energy Board for approval the company's proposed plan to deal with the change.

Change of service or increase in maximum operating pressure

- 46 Where a company proposes a change of service or increase in maximum operating pressure for the pipeline, the company shall submit an application for the change of service or increase in maximum operating pressure to the Energy Board for approval.

Deactivation and reactivation

- 47 (1) Where a company proposes to deactivate the pipeline or section thereof for 12 months or more, or has maintained the pipeline or section thereof in a deactivated mode for 12 months, the company shall submit to the Energy Board for approval an application for the deactivation.
- (2) The application referred to in subsection (1) shall include the rationale and the measures to be employed for the deactivation.
- 48 (1) Where a company proposes to reactivate a pipeline or section thereof that has been deactivated for 12 months or more the company shall submit to the Energy Board for approval an application for the reactivation.
- (2) The application referred to in subsection (1) shall include the rationale and the measures to be employed for the reactivation.

Training

- 49 (1) A company shall take reasonable measures to develop and implement a training program for the employees of the company who are directly involved in the operation and maintenance of the pipeline.
- (2) The training program referred to in subsection (1) shall instruct the employees on
- (a) the regulations and procedures applicable to the day-to-day operation of the pipeline;
 - (b) the safety regulations and safety procedures applicable to the day to day operation of the pipeline;
 - (c) the procedures for the proper operation of the equipment that the employees could reasonably be expected to use; and
 - (d) the emergency procedures as set out in the manual referred to in Section 35 and the procedures for the operation of all emergency equipment that the employees could reasonably be expected to use.
- (3) A company shall take reasonable measures to ensure that employees attending the training programs referred to in subsection (1) have a working knowledge of the subject-matter of those programs.

Safety program

- 50 (1) A company shall develop and implement a safety program for the employees of the company who are directly involved in the operation and maintenance of the pipeline to anticipate, prevent, manage, and mitigate potentially dangerous conditions and exposure to such conditions during all construction, operation, maintenance, and emergency activities.
- (2) For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of subsection (1), the safety program should include

- (a) the safety policy;
- (b) the responsibility and accountability for safety;
- (c) the safety organization and safety committees;
- (d) safety education and training;
- (e) the safety inspection system;
- (f) incident investigations, reporting, corrective actions, and statistics;
- (g) the work methods;
- (h) medical precautions; and
- (i) special accident prevention measures.

Part IX - Suspension, Removal, Discontinuance or Abandonment

Application for leave

- 51** An application made by a company pursuant to the Act and these regulations for leave to suspend, remove, discontinue or abandon a pipeline or section thereof shall include the rationale for and the measures to be employed for suspension, removal, discontinuance or abandonment.

Part X - Reporting

Crossing reports

- 52** Where a company constructs a pipeline that crosses a private roadway or a utility, the company shall
- (a) notify forthwith the owner of, or the authority having control over, the private roadway or utility and the Energy Board of the details of any unplanned closure of the roadway or any unplanned interruption in the operation of the utility, if the closure or interruption results from the construction of the crossing; and
 - (b) on request, file with the Energy Board a crossing report setting out
 - (i) the description and location of the private roadway or utility, and
 - (ii) the name of the owner of, or the authority having control over, the private roadway or utility.

Incident reports

- 53** (1) A company shall notify the Energy Board forthwith following the discovery of an incident relating to the construction, operation, maintenance, deactivation, reactivation or abandonment of its pipeline and shall file with the Energy Board as soon as practical thereafter preliminary and detailed incident reports.
- (2) Following notification of an incident, an inspection officer may partially or completely relieve a company from the requirement to file preliminary and detailed incident reports.

Part XI - Audits and Inspections

General compliance

- 54 (1)** A company shall conduct regularly documented audits and inspections to ensure its pipeline is designed, constructed, operated, maintained, or abandoned in compliance with
- (a) the Act;
 - (b) these regulations; and
 - (c) the terms and conditions of permits, licences and orders issued by the Energy Board.
- (2)** The audit referred to in subsection (1) shall document
- (a) all non-compliance noted; and
 - (b) the corrective actions taken or planned.
- (3)** A copy of all audits and inspections shall be filed with the Energy Board upon completion.

Construction inspection

- 55 (1)** Where a company constructs a pipeline, a contractor independent of any construction contractor retained by the company shall inspect the construction to ensure that it meets the requirements of these regulations and complies with the terms and conditions of any permit, licence or order issued by the Energy Board.
- (2)** An inspection referred to in subsection (1) shall be performed by an independent contractor that, in the opinion of the company constructing the pipeline, has sufficient expertise, knowledge, and training to competently carry out the obligations set out in subsection (1).

System and program audits

- 56 (1)** A company shall audit, on a regular basis
- (a) its environmental management system required by Section 19;
 - (b) its pipeline control system required by Section 40; and
 - (c) its safety program required by Section 50.
- (2)** For greater certainty, but not so as to restrict the generality of clause (1)(b), the audit program should cover all aspects of the control system including, where applicable,
- (a) communication failures that have an adverse effect on operations or that have a duration of more than one hour;
 - (b) the supervisory control and data acquisition system;
 - (c) the leak detection system; and
 - (d) for oil pipelines, the material balance system.

- (3) The documentation from the audits referred to in subsection (1) shall include
- (a) the deficiencies noted; and
 - (b) the corrective actions taken or planned.
- (4) A copy of the audits referred to in this Section shall be filed with the Energy Board upon completion.

Part XII - Record Retention

Record retention requirements

57 A company shall, in addition to complying with the record retention requirements set out in the CSA standards referred to in Section 9,

- (a) retain until at least 1 month after the date on which they were recorded, the records made pursuant to clauses 39(c) and 40(2)(a) except for leak detection data, which shall be retained for 6 months;
- (b) retain an annual report on the training program referred to in Section 49 that compares the actual training received by employees to the planned training;
- (c) retain until at least 1 year after the pipeline or section thereof is placed into service, the detailed information with respect to the quality assurance program referred to in Section 18;
- (d) retain for the most recent 5 years of operation or for at least the 2 most recent complete audits, whichever period is the longest, the records for the audits referred to in Sections 54 to 56;
- (e) retain for as long as installations referred to in Section 41 remain on the pipeline, detailed records of those installations, including
 - (i) the location of the installation,
 - (ii) the type of installation,
 - (iii) the date of installation,
 - (iv) the welding procedure used,
 - (v) the carbon equivalent of the pipeline,
 - (vi) the results of the nondestructive testing performed on the installation, and
 - (vii) the planned date of removal of the installation;
- (f) retain accurate records of the location of all buried facilities until they are removed; and
- (g) retain for at least 2 years after the operation of a pipeline has been duly abandoned in accordance with all applicable requirements,
 - (i) all records available to the pipeline company in respect to the procedures used in each stage of the manufacture of the materials referred to in Section 17,

- (ii) the production reports and mill certificates for the materials referred to in Section 17,
- (iii) the specifications and name-plate data, if any, of the pumps, compressors, drivers, storage tanks and other major equipment of the pipeline,
- (iv) the performance curves of all main line pumps and compressors of the pipeline,
- (v) the reports of all monitoring and surveillance programs referred to in Section 42,
- (vi) the documentation referred to in subsection 44(1) on pipeline defects, and
- (vii) the documentation on all incidents reported pursuant to Section 53.

Part XIII - Protection of Pipelines

Interference or disturbance prohibited

58 Subject to this Part, no person shall interfere with or disturb a pipeline.

Due diligence re ground disturbance

59 (1) A person proposing to undertake or undertaking a ground disturbance shall, before commencing any work, operation or activity,

- (a) take all precautions reasonably necessary
 - (i) to ascertain whether or not a pipeline exists within
 - (A) the area in which that person proposes to undertake or undertakes the ground disturbance, and
 - (B) within 60 m of the area referred to in paragraph (A),
 - (ii) to determine the identity of the holder of the permit or licence of a pipeline in existence within the area or distance referred to in subclause (i); and
 - (b) notify the holder of a permit or licence referred to in subclause (a)(ii) of the nature of the proposed ground disturbance and the proposed schedule for the undertaking of that ground disturbance.
- (2)** A holder of a permit or licence shall, on the request of a person proposing to undertake or undertaking a ground disturbance, provide to that person any information respecting a pipeline in existence within the area or distance referred to in subclause (1)(a)(i) that is contained in the records of the holder of the permit or licence and is required by that person for the purpose of complying with the Act and these regulations.

- (3) A holder of a permit or licence of a pipeline that may be or is affected by a ground disturbance shall provide to the person proposing to undertake or undertaking the ground disturbance any assistance that the person may reasonably require to enable that person to comply with the Act and these regulations.

Energy Board intervention

- 60 (1) Where it appears to the Energy Board or its authorized representative that a ground disturbance has been or is a contravention of the Act or these regulations, a permit or licence or an order or direction of the Energy Board, or that a method or practice employed or any equipment used in a controlled area is improper, hazardous, inadequate or defective,
- (a) the Energy Board or its representative may order that the ground disturbance be suspended and shall not be resumed until
 - (i) the contravention ceases, or the Act or these regulations, a permit, licence or order or direction of the Energy Board is complied with,
 - (ii) approved methods or practices are employed or adopted,
 - (iii) remedial measures are taken, or
 - (iv) proper, safe and adequate equipment is used;
 - (b) the Energy Board or its representative may order that the ground disturbance be suspended until further order; or
 - (c) the Energy Board may call an inquiry.
- (2) Where a representative of the Energy Board makes an order under clause (1)(a) or (b), that representative shall, as soon as possible, report to the Energy Board and so advise in writing the holder of the permit or licence, if any, or person responsible for the ground disturbance, setting out the reasons for the actions of the representative.

Energy Board inquiry

- 61 (1) Where an order is made under clause 60(1)(a) for the suspension of a ground disturbance within a controlled area, the person to whom the order is directed may request an inquiry and, if that person does so, the Energy Board shall hold an inquiry within 5 working days after the date of receipt of the request.
- (2) Where a ground disturbance within a controlled area, is suspended under clause 60 (1)(b), the Energy Board shall hold an inquiry to investigate the circumstances leading to the suspension within 5 working days after the suspension.

Energy Board determination

- 62 Within 15 days after the conclusion of an inquiry pursuant to Section 60 or 61, the Energy Board may
- (a) allow the ground disturbance within a controlled area to continue or resume subject to any conditions that the Energy Board may prescribe;

- (b) order the continued suspension of the ground disturbance within a controlled area until the Energy Board makes an order to the contrary.

Part XIV - Certifying Authority

- 63** (1) The Energy Board may engage the services of a certifying authority whose duty is to determine if the pipeline will be, has been or is being constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with the Act and these regulations.
- (2) Every company that is the holder of a permit or licence, and every person in charge of a pipeline or installation, and every contractor or employee of such company or person shall permit or assist any member of the Energy Board or any employee or agent of the certifying authority acting in the exercise of the powers and duties conferred by subsection (1) and any further authorization provided to the certifying authority by the Energy Board pursuant to the Act or these regulations.
- (3) On the completion of its duties, the certifying authority shall provide the Energy Board with a report which shall
- (a) advise whether or not the pipeline or installation will be, has been or is being constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit or licence issued or an amendment thereto;
 - (b) certify that the pipeline or installation will continue to meet the requirements of the Act and these regulations under the terms and conditions of the permit or licence issued or an amendment thereto for such period of time as the certifying authority determines.
- (4) The certifying authority shall be engaged by the Energy Board through a competitive bidding process and selected from a list of independent third parties that have engaged individuals, or who are individuals, knowledgeable about pipelines and it is a condition of the contract award that those individuals shall perform the duties of the certifying authority.
- (5) The remuneration of the certifying authority shall be determined by the Energy Board and shall be paid from funds realized by the imposition of fees upon the company constructing, operating or maintaining the pipeline or installation which is the subject of the certifying authority's report and for which a permit or licence has been issued in accordance with the Act and these regulations.
- (6) The report issued by the certifying authority may be used by the Energy Board to assist it in
- (a) evaluating an application for a permit or a licence or in amending, suspending, cancelling or re-instating such permit or licence;
 - (b) directing the alteration or relocation of the pipeline;

- (c) requiring the installation of additional or other equipment on the pipeline; or
- (d) the exercise of its powers and duties conferred by the Act and these regulations.

Part XV - Non-Derogation

Preservation of enactments

- 64** Nothing in these regulations derogates from any enactment that imposes duties, obligations and responsibilities upon a company.

Pipeline Benefits Plan Regulations

made under subsection 44(1) of the

Pipeline Act

R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345

O.I.C. 97-718, N.S. Reg. 151/97

November 19, 1997

Printed by
the Registry of Regulations
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Consolidated regulations are prepared for convenience of reference only and have no legislative sanction. For all purposes of interpreting and applying the law, consult the original documents on file with the Registry of Regulations, or as published in the Royal Gazette Part II.

Regulations are subject to frequent amendments; please ensure that you have the current version of this consolidation.

Pipeline Benefits Plan Regulations
made under subsection 44(1) of the
Pipeline Act
R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345
O.I.C. 97-718 (November 19, 1997), N.S. Reg. 151/97

Citation

1 These regulations may be cited as the *Pipeline Benefits Plan Regulations*.

Interpretation

2 (1) In these regulations,

- (a) "Act" means the Pipeline Act;
 - (b) "applicant" means a person who applies for a permit pursuant to the Act or any regulations made thereunder;
 - (c) "benefits plan" means a plan for the employment of, the supply of goods and services by, and the education and training of Nova Scotians by a permit holder or a licence holder and their contractors during the planning, design, construction, operation and abandonment of a pipeline;
 - (d) "contractor" means anyone who performs work authorized by or on behalf of a permit holder or licence holder, or provides or requires goods or services pursuant to work or operations authorized by a permit holder or licence holder;
 - (e) "licence holder" means the person or persons who hold a licence issued by the Energy Board;
 - (f) "Minister" means the President of the Executive Council;
 - (g) "permit holder" means the person or persons who hold a permit issued by the Energy Board;
 - (h) "pipeline proponent" means a person who has submitted a benefits plan for approval pursuant to these regulations.
- (2) The definitions contained in the Act apply to these regulations except where the context otherwise requires.

Approved benefits plan required

3 (1) Subject to subsection (2), the Energy Board shall not issue a permit unless

- (a) the applicant has submitted to the Board a benefits plan, respecting the pipeline, together with a written undertaking that if the applicant is issued a permit, the applicant will take all reasonable measures to ensure that the provisions of the benefits plan are carried out; and

- (b) the benefits plan submitted by the applicant pursuant to clause (a) has been approved by the Board.
- (2) The Energy Board may issue a permit without the applicant submitting a benefits plan and an undertaking if
 - (a) the pipeline to which the application relates is less than 5 km long;
 - (b) the pipeline to which the application relates belongs to a class of pipelines exempted from the provisions of these regulations pursuant to Section 13; or
 - (c) the Minister, with the approval of the Governor in Council, waives the requirement that a benefits plan be submitted and approved respecting the pipeline to which the application relates.

Form of Application

- 4 A benefits plan shall be submitted to the Energy Board in a form and containing such information as the Board may require.

Approval by Energy Board

- 5 (1) The Energy Board shall not approve a benefits plan unless the plan provides that
- (a) the pipeline proponent will establish in the Province an office where decisions are made at a level of authority that the Board considers appropriate;
 - (b) individuals residing in the Province will be given first consideration by the pipeline proponent and its contractors for training and employment respecting the pipeline;
 - (c) where the Board considers appropriate, the pipeline proponent will carry out a program and make expenditures for the promotion of education and training in the Province; and
 - (d) the pipeline proponent and its contractors will give first consideration to services provided from within the Province and to goods manufactured in the Province, where those services and goods are competitive in terms of fair market price, quality, performance and delivery.
- (2) Subject to subsection (1), the Energy Board may approve a benefits plan if, in the Board's opinion, it would be in the public interest to do so.
- (3) The Energy Board may make the approval of a benefits plan subject to such terms and conditions as are specified at the time the benefits plan is approved.

Consultation with Ministers

- 6 The Energy Board shall consult with the Minister and the Minister of Economic Development and Tourism before approving a benefits plan.

Public information sessions

- 7 Before approving a benefits plan, the Energy Board may require that the applicant make the plan available to the public and hold public information sessions respecting the plan in specified locations.

Public review

- 8 (1) Before approving a benefits plan, the Energy Board may order a public review of the plan and in so doing the Board may
- (a) order that the pipeline proponent make the plan available to the public;
 - (b) establish terms of reference and a timetable that will permit a comprehensive review of the plan;
 - (c) appoint one or more commissioners or examiners to review the plan; and
 - (d) cause the commissioners or examiners to hold public hearings at specified locations in the Province and report to the Energy Board.
- (2) Subject to such terms and conditions as it may consider necessary, the Board may confer on the commissioners or examiners appointed pursuant to clause 1(c) all of the powers, privileges and immunities conferred on persons appointed as commissioners pursuant to the *Public Inquiries Act*.

Joint public reviews

- 9 (1) Where a pipeline, an interconnected pipeline or processing plant, or any portion of a pipeline is subject to a public review required by Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, another province, or any other review process of the Province, any agency, board or commission established by or under the laws of the Province, the Energy Board may enter into an agreement with the other party or parties required to hold a public review in order to
- (a) clarify what portions of the pipeline are governed by the laws of the respective parties;
 - (b) provide for the carrying out of a joint public review of the benefits plan, alone or as part of a broader public review;
 - (c) adopt, for the purposes of the review, all or part of the procedures of another party;
 - (d) upon such terms and conditions as the Board may specify, delegate the authority to hold a public review of a benefits plan to another party, and require that they report to the Board.
- (2) If the Energy Board enters into an agreement respecting a public review pursuant to subsection (1), a public review carried out pursuant to such an agreement shall be deemed to satisfy any requirement of these regulations respecting a public review.

- (3) Where a pipeline, interconnected pipeline, processing plant, or any portion of a pipeline has been subject to a public review required by Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, another province, or any other review process of the Province, any agency, board or commission established by or under laws of the Province, and there is an agreement in existence among the Province, the Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board and Her Majesty the Queen in the right of Canada in respect of a joint public review, the review conducted shall be deemed to satisfy any requirement of these regulations respecting a public review and the Energy Board shall receive any recommendations and advice provided under the terms of agreement.

Approval of benefits plan where public review held

- 10 Despite any other provision of these regulations, where the Energy Board orders a public review of a benefits plan pursuant to Section 8 or enters into an agreement respecting a public review pursuant to Section 9, the Board shall not approve the benefits plan until the public review is complete.

Permit holder to carry out plan

- 11 If a benefits plan respecting a pipeline has been approved by the Energy Board, it shall be a condition of the permit respecting the pipeline that the permit holder shall take all reasonable measures necessary to ensure that the provisions of the approved benefits plan and any terms and conditions of approval specified by the Board are carried out.

Reporting

- 12 (1) Every permit holder who has had a benefits plan approved shall submit a written report to the Energy Board at least every six months during the planning and construction of the pipeline that sets out how and to what extent it has carried out the provisions of the benefits plan, any pertinent factors affecting the implementation of the plan, and measures taken or to be taken to ensure commitments are being or will be fulfilled.
- (2) The first report required by subsection (1) shall be submitted to the Energy Board within 180 days of the date on which the permit is issued.
- (3) Every licence holder who operates a pipeline for which a benefits plan has been approved shall submit a written report to the Energy Board at least once every 12 months that sets out how and to what extent it has carried out the provisions of the benefits plan.
- (4) The first report required by subsection (3) shall be submitted to the Energy Board within one year from the date on which the licence is issued.

Exemptions of classes of pipeline

- 13 With the approval of the Governor in Council, the Energy Board may exempt any class or classes of pipelines from the provisions of these regulations.

Effective date

- 14 These regulations shall come into force on, from and after November 12, 1997.

Land Acquisition Regulations

made under Section 34 of the

Pipeline Act

R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345

O.I.C. 1998-453, N.S. Reg. 67/98

September 16, 1998

Printed by
the Registry of Regulations
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Consolidated regulations are prepared for convenience of reference only and have no legislative sanction. For all purposes of interpreting and applying the law, consult the original documents on file with the Registry of Regulations, or as published in the Royal Gazette Part II.

Regulations are subject to frequent amendments; please ensure that you have the current version of this consolidation.

**Land Acquisition Regulations
made under Section 34 of the
Pipeline Act
R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 345
O.I.C. 1998-453 (September 16, 1998), N.S. Reg. 67/98**

Short Title

1 These regulations may be cited as the *Land Acquisition Regulations*.

Interpretation

2 In these regulations,

- (a) "Act" means the *Pipeline Act*;
- (b) "land" includes any estate, term, easement, right or interest in, to, over or affecting land;
- (c) "Minister" means the Minister designated by the Governor in Council to be Minister responsible for the *Pipeline Act*;
- (d) "owner" includes a mortgagee, tenant, registered judgment creditor, a person entitled to a limited estate or interest in land, a guardian or trustee of an incompetent person or of a person incapable of managing his affairs, a guardian, executor, administrator or trustee in whom land is vested;
- (e) "pipeline" means a pipeline for which a permit or licence has been issued.

Service

3 Any document required by these regulations to be served must be served personally or by registered mail addressed to the person to be served at the person's last known address and, in the case of service by registered mail, service shall be deemed to be made on the 5th day after the date of mailing.

Notice to owner

- 4** (1) A permit or licence holder requiring land for the purpose of a pipeline shall serve a notice on all owners of land so far as they can be ascertained, accompanied by
- (a) a description of the lands that are required by the permit or licence holder for the pipeline;
 - (b) details of the compensation, if any, offered by the permit or licence holder for the lands required;
 - (c) a detailed statement made by the permit or licence holder of the value of the lands required in respect of which compensation is offered;
 - (d) a description of the procedure for approval of the detailed route of the pipeline; and

- (e) a description of the procedure available in the event that the owner of the lands and the permit or licence holder are unable to agree on any matter respecting the compensation available.

Agreement with owner

5 If a permit or licence holder reaches an agreement with an owner for land for the purposes of a pipeline, that agreement shall include

- (a) compensation for the market value of the lands acquired to be paid, at the option of the owner, by one lump sum payment or by annual or periodic payments of equal or different amounts over a period of time;
- (b) compensation for all disturbance and injurious affliction suffered as a result of the operations of the permit or licence holder to be paid, at the option of the owner, by one lump sum payment or by annual or periodic payments of equal or different amounts over a period of time;
- (c) indemnification of the owner from all liabilities, damages, claims, suits and actions arising from the operations of the permit or licence holder, other than liabilities, damages, claims, suits and actions arising from gross negligence or wilful misconduct of the owner of the lands;
- (d) restricting the use of the lands to the line of the pipe or other facility for which the lands are, by the agreement, specified to be required, unless the owner of the lands consents to any proposed additional use at the time of the proposed additional use.

Known owner and vesting order

6 (1) Where a permit or licence holder requiring land for the purposes of a pipeline is unable to reach agreement with a known owner, applications to the Minister for vesting orders pursuant to clause 32(1)(b) of the Act shall include

- (a) a copy of the notice served on the owner of the land pursuant to Section 4;
 - (b) proof of service of the notice on the owner; and
 - (c) the reason for the application, including a detailed summary of the efforts which have been made to reach an agreement with the owner.
- (2)** Where an application described in subsection (1) is received by the Minister, no vesting order shall be issued unless all of the requirements of subsection (1) have been met.

Unknown owner and vesting order

7 (1) Where a permit or licence holder requiring land for the purposes of a pipeline cannot, using reasonable efforts, ascertain the identity of any owner or determine an address for an owner, applications to the Minister for vesting orders pursuant to clause 32(1)(b) of the Act shall include

- (a) a description of the lands of the owner that are required by the permit or licence holder;
 - (b) the reason for the application, including a detailed summary of the efforts which have been made to identify and locate the owner.
- (2) Where an application described in subsection (1) is received by the Minister,
- (a) the Minister may require the permit or licence holder to take such measures as the Minister considers reasonable to identify and locate the owner before issuing the vesting order;
 - (b) no vesting order shall be issued unless all of the requirements of subsection (1) have been met.

Provisions of the *Expropriation Act*

- 8 Where a permit or licence holder has made an application for a vesting order as described by subsection 6(1) or 7(1), and prior to the vesting order being filed pursuant to subsection 32(3) of the Act the permit or licence holder decides not to acquire all or part of the land for which the vesting order is sought, the *Expropriation Act*, and in particular, Section 20, shall apply *mutatis mutandis*.